

THE INDIAN MIND

Essentials of Indian Philosophy and Culture

Edited by

CHARLES A. MOORE

With the assistance of

ALDYTH V. MORRIS

Wydatek finansowany z projektu „Poszerzenie oferty
dydaktycznej Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego” w ramach
Programu Operacyjnego Kapitał Ludzki
współfinansowanego ze środków Europejskiego
Funduszu Społecznego na podstawie umowy o
dofinansowanie projektu nr POKL.04.01.01-00-171/09-00



KAPITAŁ LUDZKI
NARODOWA STRATEGIA SPÓJNOŚCI

UNIA EUROPEJSKA
EUROPEJSKI
FUNDUSZ SPOŁECZNY



Biblioteka Inst. Filozofii



1826015998

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS PUBLISHERS
PRIVATE LIMITED • DELHI

First Indian Edition : Delhi, 2008
First published by the University of Hawai'i Press, 1967,
as an East-West Center Book

© 1967 by East-West Center Press
All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-81-208-3279-4

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS

41 U.A. Bungalow Road, Jawahar Nagar, Delhi 110 007
8 Mahalaxmi Chamber, 22 Bhulabhai Desai Road, Mumbai 400 026
203 Royapettah High Road, Mylapore, Chennai 600 004
236, 9th Main III Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore 560 011
Sanas Plaza, 1302 Baji Rao Road, Pune 411 002
8 Camac Street, Kolkata 700 017
Ashok Rajpath, Patna 800 004
Chowk, Varanasi 221 001



coll 33327
num 38288

PRINTED IN INDIA

BY JAINENDRA PRAKASH JAIN AT SHRI JAINENDRA PRESS,
A-45 NARAINA, PHASE-I, NEW DELHI 110 028
AND PUBLISHED BY NARENDRA PRAKASH JAIN FOR
MOTILAL BANARSIDASS PUBLISHERS PRIVATE LIMITED,
BUNGALOW ROAD, DELHI 110 007

CHARLES A. MOORE, for many years senior professor of philosophy at the University of Hawaii, died in April, 1967, before his work on this volume was completed. Long an advocate of the promotion of greater tolerance between people of the East and West, Professor Moore was known internationally as the innovator and driving force behind the East-West Philosophers' Conferences, held in Honolulu in 1939, 1949, 1959, and 1964, which brought together some of the leading thinkers of the Orient and the Occident to exchange ideas and to enhance their understanding of other traditions. His career as teacher, conference director, editor, and author was distinguished in its breadth and effectiveness in achieving East-West rapport.

Every effort has been made by those involved in finishing this book to maintain the high standards set by Professor Moore. A special recognition is owing to Professors S. K. Saksena, Walter H. Maurer, and Kenneth K. Inada for their help in preparing this posthumous work.

WINFIELD E. NAGLEY
University of Hawaii
Department of Philosophy
Chairman

Preface

THIS VOLUME presents a middle-of-the-road explanation of the fundamentals of the Indian mind as expressed in its great philosophies, religions, and social thought and practices. The essays which comprise this symposium, since they are technical in themselves and written by experts in their special areas, will meet the needs of the technical scholar. But they will also meet the needs of the educated reader generally, as well as the technical expert, because over-technical considerations have not been stressed except where necessary to avoid the sacrifice of fundamental accuracy and technical integrity.

The chapters in this volume are papers presented at the four East-West Philosophers' Conferences held at the University of Hawaii in 1939, 1949, 1959, and 1964.* Not all of the papers presented at these conferences are included here because of technical and publication limitations; among the papers not presented are a few of the extremely technical papers in specialized areas.

While this is essentially a reprint volume in the sense that all the papers have already been published, they have been re-edited by the editor and by the authors of the papers, except in the case of the paper by Professor Takakusu, who died in 1945.

* These volumes are: *Philosophy—East and West* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1944); *Essays in East-West Philosophy* (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1951); *Philosophy and Culture—East and West* (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1962); *The Status of the Individual in East and West* (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1967).

The order in which the papers are published herein does not correspond with the order of their presentation at the conferences, but has been determined in a more systematic manner regardless of the date of the original presentation. Following this Preface, there will be a more technical and yet general introductory treatment of the Indian view of the status and nature of philosophy as such. This is followed by papers dealing, in succession, with metaphysical matters; epistemological concerns; problems within the areas of ethical and social philosophy; special and particular problems in more restricted areas, such as religion, legal and political philosophy, etc.; and, finally, the status of the individual in Indian thought and culture. This last section of the book is a concentrated examination of this particular problem, which has always been of major importance in philosophy, in both East and West, but is of special importance in the contemporary world and therefore requires full and detailed consideration—partly also because it is the basis of so much misunderstanding all around.

One of the basic principles of the conferences at which these papers were presented was that the descriptions (and evaluations) of the various philosophical and cultural traditions of East and West should be entrusted to representatives of those traditions, so that listeners and readers could most closely approach the ideal of understanding other traditions as the people of those traditions understand themselves. This principle has been followed in this volume—as in the volume on China, and will be followed in the volume on Japan in this series—but, for special reasons, there are two exceptions here.

In order to do full justice to the very complicated developments of Buddhist philosophy, which originated in India, despite its less significant status there than the various systems and thinkers within the Hindu tradition, it was considered highly advisable to include here a paper which deals essentially with early Buddhism—the paper by Dr. G. P. Malalasekera—and a paper which deals with the more technical developments of Buddhist philosophy and with Buddhism in its specific relationship to the Hindu systems—the paper by Dr. Junjirō Takakusu. These authors are not Indians, to be sure, but the subject-matter with which their chapters deal is of basic importance in an over-all consideration of Indian philosophy, and it is felt that their chapters add materially to the soundness of the volume as a whole.

It is possible that this generally wise policy of having each

Asian tradition described by personal representatives rather than by “outside” scholars has led to somewhat more idealized presentations than might have been given by more “objective” Western experts. On the other hand, there is the thought that it is really more accurate to see a people—or even an individual person—in its best light, in terms of its ideals, because these do essentially constitute the mind of the given tradition. (The law of the land as written out in somewhat ideal form in constitutions and formal statute law, for example, is often said to constitute or express the real mind or character of a people or nation, but that does not mean that there are no law-breakers.) There is also the thought that “outside” experts are sometimes even more biased—one way or the other—than the representatives who, as the ones here do, combine personal knowledge of the tradition and sound scholarship.

Partly because of the technicalities of the subject-matter and the languages involved, and partly because the volume is a symposium to which outstanding scholars have contributed, the matter of detailed editing has been somewhat more difficult than it would have been in a different kind of volume. While general consistency and uniformity of basic style have been achieved, there are some variations in several aspects of style, because of the occasional adoption of common usage rather than of complete technical accuracy and also because some of the authors themselves strongly preferred different styles—and the editor respected these preferences.

For the careful—and possibly critical—reader it may be helpful to call attention to a few of the perhaps unusual styles involved, and some of the variations.

In general, hyphenation has been used abundantly, the purpose being to provide greater ease of reading on the part of the non-Indian reader who is not familiar with the technical and sometimes very long words, expressions, titles, etc., in Sanskrit and Pāli (Pāli). This may irritate the technical expert, but it will unquestionably help the uninitiated and the general reader. At times, with admitted inconsistency, some expressions and titles are not hyphenated—because they are so well known.

Capitalization is always a problem in articles and books written by Asian authors, because most of them strongly prefer a much greater use of capitals than Westerners would in the same situation. In general, capitalization has been kept to something of a minimum, but not always, and in these latter cases the retention of capitals was out of respect for the wishes of the author or because of fear of

distortion of technical meanings that might have resulted from "outside" editing. (Reference is made especially to Professor Takakusu's chapter.) The matter of capitalization of some technical terms is of genuine significance. Generally speaking, in these cases the capital is used to refer to ultimates or metaphysical principles or realities, whereas the lower case is used for the empirical aspect referred to by the same term—as especially illustrated by *Nirvāṇa* and *nirvāṇa*—sometimes very difficult to distinguish—and *Ātman* and *ātman*.

Common usage is occasionally employed, usually involving the inconsistent use of the stem form and the nominative case—as, e.g., *karma* (not *karman*), *ātman* (not *ātma*), and *parva* (not *parvan*). Among other cases of following common usage are *Ārya Samāj* for *Ārya Samāja* and *Brāhmo Samāj* for *Brāhma Samāja* and *sannyāsin* for *saṁnyāsin*. In some cases, technically accurate terms are used rather than those in common Western usage: e.g., *brāhmaṇa*, not *brāhmin*; *yogī*, not *yogi*. The words "buddhahood" and "buddha-nature" have been used because they are technically more accurate than the capitalized form.

Different authors not only sometimes strongly prefer different styles of editing, but also frequently prefer different translations of technical terms, and it would be presumptuous, even dangerous, for any editor to force such a learned group of authors into any single pattern of English equivalents. This policy seemed the better part of wisdom. Sometimes authors have used different styles of documentation—at no sacrifice of clarity.

In all the editing, clarity and ease of reading were the goals sought, provided that this attempt did not sacrifice technical accuracy. This seemed to be the only feasible guiding principle to follow.

One of the discrepancies in some of the papers is that between the use of Sanskrit terms and Pāli (and, in fact, of "Pāli" and "Pali") terms—sometimes almost indiscriminately. Some changes have been made, but, again, because of the editor's deferring to the wishes of the authors there are still some discrepancies in this area. A few specific examples should probably be mentioned: *Brāhmaṇas*, to refer to the texts, which are parts of the Vedas; *Brāhmaṇism*, to refer to the philosophy or religion which is based upon those texts; and *brāhmaṇa*, to refer to the highest order in society, the priest or teacher. Both *yogī* (Hindi) and *yogin* (Sanskrit) are used rather indiscriminately.

For the convenience of Western readers, plurals have been formed by simply adding a terminal "s" to words in Sanskrit, although this practice is not followed in the chapter by Dr. Malalasekera, where most of the terms are in Pāli. (The reader is referred to an editor's note at the beginning of that chapter which will explain the special style used there and the reason for that style.)

Appreciation is hereby expressed by the editor to Professor Kenneth K. Inada, Professor Walter H. Maurer, and Professor S. K. Saksena for their significant assistance in connection with the editing of these papers, and in connection with the transliteration of Sanskrit and Pāli terminology. Appreciation is also expressed to Princeton University Press for permission to re-publish, not completely but in large part, the paper by Professor Junjirō Takakusu, which was originally included in *Philosophy—East and West*, published by Princeton University Press in 1944.

Charles A. Moore

Honolulu
April 29, 1966

Contents

Charles A. Moore	Introduction: The Comprehensive Indian Mind 1
S. K. Saksena	Relation of Philosophical Theories to the Practical Affairs of Men 19
P. T. Raju	Metaphysical Theories in Indian Philosophy 41
Gunapala Piya- sena Malalasekera	Some Aspects of Reality as Taught by Theravāda Buddhism 66
Junjirō Takakusu	Buddhism as a Philosophy of "Thusness" 86
Dhirendra Mohan Datta	Epistemological Methods in Indian Philosophy 118
Swami Nikhilananda	Concentration and Meditation as Methods in Indian Philosophy 136
T. M. P. Mahadevan	Social, Ethical, and Spiritual Values in Indian Philosophy 152
S. Radhakrishnan	The Indian Approach to the Religious Problem 173
P. T. Raju	Religion and Spiritual Values in Indian Thought 183
Swami Nikhilananda	The Realistic Aspect of Indian Spirituality 216

Contents (continued)

THE INDIAN MIND:
ESSENTIALS OF INDIAN PHILOSOPHY AND CULTURE

C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar	The Philosophical Basis of Indian Legal and Social Systems 248
Dhirendra Mohan Datta	Some Philosophical Aspects of Indian Political, Legal, and Economic Thought 267
Kalidas Bhattacharyya	The Status of the Individual in Indian Metaphysics 299
T. R. V. Murti	The World and the Individual in Indian Religious Thought 320
Surama Dasgupta	The Individual in Indian Ethics 341
S. K. Saksena	The Individual in Social Thought and Practice in India 359
Tara Chand	The Individual in the Legal and Political Thought and Institutions of India 374
P. T. Raju	Extracted from "Indian Epistemology and the World and the Individual" 394
	Who's Who 397
	Index 405

CHARLES A. MOORE

Introduction:

The Comprehensive Indian Mind

THERE ARE TWO vital reasons for studying—and understanding—Indian philosophy: first (for the general reader), in order to understand the country and its people, which is impossible in the case of India without understanding its basic philosophies because the intimacy of philosophy and life in India is so fundamental to the whole Indian point of view; and, second (for those concerned with philosophy), in order to enlarge the scope of philosophy and to broaden the horizons of philosophers by attending seriously to the important contributions that Indian philosophy and philosophers have made to the totality of philosophical knowledge and wisdom—or at least can make if the rest of the world will attend seriously to those contributions. Intense and comprehensive study and fundamental knowledge are necessary for the realization of these two goals, or, in fact, for the realization of either of them.

Fact and fancy, truth and error, understanding and misunderstandings, truths and half-truths, a tendency toward extremes and exaggerations, admiration and ridicule, devotion and what seems at times to be malicious distortion—all these abound, and frequently in a very confusing mixture, whenever one thinks of the great subcontinent of India with its 450 million people, its recent unbelievable plight in terms of starvation, disease, and ignorance, and the plethora of ideas and practices that seem to isolate her from all other peoples and thought-traditions of the world, East and West. Confusion, misunderstanding and misrepresentation, bewilderment, and almost universal neglect—especially by Western

thinkers—have been much in evidence for centuries with reference to this greatest democratic nation in the Eastern world. We are not thinking here of those Westerners (and some Easterners) who have seen in India the source of fulfillment of their own selfish search for power and riches. These people have not known India; they have merely used her—mis-used her. Not only that, but they have apparently attempted to destroy the spirit and even the “mind” of this great people. But that spirit has refused to die, and now, at long last, is reasserting itself. India is determined, now that she has survived almost unendurable tragedies by maintaining her indomitable spirit, to revive its proud tradition and heritage and to take the place among the great peoples of the world which it once held—in culture, in learning, in religion, and in philosophy.

It should not be necessary today even to remind the peoples of the West—and, in fact, some of the people of Asia as well—that India must be understood—correctly and profoundly. Superficial knowledge will not do. Looking for the exotic will not do. Finding in her mind and spirit either the antithesis, or merely the complementary, to the West will not do. These will not do because they prevent genuine understanding and lead only to distortion and falsification—and mystification.

We must concern ourselves—and we are concerned here—with the mind of India in its richness, its variety, its profundity, its depth and its heights in the broad area of philosophy, and with the powerful molding force which the philosophies of the Indian tradition have exerted on the many-sided culture of the Indian people throughout the ages—with great difficulty during the dark era of foreign domination, to be sure, but even then. The philosophies, the religions, and the basic cultural patterns of India have been so deeply engrained in the minds and lives of the Indian people that not even virtual slavery—politically and economically—could prevail against them. It is these deep-seated ideas and ideals that are the mind of India, and it is these that we must understand in their fullest significance—both in themselves and, in many cases, for the world at large.

Understanding is a very complicated matter. Genuine understanding must be comprehensive, and comprehensive understanding must include a knowledge of all the fundamental aspects of the mind of the people in question. Philosophy is the major medium of

understanding, both because it is concerned deliberately and perhaps uniquely with the fundamental ideas, ideals, and attitudes of a people, and also because philosophy alone attempts to see the total picture and thus includes in its purview all the major aspects of the life of a people.

But there is still more to understanding and to the search for understanding, and here we face attitudes which the authors of the learned essays in this volume cannot provide but can only encourage. Reference is made to the indispensable need, on the part of the “outsider,” for a genuine desire to understand. Open-mindedness, cordiality to alien ideas and ideals, and actual determination are necessary ingredients of the attempt to understand an alien people, if that attempt is to be successful. One must at least attempt to see the other people as those people see themselves—and the chapters in this volume are in accord with this maxim. We cannot truly understand as long as we merely stand outside and look at; we must try to think and feel with. Above all, we cannot understand another people if we look through biased eyes, with the conviction of superiority, or with the assumption that what is different from our own must therefore be worthless. These are difficulties that attend any attempt to understand any “other” people, but they call for special notice here since they probably apply to India with unusual force because of the many wrong impressions and distortions that are such a part of the Western “understanding” of India. It is going to be especially difficult to rid our minds of these strong misconceptions—but it must be done. There is no other way.

One final point: understanding does not involve approval or acceptance: it may lead to exactly the opposite. However, we must understand each other in any case, and, in the case now at hand, India, the conviction is here expressed that genuine understanding will promote genuine friendship and harmony and a much greater meeting of the minds than ever seemed possible. In philosophy, India is more like the West, basically, than is any other Asian tradition, and India is more like the West than it is like any other Asian tradition, at least in one man’s opinion. Furthermore, there is nothing inscrutable or non-understandable in or about Indian philosophy, either because of the substance of Indian philosophy itself or because of the allegedly “totally different” bias of Western philosophy and the Western mind. But these points of similarity—and the writings in which they can be found—are almost completely ignored or very largely overshadowed by the excessive attention given to

the early, to the religious, and to the "different" aspects of the Indian tradition as a whole. This is tragic, but true, and it perpetuates clichés, oversimplifications, and actual distortions. And these, in turn, prevent genuine understanding and also prevent the West's taking Indian philosophy seriously. That is why it is tragic.

It is not easy to understand such a complex mind, civilization, culture, tradition as India presents. There are probably some common denominators of mind and practice which may be said to constitute the essence of the Indian mind in certain respects. But there is such great complexity and variety in practically every field—even in the languages which are causing so much practical difficulty for the government at the present time—that we must not even seek simplicity or even attempt to determine fundamental ideas and ideals without realizing from the start that these fundamentals express themselves in a great variety of ways and have changed greatly over the long span of some 4,000 years of a high level of thought and civilization. While we must seek out the basic pattern of thought and culture, we must realize, too, that the Indian mind is made up of more varieties of religion, more philosophies, and a greater complex of cultural practices than most any other major civilization in the world.

Philosophy is our business in this volume, and it may be well to quote an outstanding authority on Indian philosophy, Professor Mysore Hiriyanna, who says, "A striking characteristic of Indian thought is its richness and variety. There is practically no shade of speculation which it does not include."¹ The longer one studies Indian philosophy, the more one realizes the accuracy of that observation.

It may be appropriate to offer literal and factual justification and clarification of this contention concerning the richness and variety of Indian thought. Let us look, then, if ever so briefly, at the major movements and periods of Indian philosophy—in its broad meaning—and at the major systems and some of the sub-systems which constitute the Indian philosophical tradition—and realize, too, that there is ever so much more to the Indian thought-tradition as a whole, including a vast literature of strictly religious thought as such which is not to be—but has long been—confused with the philosophical thought with which we are concerned here.

There is, first, the Vedic period—usually dated approximately between 2500 and 6000 B.C. This is the period in which the founda-

tions of Indian philosophy were established, in which the two fundamental aspects of Indian thought and life, the two *dharma*s, the active and the contemplative, were developed and formulated, in the Vedas and Upaniṣads, respectively. To some, these may not be strictly philosophical—by others, they are mistakenly accepted as the whole or the essence of Indian philosophy—but, in any case, they do establish many of the basic ideas to which much of Indian philosophy conforms, and they contain a degree of authoritativeness, to such an extent that orthodox Hindu philosophy is defined in terms of its acceptance of the Veda—in the fuller sense of the word, including the Vedas and Upaniṣads. True, one would have some difficulty recognizing the ideas of the Vedas and the Upaniṣads in most of the technical philosophies of India, but this authoritativeness prevails even today as a basic part of the tradition. In the Vedas, we start with a very multitudinous polytheism, with all its appendages, and develop gradually to monotheism and, finally, to what might be called a strictly philosophical monism resulting unquestionably from the innate intellectual curiosity of the Indian mind²—but the activism of this part of the Indian tradition is never sacrificed. In the Upaniṣads, the usual interpretation calls for either a complete break with the Vedas, certainly in the sense of a replacement of activism by contemplation and inner searching for the real, or what might be called a speculative continuation of the problem of the One which the Vedas speculatively envisaged at its final stage, as it were. But, now—in the Upaniṣads—this speculation takes place primarily in terms of the experiences of the great mystical seers, and their basic method is mystical experience or intuition, with the minimizing of the role of reason as the, or even a, final method of reaching the ultimate truth.

The second period is the Epic period, dated approximately from 500 or 600 B.C. to A.D. 200. This is often considered either not a strictly philosophical period at all or, at most, a semi-philosophical era, because much of its product is primarily descriptive of the social customs and practices of the Hindu people, and the laying down of laws for life in accord with the Hindu philosophical and religious convictions. This is the period of the two great epics, the *Mahābhārata* and the *Rāmāyaṇa*, which are a combination of history, mythology, and religio-philosophical thought. It is the period of the *Dharma-śāstras*, the treatises on social philosophy and law, with their emphasis on social welfare, on the three basic values of morality, pleasure, and material welfare—with little or no real

concern for ultimate emancipation (*mokṣa*)—and on social stability in line with the caste system. During this period are developed the several and various political philosophies of India, including the very realistic *Artha-śāstra* of Kautilya, which would vie well with the most realistic political philosophies the world has ever known. It is the period that produces the *Bhagavad-gītā*, what has been called the living bible of Hinduism to this day. In other words, this is the period in which the basic ideas of original Hinduism are applied to life in its many facets, thus, as it were, completing the picture of Hinduism and giving it complete control over the mind and the actions of the Indian people.

But this period is much more than that. It is probably the most productive, the most creative, the most open-minded, and the richest period of Indian thought in terms of the great variety of specific ideas and theories developed, and also in terms of the rise of actual major philosophical systems, and many minor systems or viewpoints (skepticism, irrationalism, etc.), which were later crowded out of significant existence. These major systems are the non-Hindu, the heterodox, but still Indian, systems of Cārvāka materialism, Jaina realism, dualism, pluralism, and relativism, and the four (or more) major schools of Buddhism, which embrace, *depending greatly upon interpretation*: pluralism and monism; realism, relativism, "nihilism," idealism, and, according to some, even Absolutism, but, to others, intrinsic anti-Absolutism; unmetaphysical (or even anti-metaphysical "ethicism" and transcendental metaphysics; the scientific method and empiricism and even "analysis" and faith and mysticism; intuitionism and rational and logical methods and methodology—and perhaps especially the denial of all "labels" or "isms," or schools or specific viewpoints.³ All of these arose in opposition to the Hindu tradition in their basic philosophies, though they retain some of the traditional manners of living and, except for Cārvāka, something of the same ultimate goal of life, ultimate emancipation from the sufferings and evils of life itself.

As S. Radhakrishnan, the great contemporary Indian philosopher and statesman, has said:

... it [the Epic period] was an age keenly alive to intellectual interest, a period of immense philosophic activity and many-sided development. We cannot adequately describe the complex inspiration of the times. The people were labouring with the contradictions felt in the things without and the mind within. It was an age full of strange anomalies and contrasts. With the intellectual fervour and moral seriousness were also found united

a lack of mental balance and restraint of passion. It was the era of the Cārvākas as well as the Buddhists. Sorcery and science, scepticism and faith, license and asceticism were found commingled. When the surging energies of life assert their rights, it is not unnatural that many yield to unbridled imagination. Despite all this, the very complexity of thought and tendency helped to enlarge life. By its emphasis on the right of free inquiry the intellectual stir of the age weakened the power of traditional authority and promoted the cause of truth. Doubt was no longer looked upon as dangerous.⁴

The third period is the Sūtra period. This begins, according to some interpreters, one or two or three centuries before the Christian era and lasts into the early centuries of the Christian era. During this period the great Six Systems of Hindu philosophy were formulated, systematized, and written in terms of the original basic texts of these great—and greatly varying—schools of philosophy. The tendency among contemporary Hindus is to synthesize these systems as six aspects of one unified point of view or perspective, but the basic differences among them, the sometimes vigorous arguments between the competing systems, and the fact that each system continued for centuries in its philosophical identity would tend to question that interpretation. Here are represented, in these major systems, the logical realism and pluralism and theism of the Nyāya (the School of Logic); the atomic realism and theism of the Vaiśeṣika; the evolutionary dualism—and pluralism—of non-theistic Sāṃkhya; the meditative method—and dualism and pluralism—of theistic (?) Yoga; the practical and ethical—and what might be called linguistic—emphases of the realistic, pluralistic, and anti-theistic Mīmāṃsā, which is the systematization and rationalization of the Vedas; and the systematization and rationalization—of several varieties—of the Absolutism and monism of the Upaniṣads in the Vedānta. There is no philosophical uniformity among these systems except their alleged acceptance of the authoritativeness of the Vedas and the literal, but somewhat questionable, unanimous search for *mokṣa*, emancipation. These systems vary in practically all philosophical essentials except for their common agreement in the belief in the soul—as well as matter, by the way, except in the Vedānta, and in later periods even there.

This basic period is followed by what has been called the Scholastic period or the period of commentaries or commentators. This period endures even to today. It consists of an almost unbe-

lievable continuity of development of all the systems, heterodox and orthodox, except the Cārvāka. But it also produces, in the guise of mere commentaries, a wide variety of points of view—at times, virtually new systems—that reveal the originality and creativity of mind and thought possessed by these commentators, many of whom are commentators only in what might be called the polite sense of the word. Many of the major problems of philosophy are originated and developed during this period, sometimes on the basis of development of the ideas suggested in the Sūtras, sometimes going far beyond anything even suggested there. Also, among these commentators one finds, even within the same school, a sometimes unbelievable variety of points of view, as even in the Vedānta, where the range reaches from realistic pluralism to illusionistic monism and practically all varieties in between, and yet all are called Vedānta. Vedānta is decidedly not to be identified with Sāṃkhya, as is usually the case, especially in the West.

Thus, in the vast changes that took place from the rise of religio-philosophical thought in the Vedas to the present day—with the omission of a dark age when Indian philosophy suffered practical oblivion while India was dominated by outsiders—Professor Hiriyanna's contention that "practically no shade of speculation" is not included in Indian philosophy and that a striking characteristic of Indian thought is its richness and variety is amply justified and "documented."

Most of India's philosophical systems and her most significant ideals and concepts originated centuries ago, and they suffered a dark age far darker than the alleged dark age of the West. However, this does not mean that our concern is merely of antiquarian interest. Instead, we are concerned with India's philosophies because they still provide the guiding principles of the life of the people and also because there are very significant ideas and concepts there—no matter how old they are—to which the rest of the world may well turn for new insights and perhaps deeper wisdom.

Nor is it true that the philosophies of India, the great systems and the important concepts, have little relevance for the India of today. It is a major mistake to think that the philosophies of India belong to the past and that the "new India" is simply the India of today or a wholly different India molded by contemporary, chiefly Western, influences. The striking developments and changes that are taking place in contemporary India are not out of accord with

the Indian tradition—though they are out of accord with some of the distortions and excesses of that tradition which arose primarily under the stress and pressure of unfortunate events and almost unbearable circumstances. The apparent incompatibility of traditional India and contemporary India is basically only that—apparent. Long-range, comprehensive, and deep understanding will correct such an impression. There are changes, yes, but changes within the essential context of the many-sided and inclusive tradition that is India.

To illustrate this: India's strong contemporary interest in raising the standard of living—and its emphasis on practical activities and on practical idealism—is as intrinsic to the Indian mind and culture and tradition as it could be to any people on earth. Let it be said, too, as will be explained in one of the chapters of this volume, that the deep spirituality which is so dominant in India, both in thought and in life, is not so otherworldly, or escapist, or pessimistic, or negative that it finds violation of the spiritual in the abundant life. There is no incompatibility here.

As said before, philosophy is our concern here. But philosophy is not merely an (or *the*) indispensable medium of understanding and of knowing a people or a culture. Philosophy is also—and more basically, of course—the search for knowledge, for truth, for wisdom. In this respect, India provides the basis for a potential philosophical renaissance, if only the rest of the world, especially the West, will search out the new insights, the new intuitions, the new attitudes and methods which might well at least supplement if not replace or correct—and at least enlarge—the restricted perspective of the Western mind. As Professor George P. Conger has said, "... the question here is not so much whether Indian can contribute, as whether the West is ready to receive."⁵ As in the case of understanding, so in the case of learning *from* another people, some remarkable attitudes and attributes are indispensable—and very difficult to adopt. Perhaps it is even more important in learning from than in learning about another people that the "outsider" must come with the spirit of humility, with open-mindedness and cordiality, and with both willingness and determination to learn—or learning will be impossible, or superficial, or possibly self-defeating.

Of course, every tradition is biased in its own favor—and India is not free of this limitation, either. The West, however, generally speaking, suffers not only from such a conviction of the superiority

of its own attitudes and methods in the sphere of philosophy (and all learning)—not to mention the influence here of certain basic Indian ideas which “make no sense” to the Western mind—but has the additional disadvantage, at least as of now, of being largely ignorant of the tremendous riches of the almost inexhaustible Indian philosophical mind. What the West knows about India (and China, too, in fact) is largely only one aspect (and frequently a misleading aspect) of the very complex total picture. In India’s case (as well as in China’s), it is a knowledge which is historically, philosophically, and even simply intelligently so one-sided as to make genuine and comprehensive understanding impossible, and also to deny even the existence, let alone the significance, of many of the greatest philosophical concepts the mind of India has discovered. Oversimplification and distortion are the inevitable results of such partial and historically false—early—and consequently significantly superficial knowledge of the great philosophical panorama of the Indian intellect, as well as of the Indian spirit. The Vedas, the Upaniṣads, and the *Bhagavad-gītā*, along with one extreme Vedāntin, Saṃkara, have dominated the Western “picture” of Indian philosophy, but they do not constitute anything like the whole or the essence or even, as so often contended, the basic spirit of the almost infinite variety of philosophical concepts, methods, and attitudes that make up the Indian philosophical tradition. Instead, if these are taken as the whole or the essence—as, to be sure, even many Indians would have us believe—there would be a narrowness and a limitation of significant philosophy that would well warrant the neglect that Western philosophers have shown toward that tradition. But they are not the whole.

The all but utterly ignored rational and logical, the systematic and analytical, character of the Indian philosophical tradition—for all its intuition and mysticism and Absolutism—is just one, but a vital, illustration in this connection, and is an undeniable fact, one which makes India much closer to the West in its philosophizing than any other philosophical tradition in the whole of Asia. And, yet, this is almost completely ignored by the West and is frequently played down by those who are so seriously concerned with bringing the great spirituality of India to the allegedly unspiritual West. Another significant illustration of this same general point is the very much greater emphasis on theism rather than Absolutism in the Indian spiritual tradition as a whole. Another is the tendency to emphasize the spiritual alone and in its purity, in isolation from all

relationships with the non-spiritual, although this is an extreme interpretation, and is not by any means unanimously held either by the major systems and thinkers or by modern interpreters. For example, Sri Aurobindo says, “. . . spirituality itself does not flourish on earth in a vacuum,”⁶ and many would agree with him as reflecting the transcendent and immanent character of the spiritual, as in the West. This is not to deny the significance of intuition and spiritual realization in Indian thought—and religious zeal in Indian life—but it is to deny their exclusive significance and to emphasize, as strongly as possible, the keen and profound intellectual and logical attitudes which are of the essence of Indian philosophy as such, as sharply contrasted with China and Japan. There are many such affinities, many compatibilities, many actually common or shared ideas, ideals, and attitudes—as well as methods. On this latter point, by the way, reference is made to the fact that the use of intuition in India is not a source of incompatibility with Western philosophy when one recognizes the actual use and significance of intuition in Western philosophy, and the fact that intuition is actually of strictly limited use in Indian philosophy.

However, there are many differing approaches to reality, to life, to truth, and to philosophy itself in the Indian tradition which, at least in emphasis or relatively speaking, are or should be of exciting significance for the inquiring mind of the Western philosopher. They should also be of profound significance to any philosopher who is seeking the traditional and basic—and unique—goal of philosophy. That goal is the total truth about reality as a whole, and that goal, and our attempt to achieve it, which is the true work of philosophy, requires, as Professor B.A.G. Fuller said a long time ago, “an observation and study of the data presented by all . . . aspects [of life, experience, and the universe itself].”⁷ This means that philosophers need, indeed must have, the insights, the suggestions, the experiences, and the different approaches of all philosophers everywhere. Otherwise, as is so commonly the case in the West, philosophers will be limited to their own narrow perspective, to their own biased methods, and, believe it or not, to most of the traditional Western ideas, ideals, attitudes, and methods. And to be so limited is not to be a philosopher.

It might be in order to cite some specific philosophical concepts, attitudes, and methods which are widely considered to constitute the philosophical mind of India or its essence. These points might well serve at least as guidelines. It might also be valuable

to suggest a list of specific "new" ideas that India offers to the philosophical world out of its unique experiences, ideas which, possibly, are different only in emphasis but at least in that respect and to that extent. But, since any such attempt is sure to be questionable, in that no such list can be compiled with complete accuracy and comprehensiveness without so many exceptions as to make it almost meaningless (and certainly not *characteristic*), it could be wiser, rather, to suggest that the reader judge for himself from the various—and varying—presentations to follow what he considers to be the essence of the Indian philosophical perspective and of the Indian mind and also what he considers to be—to repeat—"new" or "different" ideas, ideals, attitudes, and methods which might well be worthy of serious and unbiased consideration in the interest of philosophy and truth itself.

However, although it is dangerous and questionable to do so, it is deemed justifiable and even helpful to cite here those philosophical principles, attitudes, and methods which are, by rather common agreement, considered characteristic of Indian philosophy as a whole. Prior to this listing, however, it is advisable to repeat the caveat to the effect that a substantial case could be made against every one of these characterizations as genuinely characteristic of Indian philosophy and certainly as characteristic of it in its entirety, since every one violates or ignores the multiplicity and complexity of the Indian mind in these specific areas. (In the list that follows, some of the doubtful aspects of these "characteristics" will be cited so as to offset the danger of oversimplification of the complex Indian attitudes.) Be that as it may, it is usually contended—by Indians—that the following are the important principles of Indian thought.

1) A universal and primary concern for, and almost a preoccupation with, matters of spiritual significance—in practically every sense of the word, in all its many ramifications, and perhaps especially with reference to the ultimate spiritual goal of man. (There is not *too much* of an argument against this "characteristic"—except for the entire extensive literature of the Dharma-śāstras and the Artha-śāstras, in which social welfare is the primary concern and man's ultimate destiny is of little or no serious concern—and the possibility that *mokṣa*, emancipation, may not in fact be of supreme or even genuinely significant concern to the authors of at least four of the Darśanas.)

1a) Belief in a soul or self or spiritual principle—except in materialistic Cārvāka and, some contend, except, too, in Buddhism, with its doctrine of *an-ātman*, no self, but this latter contention is clearly a too literal and unacceptable interpretation.

1b) Belief—throughout all of Hinduism, Buddhism, and Jainism—in the doctrine of *karma* (the principle of ethical cause and effect, or justice) and rebirth.

1c) Monism and/or Absolutism—well-known but extremely questionable *characterizations* unless we indulge in extreme and excessive limitation of view and ignore very much, if not most, of the comprehensive philosophical literature that demands inclusion. (There is no Absolutism or monism in any of the Six Systems of Hinduism except the Vedānta, in any school of Buddhism, in Jainism, or in the Cārvāka.)

1d) Basic concern with ultimates—the metaphysical perspective, or the "sense for the infinite," as it has been called—and only secondary concern for the empirical perspective.

2) An emphasis upon the close relationship of philosophy and life, in the sense that, as Radhakrishnan says, "Every doctrine has been turned into a passionate conviction, stirring the hearts of man and quickening his breath and completely transforming his nature,"⁸ and also in the sense that philosophy and its activities are not to be undertaken as an "intellectual exercise," or motivated by a desire for truth for its own sake, but must be lived, such that, in common agreement, the truth must not only be known but also "*realized*." As Professor W. H. Sheldon once said—in paraphrase—in the West we want to *know* the truth, in India they want to *be* the truth.⁹ Even this could be challenged in most of the six systems.

3) The recognition of the validity and necessity of intuition (and also the wisdom of certain authoritative texts) to supplement the rational and intellectual pursuit of truth—and the corollary, or preliminary conviction, that reason is distinctly limited in scope and finality, although it is the basic method of philosophy in India as elsewhere. (Intuition, authority, and criticisms of reason are all overemphasized both in Indian and in Western descriptions, generally.)

4) Another interesting corollary of this perspective is the view that truth is achieved by the whole man, not by his intellect alone—in sharp contrast with the Western perspective and accepted procedure. Dr. S. K. Saksena, in his paper here, states the case succinctly:

What, then, should be the source of philosophical knowledge? It is neither sense, nor reason, nor intuition, but the whole of the man. Philosophy is the reaction of the whole of man to the whole of reality. Man is a spirit, an integral whole, consisting of his body, mind, intellect, passion, and will, and his reason alone can no more exhaust him than his animality can encompass his reason. Reason or rational thought is only a part of his being. Purely rational knowledge, therefore, militates against and contradicts the affirmations of the rest of a man's being and receives acceptance only by a corner of his self.¹⁰

(This view is not followed unanimously among Indian philosophers, however.)

5) The synthetic attitude in both philosophy and religion, such that practically all views are deemed partly true or true from their particular points of view, and such that the ultimate truth is a synthesis of the many partial truths discovered by different approaches to truth and reality. (An aspect or application of this synthetic point of view is in a sense violated in this volume and in the volumes for which the papers were originally written.) In India—at least, this is the general contention—metaphysics, religion, ethics, social philosophy, political philosophy, psychology, and methodology and epistemology are all parts of one substantial and total problem; they are all therefore essentially interrelated. They are not to be isolated or separated even for the sake of specialized study, for that violates their unity in life and in reality. Yet, here we find these problems, in the large, treated separately. The style followed at the conferences and in the volumes in this series was chosen deliberately, however, for the sake of clarity for non-Indian students and readers—and also to avoid too general presentations and the consequent overlapping of material and the repetition that would be practically inevitable. (Even this synthetic interpretation might be an exaggeration, because there are several distinctly separate areas of philosophical literature dealing with different aspects of the total problem—such as, for example, Dharma-śāstras, Artha-śāstras, Mokṣa-śāstras, etc.)

6) Consequent upon this synthetic perspective—whether we apply it to reality, to truth, to the pursuit of truth, to the approaches to spiritual fulfillment (religions or ways of living¹¹), or in one's perspective toward the values of life, India is proud of her over-all attitude of intellectual, philosophical,¹² religious, ethical, and practical tolerance (although, as said earlier, there is reason to question

the degree of tolerance among the great systems and thinkers in the long and complex Indian philosophical tradition).

7) Deep concern with the inner man and with the introspective approach to truth, as contrasted with outer things and values, such that, generally speaking, the transformation of the inner self is primary, while concern with changing or controlling the outer world is distinctly secondary, if significant at all. Put another way: to be, not to do, is of supreme importance.

8) An emphasis upon—and great achievements in—the area of psychology in its broadest and most comprehensive sense. India seems to be characterized by the conviction that the mind (and even what the West calls “soul”) is transcended by the “self” and that the ranges of man's psychic capacities are “infinitely” greater than in any other philosophical tradition, both in its highest reaches and in its subtlest potentialities.

9) Allegedly, although there are strong arguments against it in its exclusive accuracy and especially in metaphysics, there is a general consensus among Indians that their tradition is characterized by idealism in one sense or another, and certainly in the areas of ethics, political thought, and social thought and practices. (*In fact*, the Indian attitude is really a synthesis of idealism and realism, of spirituality and cultural, intellectual, and worldly values.)

10) Ethics, though considered of absolute importance in life, is, nevertheless, considered to be subordinate to spiritual realization, as only a means thereto, and to be completely transcended once the ultimate goal of spiritual fulfillment or emancipation has been achieved.

11) There are certain common ethical principles that seem to dominate the life of India, essentially non-hurt (sometimes called non-violence), restraint and self-control, non-attachment, and what, for lack of a better word, may be called charity.¹³

12) While the values of social life—morality, pleasure, and material welfare, in general—are accepted as significant in the empirical realm, these are all subordinate to and, at most, instrumental toward the ultimate spiritual goal of emancipation (*mokṣa*), or spiritual realization.

13) A common social philosophy, including the three empirical values previously mentioned—morality, pleasure, and material wel-

fare—and, in its proper sense, some form of classification of the members of society in the interest of the welfare of society as a whole and as a means of spiritual progress through the performance of one's social and moral duties.

14) The need for moral purification as a necessary preliminary to the process of knowledge—fitting the Upaniṣadic (and Vedāntic) teaching that without moral purity one cannot even enter upon the search for spiritual truth.¹⁴

15) An interesting somewhat related "theory" is that, once one has entered upon the search for knowledge, the process consists of three steps, namely, hearing it from a teacher (or reading it in an authoritative text), reasoning about it, and then meditating upon the conclusions so reached until those conclusions become, not mere academic knowledge, but the kind of soul-stirring convictions mentioned before which alone are capable of transforming one's nature in accordance with truth.¹⁵

16) Some—though not all—Indians point to the belief in what is called "initial pessimism"—life is suffering—and "ultimate optimism" consisting essentially in the achievability of spiritual emancipation from the sufferings and spiritual inadequacies of life.¹⁶

17) *Yoga*, in one or more of its many forms, is considered essential to the pursuit of spiritual truth and the freedom of the spirit, since it provides the mental concentration necessary for freedom from all distractions which encourage or even produce ignorance and prevent the achievement of spiritual purity, truth, and fulfillment.

These major principles and attitudes may or may not be characteristic of Indian thought as a whole in any essential and exclusive sense, but, as emphases, (1) they must be understood if we would understand the Indian people, whose life and thought they guide, and (2) they are unquestionably at least basic tendencies in Indian philosophy and life—and, consequently, it is within these areas of emphasis in which India is the "expert" that she can contribute most to the total picture which is philosophy. And they may serve as useful guidelines for study—cordial or critical—of the many interesting and exciting, sometimes difficult, sometimes disturbing, ideas presented in the informative and provocative chapters to follow.

Notes

1. *Outlines of Indian Philosophy* (New York: The Macmillan Co., 1932), p. 16.
2. *Rg-veda*, X.129.
3. This is the major point of the Mādhyamika system, and the Buddha also denied adherence to any one doctrine. (*Majjhima-nikāya*, 1.483-488.)
4. *Indian Philosophy* (London: George Allen & Unwin Ltd.; rev. ed.; New York: The Macmillan Co., 1929), Vol. I, p. 272.
5. "An Outline of Indian Philosophy," in Charles A. Moore, ed., *Philosophy—East and West* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1944), p. 23.
6. Sri Aurobindo, *The Renaissance in India* (3rd ed.; Calcutta: Arya Publishing House, 1946), p. 11.
7. The full quotation is "a reflective and reasoned attempt to infer the character and content of the universe, taken in its entirety and as a single whole, from an observation and study of the data presented by all its aspects." *A History of Philosophy* (rev. ed.; New York: Henry Holt and Co., 1945), p. 1.
8. See S. Radhakrishnan and Charles A. Moore, eds., *A Source Book in Indian Philosophy* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1957), pages xxiii-xxiv. See also S. Radhakrishnan, *Indian Philosophy*, *op. cit.*, pp. 26-27.
9. See "Main Contrasts Between Eastern and Western Philosophy," in Charles A. Moore, ed., *Essays in East-West Philosophy* (Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1951), pp. 288-297.
10. See his chapter here, "Relation of Philosophical Theories to the Practical Affairs of Men," at p. 25.
11. See examples: *Rg-veda*, I.164.46; *Bhagavad-gītā*, IV.11; IX.23; VII.21.
12. A. B. Dhruva, "Presidential Address," in *Proceedings of the Second Session of the Indian Philosophical Congress* (Benares: Philosophical Association, 1926), pp. 1-26; also S. Radhakrishnan, in S. Radhakrishnan and Charles A. Moore, eds., *A Source Book in Indian Philosophy*, p. xxv. See also S. Radhakrishnan *The Heart of Hindusthan* (Madras: G. A. Natesan and Co., n.d.), pp. 135-137.
13. In this connection it might be good to cite Surendranath Dasgupta, who says that the fundamental points of agreement among Indian systems, excepting the Cārvāka, are: the theory of *karma* and re-birth, the doctrine of *mukti* or emancipation, the doctrine of the existence of the soul—Buddhism excepted—a pessimistic attitude toward the world and an optimistic faith in the end and certain general principles of ethical conduct such as control of passions, non-injury, etc. See



History of Indian Philosophy (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1922), Vol. I, pp. 71-77.

14. *Taittiriya Upaniṣad*, II. vii; *Bṛhadāraṇyaka-upaniṣad*, IV. iv. 23; *Kaṭha upaniṣad*, II. 24; and *Brahma-sūtra-bhāṣya*, I. i. 1.
15. *Bṛhadāraṇyaka-upaniṣad*, II. iv. 5.
16. Satishchandra Chatterjee and Dharendra Mohan Datta, *An Introduction to Indian Philosophy* (3rd ed. rev.; Calcutta: University of Calcutta, 1948), p. 16.

S. K. SAKSENA

Relation of Philosophical Theories to the Practical Affairs of Men

I. Practical Affairs and Religious and Scientific Beliefs

BEFORE WE inquire into the relation which philosophical theories as a class may have with the practical affairs of men, it may be worth while to ask what it is which generally guides and determines our conduct. The answer would probably be that it is by our beliefs, religious or secular, that we generally live and act. As the *Gītā* says, "Man is of the nature of his faith: what his faith is, that, verily, he is."¹ These beliefs may or may not be true, but, in the last analysis, it is these true or false beliefs which determine our conduct. While beliefs may be acquired in different ways, their chief sources are either the religious experiences of mankind, transmitted through theological knowledge, or the scientific knowledge of the day. These two sources of our beliefs cover almost the entire range of man's activities.

1. INADEQUACY OF SCIENTIFIC AND RELIGIOUS BELIEFS

While the above two sources of our beliefs, religious and scientific, are practically the originators and modifiers of our conduct, there is a philosophical unsatisfactoriness about each of them. Scientific beliefs, which have the merit of objective validity, have, nevertheless, a grave defect inasmuch as they do not refer to man's deepest questions regarding the meaning and purpose of life, nor do they deal with questions of valuation and worth. Scientific knowledge, which deals with the true, ignores the good, and theological beliefs, which have the opposite merit of being practically useful by virtue of their relevance to the practical aspirations of man, have

DHIRENDRA MOHAN DATTA

Epistemological Methods in Indian Philosophy

THE SUBJECT OF THIS PAPER raises two questions which are very closely connected but are not identical. They are: (1) What, according to Indian philosophy, are the methods or sources through which men in general acquire knowledge? (2) What are the methods which Indian philosophers employ for solving their problems and acquiring knowledge? I shall discuss the subject in its first aspect (i.e., general epistemology) in some detail, and in its second aspect very briefly.

Knowledge and Its Sources

In Sanskrit the word for cognition in general is "jñāna." The word for valid cognition is "pramā," and that for the source of valid knowledge is "pramāṇa." The problems of *pramā* and *pramāṇa* are discussed threadbare by the different schools of philosophy, because nearly all of them believe that human suffering is rooted in ignorance, the removal of which is the chief object of philosophy, and also because they believe that without a critical discussion of the theory of knowledge truth cannot be attained.¹

As Indian philosophy has developed from the days of the Vedas in the midst of a series of changing racial, social, political, and religious influences over a period of at least five thousand years, there have arisen innumerable schools of thought, and consequently there has also been a large variety of epistemological theories. So, while in Western philosophy we are generally told of two sources of

knowledge, perception and inference, which are treated as synonymous with immediate and mediate knowledge, respectively, Indian philosophy, in its different major schools, recognizes up to six sources of knowledge, and some minor schools even add two or three more. Elaborate arguments are adduced to show the necessity of recognizing each as a separate source.²

THE MANY SOURCES OF KNOWLEDGE

The Cārvāka materialist admits perception as the only source of knowledge. Kaṇāda (the founder of the Vaiśeṣika school) and the Buddhist admit two, perception and inference. The Sāṃkhya admits three, perception, inference, and authority. Gautama (the founder of the Nyāya school) admits *upamāna* (knowledge by similarity), in addition to these three. The Prabhākara school of the Mīmāṃsā admits five, the four sources mentioned before and *arthāpatti* (postulation). The Bhāṭṭa school of the Mīmāṃsā and Śaṅkara's monistic (*advaita*) school of the Vedānta admit also a sixth source, namely, non-cognition, in addition to these five. Some others recognize instinctive or intuitive knowledge (*pratibhā*); some, unbroken tradition (*itiha*); and some, possible inclusion (*sambhava*) as other kinds of knowledge—but these three are not recognized by the major schools. Before we discuss these different sources of knowledge it will be useful to note a few important things about knowledge and validity in general.

VALIDITY

Valid cognition, which is obtained by any of these methods, is generally regarded as cognition which is free from doubt (*saṃśaya*), indefiniteness (*anadhyavasāya*), and error (*bhrama*), and which, therefore, reveals things as they are (*yathārtha*), furnishes the basis of successful activity (*saṃvādi-pravṛtti-anukūla*), and is not contradicted (*abādhita*) by any other experience. Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika realists regard agreement with reality as the essence of truth. Bauddha (Buddhist) thinkers, e.g., Dharmakīrti, regard practical efficiency as the distinguishing mark that differentiates a valid cognition from an invalid one,³ whereas the Advaitins (non-dualistic Vedāntins) emphasize more the uncontradicted nature of valid cognition.

Most thinkers hold that novelty should also be regarded as a necessary character of knowledge worthy of the name. So, memory (which is a reproduction of knowledge acquired in the past through perception or any other source) is not regarded as a separate kind

of valid cognition. Some others point out, however, that memory should be regarded as a substantive source of knowledge at least insofar as it yields valuable information about the pastness of an experience or its object—information which could not be obtained from any other source without its aid.

Two other important questions⁴ regarding validity are: (1) Whether conditions that generate the validity of a perception or any other knowledge are intrinsic to the conditions that generate that knowledge, and (2) Whether the validity of that knowledge is known by the knowledge itself. Opinions are sharply divided on these matters. Roughly speaking, the Mīmāṃsā and the Vedānta hold that validity should be regarded as the natural or normal character of knowledge and invalidity as an exceptional phenomenon which arises when there are some accidental vitiating factors. So, they hold that the conditions of validity lie within the very conditions that generate the knowledge, and they also hold that the validity of knowledge is known from the knowledge itself, as it arises. For example, if the relation of the visual sense to the object is regarded as the condition of visual perception, then the validity of this cognition is also due to this very condition; and, moreover, as soon as such a perception arises we believe it to be true, and, therefore, we act upon it without hesitation and without waiting for its confirmation by any other knowledge. This position regarding the two questions of validity is called the theory of self-validity (*svataḥ-prāmāṇya-vāda*).

The Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika thinkers hold the opposite view, namely, that of external validity (*parataḥ-prāmāṇya-vāda*). They believe that there are some special conditions (other than those of the knowledge itself) which generate its validity. For example, whereas the mere sense-object relation may be said to generate visual perception in general, the soundness of the visual organ, sufficiency of light, etc., may be regarded as the special conditions generating its validity (the absence of which may cause perceptual error). Again, the validity of this knowledge is not self-manifest. It is inferred from these special conditions or from some other data.

From this brief discussion it would appear that, whereas the attitude of some Indian thinkers toward knowledge is one of belief, that of others is one of neutrality or open-mindedness. But in addition to these two attitudes there is also a third, that of disbelief, held by the skeptical Buddhists, according to whom invalidity is the self-manifest character of every cognition, and validity (which is


nothing other than the practical efficiency of it) can be established only indirectly (by its successful practical consequences).

Regarding the knowledge of knowledge itself there are also different views. The Sāṃkhya, the Vedānta, Prabhākara, and the Jaina hold that knowledge is self-manifest; the Nyāya holds that it is known as an object of subsequent introspection (*anuvyavasāya*). But Bhāṭṭas hold that knowledge is known by inference from the knownness of its object.

OBJECTS

In Indian epistemology, we also have different views regarding the status of the object of knowledge. Within the same system of Buddhism, for example, we have what may be called, in terms of modern Western epistemology, direct realism (held by the Vaibhāṣikas), critical realism (held by the Sautrāntikas), subjective idealism (held by the Yogācāras), and a fourth variety, which has no Western analogue, indeterminism (*śūnya-vāda*, held by the Mādhyamikas), according to which the object of knowledge (as well as any other thing) is not describable either as "is" or as "is not" or as "both is and is not" or as "neither is nor is not." Here is an example of subtle distinctions—even much subtler in some respects than most up-to-date Western epistemology—and a complete scheme of possible epistemological positions which evolved in India at least a thousand years ago. Except for Buddhism, most of the schools are realistic. The Sāṃkhya, which holds that all objects are the products of intellect, can also be called realistic, if it is admitted that this intellect is cosmic and not personal. Though there are among the later followers of Śaṅkara some extreme subjectivists who hold the theory that creation is only perception (*dr̥ṣṭi-sr̥ṣṭi-vāda*), yet Śaṅkara, in spite of his metaphysical idealism, was an epistemological realist, as is clear from his emphatic refutation of the subjective idealism of the Yogācāra Buddhists. It is interesting to note that even an illusory perception is regarded by most Advaitins as having a corresponding object momentarily created.

But, though Sāṃkhya, Vedānta, and Jaina thinkers believe, like realists, in the presence of objects independent of knowledge, they do not think that consciousness is the product of the relation of the object to the knower. They hold that the knower is the self, which is intrinsically conscious, and that knowledge of objects is like the illumination of objects by the pre-existing light of a lamp. Consciousness, in itself, is eternal and original, but its relation to a par-



ticular object is conditional and accidental. But ranged against this position there is a group of influential thinkers of the Nyāya, the Vaiśeṣika, and the Mīmāṃsā schools who, like Locke, think that the self is primarily unconscious and that consciousness arises in it when it is properly related to objects. With this general idea about knowledge, let us have a bird's-eye view of its different sources.

PERCEPTION (PRATYAKṢA)

Perception is generally described as knowledge arising from the relation of the object to some sense. Five external senses (namely, those of hearing, sight, touch, taste, and smell) and at least one internal sense (*manas*, mind) are commonly postulated for explaining external perception and internal perception (of pleasure, pain, etc.). A peculiarity of Indian thought worth notice here is that a distinction is made between mind as knower and mind as the internal sense and organ of attention—the first being called *ātman* or *puruṣa* and the second *manas* or *antaḥ-karāṇa*. These two are considered to be two different substances by the Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika school. To perceive an object the self must attend to the object through its *manas*, and be related to it through the appropriate sense.

Is any immediate knowledge possible without the help of sense? This question is variously answered. The Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika⁵ thinks that immediate knowledge ordinarily involves the direct relation of the object, so known, to some sense. But it recognizes certain exceptions which may be classed under two categories. First, there are those cases in which the sense is related indirectly to the object, yet the object can be said to be immediately known. For example, when the table is directly related to the skin of the hand, not only the table is perceived, but also the quality of touch, which is in the table, the hardness which inheres in the touch, the absence of an inkpot which characterizes the table—all these are immediately perceptible, though these latter cannot be said to be directly related to the sense, but only indirectly through the substance in which they are. The second class of exceptional cases includes those in which even no such indirect relation can be traced between the object and sense, and yet the object is felt to be immediately known. These cases are called extra-ordinary perception (*alaukika-pratyakṣa*) and are of three kinds. When we *see* a piece of sandal as fragrant (or cotton as soft, or stone as hard), the smell and touch are felt as immediate though really we cannot trace the relation of these to the sense of smell or touch. Erroneous perception of a rope as a

snake, of heated air in the desert as water, etc., also belong to this class. In all such cases, some memory-idea vividly aroused by similarity, etc., functions like a sense relation and causes immediate perception. Whether such immediate perception is true or false depends on whether it represents the object as it is or not. There is a second kind of extra-ordinary perception in which an entire class of objects can be said to be immediately known when a particular member of it is immediately known. When a man sees his first tiger, and *sees* in it the general character of tigerhood, he can be said to see thereby all tigers, not, of course, as possessed of their respective individual properties, but as possessed of the general character of tigerhood, because, except tigerhood, there is no other attribute of tigers as a class which remains to be perceived. Such knowledge of a class helps induction. The third kind of extra-ordinary perception, admitted on the basis of the experience of the *yogins*, is perception through successful complete mental concentration on any object too small, too far away, or too much concealed to be related to sense.

It may be remarked in this connection that as the Sāṃkhya, the Yoga, the Nyāya, the Vaiśeṣika, and the Advaita Vedānta believe the self to be really infinite, the question of the possibility of the relation of the self to the rest of the universe without the medium of sense or body does not raise any theoretical difficulty. On the contrary, the fettering of the soul to the body is, for them, the reason for its limited knowledge. Even the Jainas, who do not admit the infinity of self (*jīva*), but admit only its power of expansion, hold that the self can the more directly know other minds and other things unconnected with sense, the more it can free itself from the forces of attachment that fetter it to the body. So, they hold that knowledge through sense, being through some medium, cannot be called truly immediate. Only the liberated saint can obtain full and immediate knowledge directly without the help of any sense. Patañjali, the founder of the Yoga system,⁶ holds that one can know the mind of another person and also imperceptible objects by complete concentration of the mind on them. The Advaitins view immediacy as the basic character of the Absolute Consciousness, of which the knower, the known, and the process or mechanism of knowledge are apparent differentiations due to ignorance. So, for them, immediacy is not generated by the knowing process. The self's knowledge of an external object is empirically describable, of course, in terms of the function of the mind, or internal organ, and the sense concerned. In the light of this, the Advaitins say that in every

perception the mind flows out to the object through the sense and assumes the form of the object and establishes thereby a sort of identity between the mind and the object. But this process does not generate consciousness or immediacy. It only destroys the imagined barrier between the knower (which is nothing but the basic consciousness delimited by the mind) and the object (which is also the same consciousness delimited by the objective form) by a kind of identity established between the two delimiting and differentiating factors.⁷

So, for the Advaitin, every sense-perception is really the restoration of the basic identity between the knower and the known, and the allowing of the basic reality, i.e., consciousness, to reveal itself immediately. But such immediate knowledge is an extremely limited expression of the basic consciousness. When a person can altogether overcome his sense of identification with the body (mind, senses, vitality, and other individuating conditions) by realizing his identity with the basic consciousness, there is revelation of this self-shining basic existence. This is pure and absolute immediate consciousness.

DIRECT REALIZATION OF PHILOSOPHICAL TRUTHS

In this connection we may briefly mention the characteristic Indian notion of the direct realization (*sākṣāt-kāra*) of truth. This is common to all the schools, except the materialist, though truth or truths are differently conceived. The process of realization (*sādhana*), though differing in detail from school to school, has also a common pattern. This consists in learning the truth (from authoritative texts, preceptors, or other sources), reasoning critically about its pros and cons, and, if thus found acceptable, meditating on it intensely and repeatedly. This vigorous intellectual culture must be accompanied by moral reformation, that is, reorganization of all emotion and behavior by changing all old habits based on previous ignorance or misconception of the truth.

But how can such intellectual and moral exercise lead to direct or immediate knowledge? The matter is not so mysterious as it may seem to be. By repeated thought and behavior based thereon, we feel that we directly see a material body existing in all dimensions though only a color-patch forming a part of its front surface is present to sense. We see time on looking at the dial of a watch; on receipt of a piece of paper called a bank note we feel that we are receiving real money; we see danger in a frown or a red signal; we see thoughts in printed letters. Similarly, I directly feel as though I

am the body and separated from the rest of the world by my outer skin, limited and helpless. I feel that I am a man, a teacher, a Hindu, and so on. The objects of my ordinary desire—food, dress, house, and money—all directly appear as values, relatively stable and worth while.

Is it not possible to think, that by a similar but more consciously, rationally, and intently initiated process of repeated thinking, willing, and feeling, truths about the self, the world, and its values, different from these ordinarily accepted ones, can also be felt and realized at least as directly as these are in life?

In such realization the intellect rather than sense experience takes the lead, and reinterprets and re-evaluates the latter. It is the "theoretic component" which rules here over the "aesthetic" and even reveals itself through the latter.

INFERENCE (ANUMĀNA)

Inference⁸ is generally regarded by Indian thinkers as knowledge from a sign (say, smoke) to the signified (say, fire) on the basis of previous knowledge of invariable concomitance (*vyāpti*) between the two. Though all systems discuss inference, the Nyāya treats it very elaborately. According to the Nyāya, a universal relation or induction is based on repeated observation in the light of the method of agreement in presence (*anvaya*, e.g., "All cases of smoke are cases of fire") and also (where possible) the method of agreement in absence (*vyatireka*, e.g., "Where there is no fire, there is no smoke"). Induction may be vitiated by non-observation of hidden essential conditions (*upādhis*) responsible for the apparent invariability between the two phenomena. Such a defect can be removed only by repeated and varied observation (*bhūyo-darśana*). The truth of an inductive generalization may also be deductively tested by indirect hypothetical argument (*tarka*) leading to a *reductio ad absurdum*, e.g., "If smoke were not accompanied by fire, then it would be without a cause, which is absurd." But, if the doubt is still raised, "What if events are without a cause?" it is silenced by the contradiction (*vyāghāta*) it would have with practical behavior, where we always seek a cause for producing an effect.

The Buddhists employ the method of five steps (*pañca-kāraṇī*) in order to discover a causal connection, and thereby an invariable relation, between phenomena: (1) non-observation of the cause as well as the effect; (2) observation of the cause; (3) observation of the effect; (4) observation of the disappearance of the cause; and

(5) observation of the disappearance of the effect. Thus, with the help of this double method of difference (as Dr. Seal calls it), a causal connection may be established between fire and smoke. Buddhists also lay down identity of essence (*tādātmya*) as another ground on which a universal proposition (e.g., "All oaks are trees") can be based.

But the Nyāya says of the first method that it cannot be applied when other circumstances vary and the suspicion of a plurality of causes cannot be removed. Moreover, there are many cases of non-causal uniformity (established by the Nyāya methods previously described), e.g., "All animals having horns have tails," on which inference also can be based. Regarding the second method, identity of essence, the Nyāya points out that it is not really a ground of inference. To say, "This is a tree, because it is an oak" is really no inference at all if an oak is already known to be identical with a tree.

An important distinction is made between the psychological process of inference (not necessarily expressed in language), which takes place in the mind of one who infers for his own self (*svārthānumāna*), and the demonstrative form of inference, which is used for convincing others (*parārthānumāna*). In the former, one argues: "This hill has smoke; whatever has smoke has fire; so, the hill has fire." But the demonstrative form, as Gautama conceives it, must have five steps:

- (1) Clear enunciation of the proposition to be proved—This hill has fire.
- (2) Statement of reason—This hill has smoke.
- (3) Statement of universal relation, supported by concrete instances—Whatever has smoke has fire, e.g., the fireplace. Whatever has no fire has no smoke, e.g., the lake.
- (4) Application of the universal relation to the present case—The hill has such smoke (which is invariably accompanied by fire).
- (5) Conclusion—Therefore, the hill has fire.

There are some important points to note about this five-membered argument (*pañcāvayava-nyāya*).

First, it is the form in which debate and discussion should be conducted for the ascertainment of truth and establishment of theories. In order that there may be no ambiguity, digression, and shifting of ground, there is an explicit statement of the *probandum*

and the checking of it by its restatement at the end—as in Euclid's geometrical proof.

Second, we do not have here a mere formal syllogism, but also an attempt to establish its material validity by the citation of concrete instances supporting the universal major premise. It is, as Dr. Seal says, an inductive-deductive, formal-material process.

Third, (because of this) it does not always assume the form of finality. Sometimes (to start a discussion and invite criticism) it lays down a tentative proposition with a provisional induction, supported by an example, waiting to see what the opponent can say against it. It then becomes a process of tentative discovery and provisional proof.

The fallacies which may vitiate the conclusion of such a process are mentioned by Gautama and treated by his followers very elaborately. The more important of these arise from (1) assigning a reason (middle term) which has no invariable relation to what is to be proved (major term), e.g., "The hill has smoke, because it has fire"; (2) assigning a reason which has no relation to (and, therefore, contradicts) what is sought to be proved, e.g., "Sound is eternal, because it is produced"; (3) assigning a reason which is not really present in the case in hand, e.g., "Sound is eternal, because it is not produced"; (4) assigning a reason which leads to a conclusion that is contradicted by an opposite and stronger inference, e.g., "Sound is eternal, because it is invisible, like the atoms" (this is contradictable by the valid counter-inference, "Sound is non-eternal, because it is produced, as is a pot"); and (5) assigning a reason which leads to a conclusion contradicted by direct perception, e.g., "Fire is cold, because it is a substance, like water."

KNOWLEDGE BY SIMILARITY (UPAMĀNA)

This is differently conceived by different schools and writers. The earlier version, that of Gautama,⁹ describes it as knowledge of something, previously unknown, on the basis of its similarity to a familiar thing, e.g., "The *gavaya* (a wild deer) is like the cow." In later Nyāya it is more explicitly defined as the process by which we know the denotation of a new word on the basis of its similarity to a familiar object. In the same example, a man knows from an authority that a *gavaya* is a wild animal like the cow; then he happens to see that animal in the forest and comes to know, from that knowledge of similarity, that an animal of that kind is a *gavaya*.

The claim of this process to a status different from perception

and inference is that the relationship between the name and the object is not perceived, nor is it inferred, because no invariable concomitance (a universal premise) is used for reaching the knowledge.

Śabara,¹⁰ the commentator on the *Mīmāṃsā-sūtra*, describes this knowledge as a kind of analogical argument, as would appear from his example that we know the existence of souls in other bodies on the analogy of our knowledge of our own bodies' having souls.

But later *Mīmāṃsā* and the Advaita Vedānta conceive *upamāna* in a different way. About the Nyāya conception, they point out that it is a mixture of knowledge from authority and an inference based on it. According to them, *upamāna* is a process like this: When a man perceives a cow, and afterward perceives a *gavaya*, he judges, "This *gavaya* is like that cow." From this knowledge of similarity he passes to the knowledge "That cow (perceived in the past) is like the *gavaya*." This last knowledge¹¹ is peculiar. It is not perceptual, for the subject "cow" is not now present, and when it was perceived in the past it was not known to be similar to the *gavaya* (which was not known then). It is not an inference, since no universal premise is used to reach the conclusion. So, it is classed apart and called knowledge from similarity (*upamāna*).

TESTIMONY (ŚABDA)

Words of an authority (a reliable person or book) are recognized as a source of knowledge. The Vaiśeṣika holds that this is inferential knowledge based on the reliability of the authority. But against this the Nyāya points out that even though this is admitted it only shows that the truth of the knowledge is established by inference, but not the content of the knowledge. If a patient says that he has a headache and you accept his statement because he is truthful, you first know about the headache from his words and then know the truth of his statement by inference from his truthfulness. The *Mīmāṃsā* and the Advaita Vedānta, which believe that the validity of every knowledge is inherent in it, go a step further to hold that as soon as you learn from the person about his headache you know and believe his statement. It is only in exceptional circumstances when there are reasons for doubt that you use inference to remove the doubt. Inference thus does only the negative work of removing obstacles to knowledge, and, as soon as this is done, knowledge arises and claims self-evident validity.

Moreover, it is pointed out by all the supporters of authority

that the conditions that generate such knowledge are very different from those which are necessary for inference. Such knowledge arises from the synthetic understanding of the meanings of the different words of a sentence. Four conditions are needed for this. The meaning of each word must raise a sense of incompleteness; this must be removed by the meanings of the other words which must be compatible with it; the words must be sufficiently close together so that they may be construed together; and, lastly, the purpose of the speaker (or the universe of discourse) must be understood. The knowledge of a command, or a request, from an imperative sentence more clearly supports this view.

POSTULATION (ARTHĀPATTI)

This fifth source of knowledge admitted by the *Mīmāṃsā* and the Advaita Vedānta is illustrated by the following stock examples: A man is known to fast during the day and yet grow fat. To explain this it is postulated that he must be eating during the night. Again, seeing that a man who is believed to be alive is not at home, it is known that he is outside his home. Similarly, finding that in the sentence "The chair ruled" the literal meaning of "chair" does not suit, we take the figurative meaning, "chairman." In all such cases we explain given conflicting phenomena by supposing the only thing that can resolve the conflict. It looks like an explanatory hypothesis, but it is not provisional and uncertain like a hypothesis. The Nyāya and other schools try to reduce it to inference drawn from a negative major. The first example is reduced thus: No one who does not eat at night while fasting during the day grows fat. This man grows fat. So, he is not such as does not eat at night, etc., i.e., he eats at night. Against this explanation it is pointed out that the very knowledge put in the major premise is not obtainable without a postulation. So, the explanation really begs the very question. Moreover, if we consult introspection (*anu-vyavasāya*), we find that we do not feel here like inferring from any premise, but, rather, like *supposing* or postulating something unknown which alone can resolve a conflict.

NON-COGNITION (AN-UPALABDHI)

Non-cognition is the source of our primary knowledge of non-existence. It is generally believed that we can perceive non-existence just as we can existence. For, looking at the table, we can say that there is no cat there, just as we can say that there is a

book there. But the Bhāṭṭa school of the Mīmāṃsā and the Advaita Vedānta point out that perception requires the relation of sense to its objects; but how can the sense be thought to be related to non-existence? The Nyāya says that sense is related to the positive locus of non-existence (in this case, the table) and through that to the non-existence which is a character of the locus. But this explanation is not accepted, because any and every character that is in something is not perceived by the relation of the thing to sense. (Seeing the table, we do not know its weight.) The character itself must be perceptible. But how can non-existence be perceptible? Thus, we come back to the old problem.

To explain this difficulty Bhāṭṭa Mīmāṃsakas hold that non-existence is known through non-cognition, just as existence is known through positive cognition. Of course, only appropriate non-cognition can yield such knowledge. If a thing should have been known under some circumstances had it been existing there, then the want of knowledge under those circumstances becomes the source of the knowledge of its non-existence.

THEORIES OF ERROR

Like knowledge, error also has been discussed threadbare by the different schools. Seven chief theories have been held and mutually criticized. We can give only the gist of them here. The nihilistic Buddhists hold that error is the appearance of the unreal as real (*asat-khyāti*). The idealistic Buddhists hold that the illusory object is nothing but the external appearance of what is really a subjective idea (*ātma-khyāti*). The Sāṃkhya holds that the illusory appearance is a mixture of the appearance of the real and the unreal (*sat-asat-khyāti*)—an unreal character attributed to a real substratum. The Advaita Vedānta holds that erroneous appearance is the temporary creation of ignorance, of a temporary object which can be described neither as wholly real nor as wholly unreal (*anirvacanīya-khyāti*). The Nyāya and Bhāṭṭa realists hold that an illusion occurs by the dislocated appearance of a real object (perceived in the past) in another place and time (*anyathā-khyāti*). Rāmānuja (Vedāntin) holds that the so-called illusory object is really not unreal; it is the appearance of the real element (*sat-khyāti*) which is common to the present reality and what it is apprehended as. The Prabhākara school of the Mīmāṃsā, like Rāmānuja, holds that all knowledge is valid (*akhyāti*), and that what is

called an illusion is really a mixture of two valid mental states, the perception of the presented reality and the vividly revived memory of a similar thing perceived in the past.

We see here again the wide variety of standpoints and theories ranging from extreme nihilism to extreme realism.

The Methods of Philosophy

We shall now try to give a very brief idea of the different methods adopted by Indian thinkers for reaching philosophical truths.

The earliest philosophical treatises of India are the Upaniṣads, which are many in number. The earliest of them go back to about two thousand years before Christ. Some of these are written in verse and contain inspired utterances of truths which come with the force of direct realization and, therefore, are not supported by any reasoning. But some are written in prose and in the form of dialogues between the student and the teacher. We find in them the beginnings of attempts at removing doubts by examples and arguments. But still the art of reasoning with mere words (called in the *Chāndogya Upaniṣad vākovākya*), without the backing of spiritual insight and experience, was not at all encouraged. But later on in the *Mahābhārata*, in Kauṭilya's *Artha-śāstra* (*Treatise on Political Economy*), and in the *Manu-saṃhitā* we find much appreciation of the science of reasoning (variously referred to as *ānvikṣikī*, *hetu-vidyā*, etc.).

In Gautama's *Nyāya-sūtra* we find an elaborate treatment of the methods which should be adopted for carrying on arguments and establishing philosophical theories. There is also an elaborate account of the many defects and errors which should be avoided. Vātsyāyana, the commentator on the *Nyāya-sūtra*, gives a hint that Gautama is not the first propounder of this branch of knowledge, and the detailed nature of the treatise also strongly suggests that the work must have been preceded by long discussion, analysis, and practice of the art of debate, the results of which were available to Gautama. The followers of Gautama develop this branch, particularly the theory of inference, in the course of about two thousand years. The Nyāya method and the technical language for carrying on arguments came to be adopted to a large extent by all the other schools, with occasional addition and alteration. So,

we should briefly discuss this method in the light of Gautama's *Nyāya-sūtra*.

Doubt (*saṁśaya*) is regarded by Gautama as the chief incentive to philosophical inquiry. For the removal of doubt one must consider carefully the pros and cons (*pakṣa-pratipakṣa*) and ascertain the true nature of things. For this purpose one is advised to take the help of all valid sources of knowledge, use (and avoid conflict with) previously established theories (*siddhānta*), use examples (*dṛṣṭānta*) which are acceptable to all, employ the five-step method of discovery and proof (*pañcāvayava-nyāya*), use the indirect hypothetical or postulational method of strengthening the conclusion (*tarka*), and also take care to avoid five kinds of material fallacies (*hetvābhāsa*), three kinds of quibbles (*chala*), twenty-four kinds of false analogies (*jāti*), and twenty-two kinds of self-stultifying steps which would cause defeat in debates. This elaborate method of critical inquiry was regarded as the light for all branches of knowledge, as the means of all (*rational*) activity, and as the basis of all virtues (*dharma*s).

It is only when such a rigorous method is employed that the solution of any problem can claim to be a *vāda*, that is, a full-fledged theory.

In further clarification of this standard method, let us observe a few important points from Gautama, Vātsyāyana, and other general writers. Every philosophical discussion starts with an explicit statement of its utility (*prayojana*) for human good (*puruṣārtha*).

The ultimate purpose of philosophical knowledge is the avoidance of evil, pursuit of desirable ends, and remaining indifferent to other things. Philosophical discussion arises from the desire to know (*jijñāsā*) and from doubt (*saṁśaya*). It aims at the elimination of doubt. It is based on the assumption that argument and the arguer have the capacity of attaining truth.¹² Though doubt is necessary for philosophy, it must be given up when it leads to contradiction.¹³

The material basis of philosophical discussion is the individual's own direct experience (*pratīti* or *anubhava*), including introspection and knowledge obtained from other valid sources. Not only normal waking experience, but also sleep, dream, and other kinds of experience should be explained and utilized. Current linguistic usage (*vyavahāra*), implying socially accepted experience, is often taken as the material basis of philosophical theories (cf. Socrates). Knowledge of previously established theories (*siddhānta*)

is a source of new theories and helps one also to avoid errors. Distinction must be made, however, among (1) universally accepted theories, (2) sectarian theories, (3) implied theories, and (4) theories admitted for argument's sake.¹⁴

Philosophical discussion should proceed by accurate definition of terms (*lakṣaṇa*) and indication of their denotation (*uddeśa*).

One should not believe that what cannot be perceived does not exist. For, failure to perceive may be due to the object's being too distant, too near, too subtle, too much mixed up with other things, to the senses' being damaged, or to lack of concentration.¹⁵ Knowledge of the unperceived may be obtained from inference based on analogy and general observation or from postulation (i.e., necessary supposition), or, in some cases, from reliable authority possessed of superior knowledge of the unperceived.

One of the important criteria of a good supposition adopted by all is its lightness (*lāghava*), that is, simplicity, as opposed to its undue heaviness (*gaurava*), i.e., redundancy (cf. Occam's razor). Again, so long as the perceived is sufficient, nothing unperceived should be supposed.¹⁶ The supposition of an unperceived cause is justified only if it can explain the perceived effect. When many alternative suppositions are possible, either (1) the acceptable alternative is retained by the method of residues (*pārīkṣeṣya*) by eliminating the defective ones, or (2) all the alternatives may be examined and found defective, and nothing can be ascertained. In the latter case the very basic presupposition underlying the many alternatives is shown to be wrong.

The validity of a theory is also indirectly established by *tarka*, which consists in showing that the supposition of its contradictory leads to undesirable consequences. These latter are enumerated by Gautama as the defects of (1) self-dependence (*ātmāśraya*), (2) mutual dependence (*anyonyāśraya*), (3) circular reasoning (*cākraka*), and (4) infinite regress (*anavasthā*)—all these errors may be either in respect of origination or existence or knowledge of the thing or things about which discussion is held. In addition to these four, there is a residual class of general defects, the chief of which is contradiction (*virodha*), i.e., conflict with either itself (*svavirodha*) or with other established facts, ideas, and theories. Non-contradiction (*a-bādhitatva*), coherence (*saṁvāda*), agreement with facts (*yāthārthya*), practical utility (*arthakriyā-kāritva*), self-evidence (*sva-prakāśatva*), etc., are recognized by different thinkers as the criteria of truth. The laws of contradiction and excluded

middle are explicitly formulated by Udayana in the following way: If two terms are contradictory, they cannot be identical, nor can there be any other alternative besides these.¹⁷

Conclusion

It is hoped that even this very meager account of Indian epistemology will not fail to point out the following important facts. In epistemology, as elsewhere, the Indian mind has regarded philosophical discussion as a means to a better life, and consequently great emphasis is laid on living and realizing in life the truths obtained in philosophy. Not in spite of this, but because of this, there is a rigorous and sincere attempt to ascertain all possible avenues to knowledge and to evolve the different rational methods of checking and correcting knowledge and ascertaining truth in such a way that unsound philosophy may not ruin life. Reason and argument, therefore, find their full place here as in Western philosophy. If there are differences between certain Indian and Western ideas and beliefs, we have only to bear in mind that there have been greater differences between Indians and Indians, as well as Westerners and Westerners. So, these differences may not be all racial but mostly individual. On the other hand, there is ample similarity and identity of thought as well between the Indian and the Westerner. This is no wonder, but is what it should be if man is human and reason is his chief instrument for understanding things and convincing his fellow creatures.

Notes

1. See Vātsyāyana, Commentary on Gautama's *Nyāya-sūtra*. See S. C. Vidyābhūṣaṇa, ed. and trans., *Sacred Books of the Hindus*, Vol. VIII (Allahabad: The Panini Office, 1913), I.i.1.
2. For an elaborate discussion, see D. M. Datta, *The Six Ways of Knowing* (2nd ed.; Calcutta: University of Calcutta, 1960).
3. See Dharmakīrti, *Nyāya-bindu*, with Commentary of Dharmot-tarācharya, P. Peterson, ed. (Calcutta: Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1929), chap. I.
4. For a detailed discussion, see D. M. Datta, *The Six Ways of Knowing*, chap. VI.
5. See S. C. Chatterjee, *The Nyāya Theory of Knowledge* (Calcutta: University of Calcutta, 1939), chaps. IX-X.
6. Patañjali, *Yoga-sūtra*, Ram Prasad, ed. and trans., *Sacred Books of*

- the Hindus, Vol. IV (Allahabad: The Panini Office, 1912), III.19.25 ff., *et passim*.
7. See *Vedānta-paribhāṣā* (Calcutta: University of Calcutta, 1930), and D. M. Datta, *The Six Ways of Knowing*, on perception.
8. For an excellent account of scientific methods, see B. N. Seal, *The Positive Sciences of the Ancient Hindus* (London: Longmans, Green, and Co., 1915).
9. *Nyāya-sūtra*, I.i.6.
10. Jaimini, *Mīmāṃsā-sūtra*, M. L. Sandal, ed. and trans., *Sacred Books of the Hindus*, Vol. XXVIII (Allahabad: The Panini Office, 1923), I.i.5.
11. The word "knowledge" seems peculiar in this and the following paragraphs, but Mr. Datta has requested that no change be made. His explanation is as follows: "I understand it [the word 'knowledge'] is not usually used in this sense, but that is because European philosophy does not recognize more than two kinds of knowledge (perception and inference). Indian philosophy makes a strong case for the extension of this limited view and hence the strain put on 'knowledge.' I would, therefore, keep it, for want of a better substitute. Moreover, a change here would require many other changes in other parts of the paper." [Editor's note.]
12. See Vātsyāyana, Commentary on *Nyāya-sūtra*, I.i.32.
13. Udayana, *Kusumāñjali*, E. B. Cowell, ed. and trans. (Calcutta: Baptist Mission Press, 1864), III.7. See also Ravi Tirtha, trans. (Madras: Adyar Library, 1946), Vol. I, Bks. I, II.
14. *Nyāya-sūtra*, I.i.26-31.
15. Īśvarakṛṣṇa, *Sāṃkhya-kārikā*, Suryanarayana Sastri, ed. and trans. (Madras: Madras University, 1935), pp. 7-8.
16. "*Drṣṭe tu na adrṣṭam*," *Puruṣārthānuśāsanam*.
17. *Kusumāñjali*, III.8: "*Paraspara-virodhe hi na prakārāntara-sthitiḥ/Naikatāpi viruddhānām ukti-mātra-virodhataḥ*."

P. T. RAJU *Extracted from "Indian
Epistemology and the World and the Individual"*

AS SAID EARLIER, not all of the conference papers presented by Indians are included in this volume. "Indian Epistemology and the World and the Individual," by P. T. Raju is one of these. This is an important aspect of Indian philosophy, little known and almost completely ignored by non-Indians.

The reason for including this extract is to indicate to Westerners the *genuine importance* in Indian philosophy of epistemology, semantics, logic, and grammar.

Indian epistemology is generally divided into three topics: (1) The distinct means (*pramāṇas*) of obtaining valid knowledge; (2) the nature of validity (*prāmāṇya*); and (3) the nature and status of the object of illusion (*khyāti*).

Semantics was from the beginning of the systems a part of epistemology, for the word was recognized as a distinct means of valid knowledge by almost all the schools and classified under the means of knowledge . . . verbal knowledge is a distinct kind of knowledge. . . . In addition, the Veda was orally transmitted and was considered to be sacrosanct. How can the words of the Veda contain the mystery of the universe? Hence, also, the philosophical interest in the word. In the third place, grammar was prescribed as an indispensable subsidiary study to the Veda; it must therefore lead to what the Veda teaches, namely, knowledge of the most important reality. Then, the philosophy of grammar must be almost as important as the philosophy of the Veda and has to support it. Hence the importance of the philosophy of grammar. But, as the philosophy

of the Veda is differently understood by the different schools, they developed different philosophies of grammar also. Thus the interest in the nature of language, grammar, and semantics was very intense from the very beginning.

Right from the time of Gautama (*ca.* 400 B.C.), the founder of the Nyāya [the logical system of the famous Six Systems of Hindu Philosophy], or perhaps even from an earlier time, it has been recognized that the knowable (*prameya*) is dependent upon the means of knowledge (*pramāṇa*), i.e., metaphysics is dependent on epistemology. But, *first*, as is often done in the West, we can so interpret the same epistemological processes and criteria as to fit a particular metaphysics. The schools need not accept the same metaphysics even when they accept the same sources of knowledge. *Second*, Indian thought did not start in the Upaniṣads with epistemology, but with a kind of ontology, to which at least nominally all the orthodox schools owe allegiance. *Third*, even if a school accepts a number of ways of knowing, that does not mean that whatever is known through those ways is also accepted as unmistakably true. This distinction is often overlooked, but it is important. The question is about the ways by which our consciousness can reveal Being or beings . . . if every one of them is a means of valid knowledge, how can invalidity come in? And what is, then, the nature of invalid knowledge? *Fourth*, if we have several sources of valid knowledge, what are we to do when there is conflict between any two or three? Śaṅkara says that in such cases perception has to be ignored and inference is to be accepted. Rāmānuja says that both have to be accepted and somehow reconciled. In any case, Indian philosophers maintain that with the help of the different sources of knowledge we have to build up our knowledge.

The question of the validity of knowledge occurred to the Indian thinkers in a peculiar way. They knew that every one of the ways of knowing may misfire: illusions and hallucinations of perception are false; fallacious inference cannot give truth; postulation has to stand the test of modal negation (*tarka*); and so on. They knew also that whatever is contradicted cannot be valid. . . .

The Nairukta philosophy of language: Yāska, the author of *Nirukta*, the earliest lexicon in the world, refers to the view of Śākāṭyāyana, who maintained that all nouns are derived from verbal roots. Yāska accepts this view because it agrees with his philosophy that the *Ātman* is the ultimate reality and that it is activity itself. This

understanding of the *Ātman* is in accord with the etymological meaning of the word "*Brahman*," which is derived from the verbal root "*brh*," meaning "to grow," "to expand. . . ." The *Brahman* is the ever-growing, the ever-expanding. . . . Being is activity itself. "To be" is a verb and represents an act. Then the primary part of the sentence is the verb. . . . The most basic of all verbs is the root "*as*" (to be). What we have to note is that "to be" is an act, and the "is" is not a mere copula. In the Nairukta philosophy, Being is the same as becoming. . . .

The first peculiarity of Bhartṛhari's [the greatest of the Grammarians] semantic theory is that there is no cognition without a corresponding verbal sentence. . . .

Bhartṛhari's philosophy of language is based, of course, upon the grammatical structure of Sanskrit. . . . Furthermore, Sanskrit has its own peculiarities, particularly the compounds. The schools differed from one another in interpreting them. Not even the Grammarians are unanimous. . . .

For the Advaita of Śaṅkara, all knowledge is meant ultimately to reveal the primary Being itself, although in the pragmatic world we are interested in secondary beings and ignore Being. For both the Nairuktas and the Grammarian Bhartṛhari, also, the ultimate purpose of knowledge is to reveal primary Being itself, however differently it is understood. Both base their philosophies on language, not merely on logic. Their methodology is etymology and analysis of grammar, respectively. . . .

All the schools, including the Advaita and Mahāyāna Buddhism, affirm that this world satisfies also the pragmatic criterion of truth, although many schools of the Vedānta and of Buddhism say that this world is only that which satisfies the pragmatic criterion. For they say that this world is only a world of action. But the others maintain that this is a world that exists in its own right also. Here we see the interpenetration of epistemology and metaphysics.

Who's Who

SIR C. P. RAMASWAMI AIYAR.

K.C.S.I., K.C.I.E., Retired Dewan (Prime Minister) of Travancore State, India. Former member of the Madras and Central Cabinets in India. Former Vice Chancellor, Travancore University. Indian representative to the League of Nations, 1926-1927. Law and Commerce Member, Government of India, 1931, 1932, 1942.

B.A., Madras University; LL.B., Law College, Madras; LL.D. (Honorary), Travancore University.

Member, Second East-West Philosophers' Conference, 1949.

President Indian Philosophical Congress, 1946.

Major publications: *Pen-Portraits, Essays and Addresses*; and *Phases of Religion and Culture*.

Died, 1966.

KALIDAS BHATTACHARYYA

Director, Centre of Advanced Study in Philosophy; Professor and Head of the Department of Philosophy, Viśva-Bharati.

B.A., 1932, M.A., 1934, Ph.D., 1945, Calcutta University.

Pfessor of Indian Philosophy, Government Sanskrit College, Calcutta, 1954-1956; Principal, Vidya-Bhavana (College of Post-Graduate Teaching and Research); Viśva-Bharati, 1961-1964. (Now, 1967, Vice Chancellor, Viśva-Bharati.)

Honorary Professor, World Academy of Sanskrit, Bharatiya Vidya-Bhavan, Bombay, 1954-1956; Khudiram Basu Lecturer in Philosophy, University of Calcutta, 1962; Buddha Jayanti Lecturer, Indian Philosophical Congress, Waltair, 1960.

President, Section of Logic and Metaphysics, Poona Session of the Indian Philosophical Congress, 1951; Delegate, Entriens, International Institute of Philosophy, Paris; Member, Board of Studies in Philosophy in the Universities of Calcutta, Gorakhpur, Mysore, Banaras, Saugar, Andhra; Member, Council of Cultural Relations, New Delhi, 1961; Member, Philosophy Review Committee, University Grants Commission, India, 1961; General Secretary, Indian Philosophical Congress, 1961-1964; Honorary Fellow, Indian Academy of Philosophy, Calcutta; Member, American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division; Member, Rabindra Bharati University, Calcutta.

Member, Fourth East-West Philosophers' Conference, 1964.

Author of *Alternative Standpoints in Philosophy; Object, Content and Relation; The Concept of Cause in India and the West; The Indian Concepts of Knowledge and Self; Language, Logic and Philosophy*; and many articles in professional journals. Editor of *Recent Indian Philosophy* (Selected Papers from the Proceedings of the Indian Philosophical Congress, 1925-1934) and *Rabindranath Tagore Birth Centenary Celebrations*, Vol. III (Proceedings of the Indian Philosophical Congress, 36th Session). Editor or member of the editorial boards of *Indian Philosophical Quarterly; Journal of the Academy of Philosophy; Bharat Kosa; Rabindra Centenary Publication*, Vol. III; *K. C. Bhattacharya Memorial Volume; Visva-Bharati Quarterly; Visva-Bharati Journal of Philosophy*.

TARA CHAND

Honorary Professor, Indian School of International Studies.

Educated at Meerut College, Meerut; Muir Central College, Allahabad; and Queen's College, Oxford.

Member, Indian Parliament; Chairman, History of the Freedom Movement in India, New Delhi.

Kayastha Pathshala College: Professor of History, 1913-1918; Principal, 1925-1945. Allahabad University: Professor of Politics, 1945-1947; Vice Chancellor, 1947-1948. Secretary, Hindustani Academy.

Secretary and Educational Advisor to the Government of India,

1948-1951; President, Indian History Congress; Indian Ambassador to Iran, 1951-1956.

Member, Fourth East-West Philosophers' Conference, 1964.

Publications: *A Short History of the Indian People from the Earliest Times to the Present Day; History of the Freedom Movement in India; Influence of Islam on Indian Culture; Growth of Islamic Thought in India; State and Society in the Mughal Age*; Editor, *Sirr-i-Akbar* and *Gulzar-i-Hall*.

MRS. S. DASGUPTA

Reader in the Department of Philosophy, University of Lucknow, 1950.

M.A., 1930, and Ph.D., 1941, Calcutta University; Ph.D., Cambridge University, 1948.

Lecturer in a Degree College of Calcutta University, 1933-1945; Advanced Research Scholar, Research Department, Government Sanskrit College, 1931-1941.

Awarded the Government Shastri title from the Government Sanskrit College, 1939, and a Ghosh Travelling Fellowship, 1945.

Whitney Fulbright Professor to the University of Nebraska and the University of New Mexico, 1957-1958. Taught at Wellesley College, 1961-1962.

Member: American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division; Council for the Marathi Encyclopaedia of Philosophy, Poona; Sanskrit Literary Association, Lucknow; University Women's Federation, Lucknow Branch.

Member, Fourth East-West Philosophers' Conference, 1964.

Author of *Development of Moral Philosophy in India*. Collaborated with the late Professor S.N. Dasgupta in the writing of the last three volumes of his five-volume *A History of Indian Philosophy* and edited some of his posthumous publications: *Religion and Rational Outlook; Fundamentals of Indian Art; A History of Indian Philosophy*, Vol. 5; *History of the Religions of the World* (in press); (in Hindi) *Aesthetics*.

DHIRENDRA MOHAN DATTA

Retired Professor of Philosophy, Patna University. Now at Santiniketan, since 1953.

Ph.D., Calcutta University.

Received training for social work in Mahatma Gandhi's Ashram at Sabarmati, Bombay, and did social work in Mymensing villages, 1921-1924.

Formerly Basu Mallik Professor of Indian Philosophy at Bengal National Council of Education, Calcutta; Professor of Philosophy, Patna College, Patna University; Visiting Professor at the University of Wisconsin and the University of Minnesota.

General President, Indian Philosophical Congress, 1952.

Member, East-West Philosophers' Conferences, 1949 and 1959.

Publications: *Six Ways of Knowing; Introduction to Indian Philosophy* (with S. C. Chatterjee); *The Chief Currents of Contemporary Philosophy*; and *The Philosophy of Mahatma Gandhi*.

T. M. P. MAHADEVAN

University Professor of Philosophy, University of Madras.

B.A., Presidency and Pachaiyappa's colleges, Madras, 1933; University research scholar, 1933-1935; Ph.D., University of Madras, 1937.

Professor of Philosophy, Pachaiyappa's College, Madras, 1937-1943; Head of the Department of Philosophy, University of Madras, 1943-.

In 1948-1949, lectured on Indian Philosophy at Cornell University and other American universities; gave the Foerster Foundation Lecture at the University of California (Berkeley); participated in the Goethe Bicentennial Convocation at Aspen, Colorado.

General President of the Thirtieth Session of the Indian Philosophical Congress, 1955.

Program Member, East-West Philosophers' Conferences, University of Hawaii, 1949 and 1959.

Among his publications are: *The Philosophy of Advaita; Outlines of Hinduism; Guadapāda: A Study in Early Advaita; Time and the Timeless; The Idea of God in Saiva Siddhānta*.

GUNAPALA PIYASENA MALALASEKERA

High Commissioner for Ceylon in London. Emeritus Professor, University of Ceylon.

College, Panadura, Ceylon, 1918; M.A., Ph.D., D.Litt., University of London. D.Phil., (Honorary) Moscow University.

Vice-Principal, Ananda College, Colombo, 1921-1923; Princi-

pal, Nalanda Vidyalaya, Colombo, 1925-1927. Professor of Pali and Buddhist Civilization, University of Ceylon, from its inception to the time of appointment as the Ambassador for Ceylon to the U.S.S.R. in 1957.

Dean of the Faculty of Oriental Studies, University of Ceylon, for nine years.

Formerly Ambassador to Czechoslovakia and Poland, Minister of Ceylon to Romania; High Commissioner for Ceylon in Canada. Permanent Representative of Ceylon to the United Nations; Deputy Chairman, Ceylon Delegation to the United Nations Assembly sessions and to the General Assembly; Leader of the Ceylon Delegation to UNESCO, 1960.

President: All Ceylon Buddhist Congress, 1939-1958; Ceylon Society of Arts, 1955-1959; World Fellowship of Buddhists, 1950-1958; Indian Philosophical Congress, 1957.

Lectured widely in Europe, Asia, and the United States and participated in many East-West Symposia of UNESCO.

Panel Member, East-West Philosophers' Conferences, 1949 and 1964.

Among his publications are: *The Pali Literature of Ceylon; The Buddha and His Teachings; Commentary on the Mahāvamsa; The Extended Mahāvamsā*; many articles in scholarly journals. Editor-in-chief, *Encyclopaedia of Buddhism*; Editor of several Sinhalese translations in the field.

SWAMI NIKHILANANDA

Leader, Ramakrishna-Vivekananda Center, New York.

Spent four years at University of Calcutta. Joined the Ramakrishna Order in 1921. Came to America in 1931. Founded the Ramakrishna-Vivekananda Center of New York in 1933.

Taught a special course on Indian thought at Columbia University; Member, Seminar on Inter-religious Relations, Columbia University; frequent lecturer at universities, churches, and other cultural organizations.

Delegate to Second and Third East-West Philosophers' Conferences, 1949 and 1959.

Among his works are *The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna; The Bhagavad Gītā; The Upanishads* (4 vols.); *Self-Knowledge; Vedāntasāra; Hinduism: Ātma-Vidyā and Its Meaning for the Liberation of the Spirit*.

SARVEPALLI RADHAKRISHNAN

President of India

Educated at Madras Christian College.

Professor of Philosophy, Presidency College, 1909–1917, and Mysore University, 1918–1921; Professor of Mental and Moral Science, Calcutta University. Vice Chancellor, Andhra University; Spalding Professor of Eastern Religions and Ethics, Oxford University; Vice Chancellor, Banaras Hindu University; President, Indian Philosophical Congress, 1927; Chancellor, Delhi University.

Upton Lecturer, Oxford University; Haskell Lecturer, University of Chicago, 1926; and Hibbert Lecturer, Oxford University, 1929.

Member, League of Nations International Committee on Intellectual Co-operation; Leader of Indian Delegation to UNESCO, 1946–1952; Chairman, UNESCO Executive Board; President of UNESCO, 1948–1949. Ambassador to U.S.S.R., 1949–1952. Vice President of India, 1952–1962. President of India, 1962–.

Panel Member for East-West Philosophers' Conference 1959; Honorary Member, 1964.

Major publications include: *Indian Philosophy* (2 vols.); *Philosophy of Rabindranath Tagore*; *Hindu View of Life*; *Reign of Religion in Contemporary Philosophy*; *An Idealist View of Life*; *East and West in Religion*; *Kalki or the Future of Civilization*; *Religion and Society*; *Eastern Religions and Western Thought*; *India and China*; *The Bhagavadgītā*; *The Dhammapada*; *The Principal Upanishads*; *The Brahma Sutra*.

P. T. RAJU

Retired Professor of Philosophy and Psychology, University of Rajasthan; Professor of Philosophy and Indian Studies, The College of Wooster.

B.A., Allahabad University, 1928; M.A., 1931, Ph.D., 1935, Calcutta University; Sampūrṇa Madhyama, Śāstri, Banaras Sanskrit College.

Tata Visiting Professor of Philosophy, The Asia Institute, New York, 1949; Visiting Professor of Philosophy, University of California (Berkeley), 1950; Visiting Professor for Comparative Philosophy, University of Illinois, 1952–1953; Merton Guest-Professor, University of Mainz, 1961–1962; Visiting Professor of Philosophy, University of

Southern California, 1962; Gillespie Visiting Professor, The College of Wooster, 1962–1964.

Sir Hari Singh Foundation Lectures, Saugar University, 1956; Woodward Lecture, Yale University, 1950; Century Fund Lecture, Northwestern University, 1953; has also lectured at many European and American universities.

General President, All-India Philosophical Conference, 1958; General President, Indian Philosophical Congress, 1960.

Dean of the Faculty of Arts, University of Rajasthan, 1950–1953; Member, Committee on Gandhian Life and Philosophy, Ministry of Education, India, until 1962. Participant in several international conferences.

Member: American Philosophical Association (Eastern and Western Divisions), American Oriental Society, Metaphysical Society of America, Association for Asian Studies, American Academy of Political and Social Science.

Awarded the Order of Merit, "Padma Bhūshan," by the President of India, 1958, "for contributions to East-West understanding at the philosophical level."

Panel Member, East-West Philosophers' Conferences, 1949, 1959, 1964.

Author of nearly 150 articles in Indian, European, and American journals and the following books: *Thought and Reality: Hegelianism and Advaita*; *Idealistic Thought of India*; *Introduction to Comparative Philosophy*; *Indian Idealism and Modern Challenges*; Co-editor: *Comparative Studies in Philosophy* and *The Concept of Man: A Study in Comparative Philosophy*.

S. K. SAKSENA

Professor of Philosophy, University of Hawaii.

B.A., 1925; M.A., 1927, Allahabad University; Ph.D., University of London, 1939.

Taught at the University of Agra and Delhi University; 1928–1947; Head of the Department of Philosophy, University of Saugar, 1954–1960.

Deputy Director (Editorial), Publications Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting of the Government of India, 1947–1954.

Visiting Professor of Indian Philosophy and Culture, University of Hawaii, 1950–1952. Lectured widely in Europe, Japan, and the United States.

Panel Member, East-West Philosophers' Conferences, 1959 and 1964.

Author of *Nature of Consciousness in Hindu Philosophy*, articles in learned journals, and chapters in many symposium volumes. Member, Board of Editors, *Philosophy East and West*; formerly co-editor, *Indian Philosophical Quarterly*.

Index

PREPARED BY BARBARA FORRYAN

- abhaya*, fearlessness, 264
abheda, non-different, 167
Abhi-dharmaka, philosophy, 112
 Abhinavagupta, 54, 205, 206
abhiṣeka, sacred bath water, 259
 Absolute, 231, 324; abyss of, 332; appears as relative, 145; *Brahman*, 148, 184, 299, 304, 313, 334-4; dissolution of personality in, 68; distinctionless, 316; and God, problem of, 335; or God, 308-9; Hegelian, 313; identity with, 158, 306; *māyā* an element of, 55; nature of, 180; *paramārtha*, 168, 274; self =, 311; Spirit is non-dual, 167; is within us, 52; without attributes, *see nirguṇa*
 absolutism/Absolutism (-ist, -istic), 6, 13; Advaita, 274; in Indian spiritual tradition, 10; systems, 51; trend, of Upaniṣads, 7, 51; —, of Vedāntic schools, 52; varieties of, 53
 absorption, total, *see samādhi*
 Abū Ḥanīfah, 392
ācāras: or laws, Hindu, 251; of Paraśurāma's country, 251
 action, 50, 234, 301; Chain of Causation by, 97; cognition, and emotion, 193-4, 200-1, 311-2, 318; desireless/disinterested/*niṣkāma-karma*, 166, 191, 310; *dharma*/ethical/right, *see dharma*; discipline of, 233; is essential nature of *ātman*, 189; freedom of, 302; or *kamma*, 79; *karma*, *see karma*; Mīmāṃsā theory of, 188, 200; non-egoistic/selfless/*karma-yoga*, 191, 194, 200, 233; originates from ideation, 98; physical manifestation of delusion, 97; pseudo-*bhīchāyā*, 310; social, political, moral, 312; spiritual, *ādhyātma*, 301, 303, 313 (*see also* liberation); three standards of moral, 342-3; way of, *see* way of action; world of, 108
 action-influence, 95, 96, 99, 104
 activism (-ist system), 5, 50, 391; of Mīmāṃsā, 186, 189, 211; neglect of, 207
 activity, 48, 79, 217; non-egoistic, 201; (*rajas*), 49; without attachment, 333

- actuality (-ies), 67, 73
 adaptation, insight of (*anuloma-nāna*), 82
adharma (rest), 45; bad, 345
adhikāra: Indian doctrine of, 33; qualifications, 370; right, to inaction, 309; —, to know and do, 272
ādhyātmika, spiritual actions, 301
 Adidevananda, Swami, 213
 Adler, Mortimer J., *see* Maritain
 administration: ethics of, 281f; of justice, 289f
 adoption, 251
adr̥ṣṭa, destiny, 226
 Advaita Vedānta, school of Śaṁkara/Advaitins, 41, 45, 52–5, 65, 121, 123–4, 128–30, 167, 171–2, 189–91, 197, 204, 206–7, 270, 299, 303ff, 329–35, 340, 352–3, 369; modern monks of, 313; non-dualism, 119, 145, 184; pure monism, 119, 273; *see also* Śaṁkara
 aesthesis: *rasa*, 204; belongs to *buddhi*, 204
 aesthetic(s), 204–6; sensitivity, 239
 Afghanistan, 382
 aggregate(s): of five aggregates (*skandhas*), 46–7, 71, 212; —, individual is, 198; five (*khandhā*), 71, 81
 Agni, god of fire, 218, 220, 325, 326; is deity of speech, 214
 Agnihotra sacrifice, 218
Agni-purāṇa, 259
 agreement: method of, in presence (*anvaya*)/in absence (*vyatireka*), 125
 agriculture (-al), 164, 262, 377, 388; classes, 377; and land reforms, 368
aham: ego, 138; I, 196; same as *ātman*, 46
aham̐dhi, I-consciousness, 196
aham̐kāra (ego), *see* ego
ahimsā, non-hurt/non-injury/non-violence, *yogī* virtue, 15, 35, 202, 235, 264, 270, 284–6, 293, 295, 332, 339, 343, 391; Gandhi's method of, 225
 aim, *see* goal
 air, 48, 108, 185; is correlate of touch, 187
Aitareya-brāhmaṇa, 255, 257
Aitareya-upaniṣad, 211
Ājivakas/Ājivikas, materialists or naturalists, 324–5
ajlāf, low, 383
ajñāna, *see* *avijjā*
ālaya-vijñāna: eighth faculty, 97; ideation-store, 97–9; receptacle-consciousness, 47–8, 184
 alchemy, medieval, 210
 Alexander the Great, invasions of, 63, 217
 Allāh, 150
 almsgiving, 154
 Altekar, A. S., *The Position of Women in Hindu Society*, 372; *State and Government in Ancient India*, 296–7
Amarakośa, 213–4
 analysis: as “dissolution,” 70; doctrine of (*vibhajja-vāda*), 70; of “I” or “personality” (*sakkāya*), 71; of man, 25; philosophy of mere, 39; of world is analysis of personality, 47
ānanda/ānandam, *see* bliss
 Ānanda, 349
 Anaṅga, first king of Bhāratavarṣa, 257
 anarchy, 256
an-ārya(s), ignoble, 90
anātma-vāda, Buddhist theory of, 35
an-ātman/anātman, *see* selflessness
anattā, doctrine of soullessness/non-substantial/“no-soul,” 72, 74–5, 79–80
 ancestors, wisdom of, 379
Anguttara-nikāya, 85; for translation, *see* Woodward, *Gradual Sayings*
anicca, *see* impermanence
 animal(s): crimes against lower, 292; not capable of distress, 175; propensities, *pravṛtti*, 269; sacrifice of, *see* sacrifice
 animatism, 186
 animism (-ists), 66, 186
anitya, *see* impermanence
Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, 211
 annihilation, 109, 110; *nibbāna* is, of lust for life, 84
 annihilationists, 67; or materialists, 69 (*see also* materialists); *uccheda-vādin*, 69
 annulment of marriage, 347; *see also* divorce
antaḥ-karaṇa: inner sense, 197; —, mind as, or *manas*, 122
antar-ātman, inner self, 288
aṇu, atomic, 197
anusayā, 80
anvaya, method of agreement in presence, 125
 anxiety, 322–3; consciousness of death is cause of, 175
aparigraha, non-covetousness, *yogī* virtue, 391
 Āpastamba, 262, 265, 373; *Dharma-sūtra*, 254; —, ed. R. Halasvanatha Sastry, 266
Āpastamba-smṛti, 363–4
apavarga, freedom, 158
 appearance, *saṁvṛti*, 274
apūrva: *dharma* is, 211; doctrine, 50; extraordinary, 188; unseen/imperceptible/latent force, 50, 199; will, 193
 argument, 132, 134; five-membered, *see* *pañcāvayavyāyā*; Four Bases of, 113; indirect hypothetical, *see* *tarka*; methods/categories of, 89
arhat/arhant, *see* saint
 Arian controversy, 263
 Aristotle, 210; social philosophies of, 377; *Politics*, 392
 Arjuna, 170, 191, 192, 224, 275, 277, 283–6, 343–4, 346, 354; golden mean of, 294; wielder of bow, 225
 art(s), 100, 239, 380, 386; fine, are spiritual disciplines in India, 206
artha, *see* wealth
artha-śāstra, economics and politics, 308
Artha-śāstra(s), 6, 12, 14, 267, 278, 281; *see also* under *individual authors*, e.g., Kauṭilya
ārya(s)/Arya, 262; Eightfold Path of noble, 116; noble, 90; *-pudgala*, noble person, 90
 Āryadeva, 380
Ārya Dharma, 183; Aryan religion or way, 192
 Ārya Samāj, *viii*, 183, 211, 229, 348; *see also* Dayananda
 Aryan(s), 161, 257, 262; assimilation of backward tribes/non-Aryans by, 224; community/society, 254, 256; early, of India, 42, 186; practice of right-minded, 251; social and spiritual standards of, 238; Vedic, 287; *see also* Indo-Aryans; non-Aryans
 Aryan Dharma, *see* *Ārya Dharma*
āsana, posture, 142
 Asaṅga, *Mahāyāna-sūtrālaṅkāra*, 213
 Asaṅga-Vasubandhu, 89
asat/a-sat/non-being, 42; *-kārya-vāda*, theory of non-existent ef-

- fect, 49; no being without, 47; *see also non-ens*
- ascetic(s)/forest-dweller/*vāna-prastha*, third stage in life, 164–5, 264; discipline, 241; —, shrinks ego, 242; renunciation of, 226; stage of seclusion and withdrawal, 375; *see also* stages in life
- asceticism, 7, 277
- ashrāf*, high, 383
- Asia(n): Southeast, 185; tradition, *vi*
- Asoka the Great, Emperor, 264, 277, 285–6, 294, 348, 365; law of piety, 365; (Rock) Edicts of, 264, 289, 296, 297, 348, 358, 373; D. C. Sircar, ed., *Inscriptions of Asoka*, 296
- āśramas*, *see* stages of life
- āśrama-dharma*, *see* stages in life
- assemblies, 262
- asteya*, honesty/non-stealing, *yogī* virtue, 270, 391
- astronomy, 230–1
- asukha*, painful, world is, 175
- asuras*, *see* demons
- Aśvapati, King, 223
- Aśvatthāma, 363
- Atharva-veda*, 231, 244–5, 263; charms and sorceries of, 88
- atheism/-ists/-istic, 67, 102, 137, 182
- Athens, 361
- ātman/Ātman*: action is essential nature of, 189; “All this is from,” 41; atomic (*anu*), 197; attribute-consciousness of, 197; *Brahman* as, 74, 89, 168, 272; or consciousness, 138, 150; —, and existence, 196; is denied, 112; ego (*aham*), 46, 196; is existential consciousness, 198, 204; immortality of, 86, 89; *Mīmāṃsā* conception of, 188; pure, is only existence, 196; or *puruṣa*, mind as knower, 122; -realization, 195, 197, 210; realization of pure state of, 45; salvation is return to original unconscious state of, 48; self/person, 35, 42; —, belief in, 184; soul, *viii*, 28, 74, 168, 199, 324, 326; —, is unconscious, 48; spirit, *jīva* associated with, 374; Supreme, 187; — Spirit, 197; is ultimate reality, 43; universal Self, 88; *see also attā*
- ātmavat*, 270
- atoms, 108
- atomic: *anu*, 197; age, 323; *ātman*, 197; individuals, 389; theory, 48
- atomistic: individualism, 359; view of man, 25
- attā*, synonymous with ego, self, 75; *see also ātman*
- attachment, 303; *rāga*, 301; and repulsion, clutches of, 311; *see also* detachment; non-attachment
- attribute-consciousness (*dharma-bhūta-jñāna*), 197–8
- Audry, R. Ashley, *see* Bergson
- Augustine, Saint, 175, 179, 180, 286–7; *Confessions*, 176
- Aurobindo, 38, 273, 285
- Aurobindo Ghosh, *see* Ghosh, Aurobindo
- Aurobindo, Sri, *see* Sri
- austerity, 240
- authoritarianism, 360
- authority, 119, 133; logic, and life, reconciliation of, 181
- autonomy of self, 305–6
- Avatamsaka, school of totalism, 103
- avijjā/avidyā/ajñānā*/metaphysical ignorance/blindness/nescience, 32, 76–7, 88, 92, 109, 159, 167, 305, 336; body is, 375; is cause of suffering, 329; lower empirical knowledge, 272; is root of all imperfections, 167; *see also māyā*
- āyatana(s)*, 71, 72; doctrine of fields, 47; six organs of sense, 92, 94; twelve bases of being, 198
- āyatanāni*, or bases of cognition, 71
- āyuh*, span of life, 302
- āyur-veda*, medicine, 308
- Babur, 382
- Babylon, 263
- Bādarāyaṇa, 43, 52; systematized Vedānta, 44; Vedāntins, followers of, 46; *Brahma-sūtra*, 52; *see* *Brahma-sūtra* and *see also* Bādarāyaṇa
- Baladeva, 51
- Barth, Karl, 177; *The Epistle to the Romans*, trans. Edwyn C. Hoskyns, 176, 182
- Barua, B. M., *A History of Pre-Buddhist Indian Philosophy*, 64
- battles, 221
- Bauddha, *see* Buddhist
- beautiful, doctrine of, 204; *see also* aesthesis; aesthetic pleasure
- becoming, 46; of all things, 99; being, a mere process of, 84; —, is stage of dynamic, 104; blooming, 103; is ceasing (*bhaṅga-nāna*), 82; negation of, 117; negative views of, 102; process of, 82, 96; —, is grasping, 84; theory of, 87; world of, 106, 109
- being/Being, 177; and becoming, *see* becoming; *Brahman*, 336; —, freedom of pure, 338; elements of, 73; formation of, *Bhava*, 92, 94; Free, 337; living, has no permanent center, 105; no, without non-being, 47; and non-being (*sad-asad-ātma*), man is, 176; —, synthesized, 374; reality of, 86; *sat*, 12, 42, 88, 89, 169; state of, is causal wave, 113; world of, 109; *see also* immediacy
- belief(s): -formation(s), 20, 23, 28; Indian religions, 37; philosophical, 23; —, systems of, 36
- Belur Math, 229
- Bendell/Bendall, Cecil, *see* Śikṣā-samuccaya
- Bengal, 388; Vaiṣṇavas(-ism), 312, 318–9
- Bereton, Cloudesley, *see* Bergson
- Bergson, Henri, 106, 346; *Two Sources of Morality and Religion*, trans. R. Ashley Audry and Cloudesley Bereton, 357
- Berolzheimer, Dr. Fritz, 286; *The World's Legal Philosophies*, 297
- Bhagavad-gītā*, 6, 10, 17, 19, 24, 28, 40, 56, 143, 151, 155, 156, 163, 164, 170, 172, 191, 201, 204, 211, 212, 217, 224–5, 236–7, 241, 244, 246–7, 271–3, 282, 296, 310, 318, 325, 343, 350, 354–5, 362, 371–2, 375, 392–3; ethical values in, 200; philosophy of, 285; Sāṃkara's Commentary, *see* Sāṃkara; *see also* Sri Aurobindo
- Bhagavan Das, 55
- Bhāgavata-pūraṇa*, 163, 247, 268, 294, 362–4, 372
- bhakti*: devotional movements, 383; love, devotion, 194, 201; way of, *see* way of love; *see also* *bhakti-yoga*
- bhakti-mārga*, way of love or devotion, *see* way of love
- Bhakti-sūtra*, *see* Nārada
- bhakti-yoga*, 146; path of religious devotion, 136; unwavering devotion to God, 166–7
- Bharata, 205
- Bhāratavarṣa, Anaṅga, first king of, 257
- Bhāskara, 51, 54

- Bhāskarites, 307–8
 Bhāṭṭa(s), 121; realists, 130; school of Mīmāṃsā/Mīmāṃsakas, 119, 130
 Bhattacharyya, Professor K. C., *Memorial Volume*, 340; "Studies in Philosophy," 296
 Bhauma-Brahman, Brahman manifested as earth, 280
 bhāva, sentiment, 214
 Bhava, formation of being/existence, 92, 94
 Bhavabhūti, 206
 bheda, fomenting dissension, 282
 bhikkhus, monks, 349
 bhilini, lowest class, 363
 Bhīṣma, 225, 226, 250, 256, 269, 277, 344–5
 bhoga, experiences, 302
 Bhoja, 205, 206
 bhūdāna, sharing of land, 294
 birth(s) 46, 92; and death, 94–5; —, are "corollary of action" (*karma*), 104; distinctions, 359; *Jāti*, 92; rituals of, 223; successive/future/repeated, *see* rebirth; *saṃsāra*
 black, 162
 blindness, 109; a continuation of death, 93; is crystallization of actions (*karma*), 95; *see also* *avijjā*
 bliss/Bliss/Joy, 52, 87, 158–9; *ānanda(m)*, 88, 158, 169, 196, 204; attainment of supreme, 68; *Nirvāṇa* as, 109; *rasa*, 204; *saccidānanda*, absolute existence, consciousness/knowledge and, 169, 231, 233, 242; —, Brahman defined as, 87, 169; sheath of, 157; Supreme Being is of nature of, 194; "taste of," 146; of well-being (*sukha*), 83
 Bodhāyana, 254, 262; *Dharma-sūtra*, 265
 bodhi/Bodhi: (Perfect) Enlightenment, 90, 108, 111, 115, 153, 179; *prajñā* is synonym of, 201; tree, 115; *see also* *buddhi*
 bodhi/Bodhi-sattva(s), 333, 354; ideal of, is Sixfold Perfection, 115; is ideal man, 201; (one whose essence is perfect wisdom), 111, 332, 349
 Bodhidharma, 89
 body: is eternal enemy of spirit, 69; fettering of soul to, 123; human, 49; —, is temple of God, 230; identification with, 124; and mind, control/discipline of (*śīla*), 83, 240; is nescience (*avidyā*), 375; physical, 57; *pudgala*, 45; and self, fusion of, 329; and spirit synthesized, 374
 Boehme, Jakob, 179
 Bombay High Court, 390
 Bosanquet, B., 193, 212
 Bose, N. K., *Selections from Gandhi*, 296–8
 Bradley, F. H., 22, 27, 180–1, ethics of, 210
 Brahmā, 254, 256, 257; the Great God, 333; union with, 252
 brahma-jijñāsā, 175; Brahman-knowledge, 339
 Brāhma Samāja, *see* Brāhmo Samāj
 Brahma-sūtra, 40, 41, 43, 52, 175, 177, 181, 333, 339–40, 355; Commentaries, 18, 54; Śaṅkara's Commentary, *see* Śaṅkara; Vi-jñānabhikṣu's Commentary, 53, 65; *see also* Bādarāyaṇa
 Brahma-vidyā, knowledge of Brahman, 372
 brahmacārya: celibacy/abstinence, *yogī* virtue, 375, 391; student-ship, *see* studentship
 Brahmajāla-sutta of *Dīgha-nikāya*, 68

- Brahman, 51–7, 147–50, 167–9, 180, 201, 233, 270, 274, 276, 306, 337; Absolute, *see* Absolute; as *ātman*, 74, 89, 168, 272; Being, 336; is bliss/*saccidānanda*, *see* bliss; is everything (nothing other than), 55, 169; experience/intuition/knowledge of, 157, 167, 222, 234–5; God as distinct from, 312; god personified, 104; "I am," 332; is infinite/eternal, 74, 86, 329; and individual, 52, 207; *mokṣa* as nature of, 328; *Nāda*, Word, 205; *Nirguṇa*, *see* *Nirguṇa*; non-dual, 147, 167, 169, 221, 232; *Saguṇa*, *see* *Saguṇa*; *samādhi*, communion with, 243; of Śaṅkara, 184, 336; self identical with, 42, 146–7, 242; soul absorbed into, 52, 149; Sound, 205; Supreme (Spirit), 189, 205; transcendent, 272; is ultimate/highest/supreme/Absolute reality, 42–3, 51, 145–6, 150, 169, 203, 222, 232; (universal self) is denied, 112; Upaniṣadic conception of, 54, 168; world is (is manifestation of), 54–5, 168, 243
 brāhmaṇa(s) (priest-teachers/learned men), first/highest class/caste, *viii*, 34, 161–3, 223, 225, 236, 251, 259, 271, 282, 288, 291–4, 347, 362–5, 376–81; leader (*purohita*) of community, 163; new, 237; *pandit*, 384
 Brāhmaṇa(s) (ritual texts of Veda), *viii*, 186–7, 221–2, 233, 256, 267, 287
 Brahmāṇḍa, Cosmic Egg, 271
 Brāhmaṇism, *viii*, 183, 187, 326; contrast with Buddhism, 87; a philosophy of "Thatness," (*Tattva*), 86; is religion of sacrifices, 186
 Brahmāstitva-vāda, sole reality of Brahman, 232
 Brāhmo Samāj/Brāhma Samāja, *viii*, 183, 228–9, 348; *see also* Roy
 breath(-ing), regulation/control of, *prāṇāyama*, 140, 142
 Brhadāranyaka-upaniṣad, 18, 40, 42, 43, 56, 64, 150, 154, 157, 169, 171, 190, 196, 212, 222, 239, 245–6, 254, 358; Śaṅkara's Commentary on, 246
 Brhaspati: priest of gods, 45, 253; works of, 257
 British, 291, 382, 388; education, introduction of, 228; historians and archaeologists, 228; invasions, 217; period/rule, 228, 289, 371; schoolmaster, 387
 Buddha, 17, 24, 43–4, 46, 67ff, 81, 88–90, 108, 110, 115, 150, 153, 175, 180, 185, 192–3, 201, 255, 268, 295, 321, 325, 332, 338, 349, 359–60; critical of caste system, 371; has *Dharma*-body, 108; disciples of, 107; Highest Person, 333; human form of, 334; images of, 206; Lord of mysteries (*guhya-pati*), 179; *nirvāṇa*/Nirvāṇa of, 112; —, is death of, 107; —, his use of term, 158; —, is ideal body of, 108; perfect enlightenment of, 102; radical pluralism of, 74; *Dialogues of the Buddha*, trans. T. W. and C. A. F. Rhys Davids, 85, 358; *Suttas* (Discourses) attributed to, 67; *see also* "Thus-come"
 buddhahood, *viii*; potential, of every individual, 270
 buddhanature, *viii*, 103, 108; potential, of enlightenment, 101
 buddhi: intellect, 138, 345; intelligence, 49; Mahat, Great One,

- Reason, 191; *Prajñā* is synonym of, 201; reason, *see* reason; *see also* *bodhi*
- Buddhism (-ist)/Bauddha, 7, 13, 17, 30, 35, 50, 54-5, 67, 70-5, 85ff, 95-8, 106, 115, 119-20, 126, 183, 185, 192, 201-2, 214-5, 228, 257, 263, 267, 270, 300, 313, 318, 321, 324, 331, 336-7, 348, 356, 369; is atheistic, 102; contrast with Brāhmanism, 87ff; denies existence of soul, 96, 98; dominance of, in India, 314; early, *vi*, 55, 274, 326-7; (four major) schools of, 6, 42, 45, 47, 55 (*see also under individual schools*, e.g., Mahāyāna, Theravāda, Yogācāra); fundamental principles of, 87, 91ff; idealistic, 47, 130; individualism in, 364; influence, 347; method of five steps, 125; monastic, 191, 341, 348; nihilistic, 130, 231; origins of, in Veda/Upaniṣads, 44, 184; is pansophism, 115; philosophy, *vi*, 26, 44, 46; realism, 87; rebirth in, *see* rebirth; religion of, 333, 348; rise and spread of, 207; texts, 323; tradition, 334; Vedāntic interpreters of, 158; Zen, *see* Zen; *see also* Gautama
- Burke, Edmund, 377
- businessman, 342
- Caliphs, 392
- caloricity (*tejo*), 70
- Campion, C. T., *see* Schweitzer, A.
- caṇḍālas, lowest in social scale, 363
- Caṇḍeśvara, *Rājanīti-ratnākara*, ed. K. P. Jayaswal, 297
- Candī, 225
- capital punishment, 291
- capitalization, use of, *vii-viii*, 86
- Caraka-saṁhitā, textbook of medical science, 342, 345, 357
- Carlyle, Thomas, 390-1; *Sartor Resartus*, 393
- Cārvāka(s), school of materialists, 6-8, 13, 44, 55, 119, 153, 198-9, 300, 314, 318, 322-5; positivists, 154
- caste(s)/class, 194, 199-200, 235, 377, 381; barriers, 390; classification/division of society into four (*varṇa*), 155, 161-3, 237, 271-2, 277-8, 347, 361-2, 367, 369, 376-7, 384 (*see also brāhmaṇas*; *kṣatriyas*; *śūdras*; *vaiśyas*); confluence of, 377; community of one (*saṅgha*), 90; Disabilities Removal Act, 389; distinctions, 359; duties of, 163, 278-9, 347 (*see also stages in life*); evils/drawbacks/restrictions of, 237, 366, 382; forfeiture of, 251; hereditary, 383; hierarchies, 237, 360; inflexibility of, 161; *jāti(s)*, 365, 376-7 (*see also jāti-dharma*); legal privileges of, 292; low-, 371; lower, 292; lowest (*bhīlini*), 363 (*see also caṇḍālas*); modern challenge to, 366; *pañcāyats*, *see pañcāyats*; primarily character, 163; sub-, 347, 376-7; system, 6, 88, 160ff, 228, 236, 243, 252, 342, 366; —, Buddha critical of, 371; —, distinct from *varṇa* in medieval period, 365; —, fluid and functional, 164; —, purpose of, 226; *varṇāśrama*, *see* stages in life
- category(-ies), metaphysical, 48, 50, 53, 57, 76, 89
- cattle tending, 164
- catur-varga, fourfold traditional value, 276
- causal wave, *see* wave
- causality: law of, 78; theory of, modern physical science, 95; views of, 52
- causation theory/law, 78, 91ff, 95, 97-101, 104, 108, 160; *hetupaccaya*, 78; twelve-linked chain/Twelve stages of (*pratītya-samutpāda*), 46, 91ff, 97-8; 115 (*see also under individual links*; wheel of life)
- causes, doctrine of plurality of, 160
- Central Asian Turks, 382
- Cera, kings of Travancore, 263
- chain, continuous, of birth, death, and rebirth, *see* rebirth; *saṁsāra*
- Chaitanya of Bengal, dualist saint, 228, 234
- Chalmers, Lord, trans. *Further Dialogues of the Buddha* (*Majjhima-nikāya*), 85
- chance (*yadr̥cchā*), 43
- Chāndogya Upaniṣad, 64, 131, 153, 163, 171, 223, 230, 245-6, 340, 347, 358, 363, 372; *see also* Jābāla
- change, theory of pure, without substratum, 102
- character: informs conduct, 159; *guṇa*, *see* *guṇa*
- charity, 148, 163, 202, 222, 240, 332; organized, 240
- chastity, 148, 240; of body and thought, 146
- Chatterjee, Satishchandra C., *An Introduction to Indian Philosophy* (with Dharendra Mohan Datta), 18; *The Nyāya Theory of Knowledge*, 134
- chemistry, 230
- Chi, 89
- China, *vi*, 11, 89, 173, 185, 208, 253; Western knowledge of, 10; *see also* Confucianism
- Chinese, 199; social emphasis of, 31; thinkers, classical, 195; tra-
- ditions and cultures, 367; view of liberation, 316
- Christ, 150, 208, 226, 295, 327, 339
- Christian, 137; Church, 264; congregations, 263; doctrine of Fall and Redemption, 339; era, 7; missionaries, 228, 387; mysticism, 63; theology(-ians), 63, 177
- Christianity, 177; ethics of, 228; a universal religion, 185
- Circle: time is, 93; waving, 105; wheel of life is, *see* wheel
- cit*: consciousness, 169; —, *ātman* is, 196; -move, 90; Thinking/Thought/all-knowing/knowledge, 88, 89
- city-states, 278
- civilization: birth of new, 173; dissolution of, 100; our present, 38
- clan, *viś*, 256; *see also vaiśyas*
- class(es): industrial, 377; new commercial, 377; *see also* caste
- cleaving, *upādāna*, 92, 94
- code(s), 199f: ethical, 208 (*see also Dharma-śāstras*); of Manu, *see* *Manu-smṛti*
- cognition(s), 41, 212; action confirms, 189; —, and emotion, 193-4, 200-1, 311-2; 318; or "conception" (*viññāna*), *see* conception; free from, doubt (*saṁśaya*), 119; —, error (*bhrama*), 119; immediate, of real, 179; of individuality of objects (*saṁkalpa*), 150; in general (*jñāna*), 118; valid (*pramā*), 118-20; *see also* knowledge
- cognitive: exercises (*jñāna-sādhara*), 309; faculties (*indriya*) six, 71
- coherence, problem of, 41

cohesion, elementary quality of (*āpo*), 70
 color, *varṇa*, *see varṇa*
 commentaries, period of, 7
 commentators, period of, 7
 commercial classes, 377
 communes, rural, *see village-community*
 communion: desire for, 201; with Divine Spirit, 211; with nature, 165
 communism, 249
 community: *brāhmaṇa* is leader of, 163; state, *saṅgha*, 278; *see also village*
 compassion, 148, 163, 222, 225, 234, 235, 252; *dayā*, 264; have (*dayadhvam*), 157; *karuṇā*, 206
 complexes, *see saṅkhārā*
 Comte, Auguste, 69
 Conant, T. J., 210
 concentration, 136ff, 240; *dhāraṇā*, 143; discipline/rules/method of, 90, 140, 234; higher, 140; obstacles, 141; *samādhi*, *see samādhi*; *see also meditation*
 conception, *viññāṇa* (cognition), 80, 92, 93
 concord, 264; in council, 220
 confidence, man's need for, 194
 Confucian(-ism), 59; is determinism, 104; humanism, 63
 Confucius, *Shih chi* (Historical Records), 175
 confusion, *moha*, 257
 Conger, Professor George P., 9, 86; "An Outline of Indian Philosophy," 17
 conscience, 345-6, 379; concept of, in Western thought, 345; social, 367
 conscientious objector, 344
 conscious processes (*virtis*), 197
 consciousness, 46-50, 71-2, 76, 94, 109, 115, 121, 141, 147-8, 179, 196, 198, 324; or *ātman*, 138, 150, 196; attribute-, *see attribute*; (*cit*), 169, 196; concentrated, *see samādhi*; existential, 198; foundational, 330; I-, *see I-consciousness*; immediate, 123-4; kinetic, *see kinetic*; receptacle-, *see receptacle*; rise of national, 161; unperishing, *ālaya-vijñāna*, 48, 184; *vijñāna*, 47-8, 193
 consecration of king, 259
 consensus, principle of, *ijmā*, 385
 constitution(s)/Constitution, *vii*, 260; Indian, 277, 390
 contemplation, 5, 82, 157, 165; meditative (*nididhyāsana*), 168
 contemporary: India, 366f; —, economic planning of, 368; world, *vi*
 continence, disciplines of, 221
 contradiction: law(s) of, 133, 308; *vyāghāta*, 125
 conversion, 186
 coparcenary, 376
 coronation, 280
 Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, 358
 correspondence, theory of, 41
 cosmic/Cosmic: form, *viśva-rūpa*, 170; ignorance/nescience, *see māyā*; justice, 268; order and harmony, *see Rta*; Person (*virāt*), 191-2; philosophy, *see world philosophy*; principle, 325; process, *see world process*; Reason, *see reason*; universality, 191
 Cosmic Egg, *Brahmāṇḍa*, 271
 cosmos, 168
 council: *sabhā*, 255; system, 255
 Council of Nicaea, 263
 country, *rāṣṭra*, 256
 court(s): civil, 379; criminal, 379; "house of *dharma*," 289; of justice, 289-90; king's, of justice, *see king*; *sabhā*, 289
 Cowell, E. B., ed. and trans. Udayana, *Kusumāñjali*, 135; *see also Mādhava Ācārya*
 craving, 46; has ceased, 84; which produces rebirth, 81; (*taṇhā*), grasping prompted by, 82
 creation: account of, 211; "continuous," 79; and dissolution, processes of, 48; is only perception, 121; wheel of, 218; world of, 108; of world, 49
 Creator, 222
 Culla-vagga, 171
 culture(-al), 381; India, 38, 387; lag, 370; penetration of Indian, into south, 224; revival of, 228; spiritual, of race, 163; synthesis of, 59
 cycle(s): of changing manifestations, 105; of life/repeated births, *see rebirth*; *saṃsāra*; *see also round*
 Daedalus, 182
 Dahlke, Paul, *Buddhism*, 85
 Dakṣa, 363; *Dakṣa-śāstra*, 373
 dama, self-control, 269
 damnation, doctrine of eternal, 303
dāmyata, "cultivate self-control," 157
dāna, placating by giving, 282
 dance, 205, 380
daṇḍa: law, doctrine of, 253, 275; punishment, 275, 282, 379; scepter, 275
daṇḍadhara, wielder of power to punish, king is, 254, 379
daṇḍa-nīti, science of government, 308
The Dangerous Sea, 249
 Dark Age(s), 250; in India, 227, 360
darśana (integral vision), 26

darśana-mārga, way-of-life-view, 110
 Darśanas, 12
 Dasgupta, Professor Surendranath N., *A History of Indian Philosophy*, 17-8; *Religion and Rational Outlook*, 351-2, 358
 Dasyus, 161
datta, "be generous," 157
 Datta, Dharendra Mohan: *An Introduction to Indian Philosophy*, (with Satishchandra Chatterjee), 18; "The Moral Conception of Nature in Indian Philosophy," 295; *The Six Ways of Knowing*, 134-5
 Davids, Mrs. Rhys, *see Woodward, Kindred Sayings*
 Davids, T. W. Rhys, trans. *Dialogues of the Buddha*, 85, 358; and W. Stede, *Pali-English Dictionary*, 85
 Davies, J. L., 361; *A Short History of Women*, 372
dayā, compassion, 264
dayadhvam, "have compassion," 157
 Dayal, Dr. Har, 335; *The Bodhi-sattva Doctrine in Buddhist Sanskrit Literature*, 340
 Dayananda, Swami, founded Ārya Samāj, 229
 death, 46, 79, 149, 175, 233, 321; birth and, *see birth*; Blindness a continuation of, 93, 95; of Buddha called *nirvāṇa*, 107; doctrine of freedom from pain and, 31; *Jarāmaraṇa*, 92; life and, *see life*; rebirth and, *see rebirth*; unreality, darkness and, is world of *māyā*, 169
 debts, *ṛṇas*, 219
 defilement, 73
 deists, 306
 deities, *see gods*

Delhi, 228
 deliverance, *nibbāna* as, 84
 delusion, 328; Action the physical manifestation of, 97; is illness of the mind, 97; originates from mind-action/ideation, 98; *see also* mind, disorder of
 democracy, 207, 229, 286, 294; Western, 286
 Democritus, 211
 demons: *asuras*, 222; fiendish, 181; logic of two destructive (*sun-dopa-sunda*), 253
 dependence: mutual, 133; self-, 133
 Descartes, René, "continuous creation," 79
 desire(s)/craving, 154, 217–8; action without, 166; for individual happiness and social welfare, 239; *kāma*, 150, 257, 259; selfish, 200, 269; of Supreme Spirit is *dharma*, 192; *tanhā*, 82, 92, 94; three basic, 233; work, enjoyment and, round of, 166
 desirelessness, *ataraxia*, 191
 despotism, Eastern, 207
 destiny, *adr̥ṣṭa*, 226
 destruction, theory of world's/world-systems' periodic, 89, 90
 detachment, *vairāgya*, 300–1, 303; *see also* non-attachment
 determinism, 80; Confucianism is, 104; in Western schools of thought, 104; *niyati-vāda*, 324
 deva(s)/*Deva*, 95, 98, 102, 257; higher grade of living beings, 102
 Devala, 363, 373
 devotion, *bhakti*, *see bhakti*
 Dewey, J., 58
 dhamma(-ā), *see dharma*
 Dhammapada, Book of Religious Verse, 84–5, 105, 192, 212, 297,

348, 358; —, ed. and trans. S. Radhakrishnan, 296
dhāraṇā, concentration, 143
Dharma, Aryan, *see Arya Dharma*
dharma/dhamma (-ā), 72–8, 187–93, 199–200, 211, 223, 235–41, 251–4, 259–60, 274, 276, 283, 287–8, 335, 345, 363, 378–80, 383–4; branches of (*jāti*-, *rāja*-, etc.) 380; duty, *see* duty; element(s) of existence, 72–3, 76, 114; ethical/right action/morality, 50, 108, 153–6, 187–94, 252, 268, 276, 325, 334, 368; four sources of, 344; law, 47, 51, 184, 235, 254, 281; —, of state/social, 239, 286f, 375, 379 (*see also* stages in life, law of); as man's differentia, 269; is man's inner nature/being, 156, 193; is religion, 184; socio-moral actions, 301; *Thémis* derived from, 287; two ways of life: action/movement and contemplation/renunciation, 5, 45, 217; ultimate sanction, 263; virtues, 132, 204; *-viruddha*, conflict with morality, 276
Dharma-body, Buddha has, 108
Dharma-dhātu, principle- or law-element, 99–100, 103–4, 108–9
Dharma-kāya, ideal body, 103–4
Dharma-nature, 103
Dharma-śāstra(s), treatises on *dharma*/ethics/law, 5, 12, 14, 56, 156, 179, 199, 253, 272, 278–9, 282, 289, 342, 366, 371, 379, 385, 389; of Manu, *see* Manu; and *sharīat*, 385–6; *see also* Kane; *Smṛtis*; *Sūtras*; and individual authors
Dharma-sūtra: Āpastamba, 254; Bodhāyana, 265
dharma-vijaya, moral conquest, 285

Dharmakīrti, 119; *Nyāya-bindu*, with Commentary of Dharmotārācharya, 134
dharmatā, 337; noumenal reality, 330
dhātu (element), eighteen, 72, 75; *see also* *Dharma-dhātu*
dhr (root), 156
 Dhruva, A. B., "Presidential Address," 17
dhyāna, *see jhāna*
 diabolisms, 181
 dialectic(s) (-al), 27; ladder, 110; methods of, 110, 114; —, of school of Negativism, 106
 diarchy (*dvairājya*), 278
 difference, double method of, 126
 differentiation, 316; indeterminateness in world of, 105
Digha-nikāya, 68, 85; Buddhist, 257
Dignāga/*Diināga*, 89
 dignity, 209
 Digvijayas, 261
dikpālas, protectors of the corners, 257
 Dilipa, 258
 discipline, 164, 167, 179–80, 185, 221, 233–4; of action, 233; ascetic, 241–2; ethical/spiritual/moral, 115, 144, 157, 192, 198, 201, 206, 229, 240–2, 323–4, 326, 329–30, 332, 342; —, in Buddhism and Jainism, 201f; —, four kinds of (*yajña*), 218f; —, in Vedānta, 200f; —, is *yoga*, 233; external, *bahir-āṅga-sādhana*, 313; of four stages in life, 238; internal, *antar-āṅga-sādhana*, 313; *vinaya*, 280; Zen, 179; *see also* self-discipline
 discrimination, class, in India, 370, 390
 discussion, philosophical, 132–4, 289

dissolution, creation and, processes of, 48
 distractions, 146
 diversity, unity in, 273
 divinity of soul, 229, 243
 divorce/dissolution of marriage: Hindu laws of, 289, 347; 1955 Act, 389; *see also* annulment
 dogmatists, 66
 doubt, 141; removal of, 132; *saṁśaya*, 119, 132; *vicikitsā*, 150
 drama, 205
dravya-yajña, sacrifice with substance-oblations, 325; *see also* sacrifice
 dream, 132, 204
 Droṇa, 344, 363
 dualism/-ists/-istic systems, 6, 67, 222, 234, 241; evolutionary/-al, 7, 89; philosophical, of Madhva, *see* Madhva; pluralism, and *śūnya* synthesized, 54; of *prakṛti* and *puruṣa*, 53; of Sāṁkhya, 43, 53, 74; of Yoga, 43
 duality, 232
 Dubois, Abbé, 364; *Hindu Manners, Customs and Ceremonies*, 373
dukkha/duḥkha: suffering, 70, 81, 88, 322, 339; *-satya*, truth of, 322, 339
 dullness, *see tamas*
durātmā, wicked soul, 230
 Duryodhana, cousin of Arjuna, 346
 Dutch, 382
 duty(-ies): of castes/classes and stages of life, *see* caste; stages in life; defined as action according to Vedic injunctions, 186; *dharma*, 187, 277; —, first ideal, 239; and freedom, 241f; God is principle of, 51; *'ibādat*, spiritual, 384; *sādhāraṇa-dharmas*, universal, 272; *sāmānya-dharma*, of all people,

- 364; *sarva-dharma*, for all men, 364; *sva-dharma*, sphere of, 272
dvāpara, bronze age, 241
dveṣa, repulsion, 301
- earth, 48, 108, 185; is correlate of smell, 187
- East: philosophical and cultural traditions of, *vi*; and West, conflict between, 386; —, philosophical synthesis, 59; —, relative terms, 173; —, understanding, need of, 391
- East-West Philosophers' Conferences, *v*
- Eastern: Church, 264; despotism, 207; mind, 209; —, engrossed in subjectivity, 208
- economists, materialist, 277
- ecstasy, 146; of communion, 148
- edicts of kings, *śāsanas*, 289
- Edicts of Aśoka, *see* Aśoka
- education, 238, 272, 380–1; of children, 376; of illiterate, 230; introduction of English/Western, 228, 387–8; spread of, 370
- effect/Effect: common, 96; Individual, 96; theory of existent, *sat-kārya-vāda*, 49; theory of non-existent (*asat-kārya-vāda*), 49
- ego, 141, 150, 203, 305, 321; *aham*, 138; —, same as *ātman*, 46; *ahamkāra*, 49, 191, 196, 202, 304, 306; —, reason transcends, 191; *attā* synonymous with, 75; is cause of vices, 229; empirical, 37, 46, 57; is identical with reason (*buddhi*), 205; and non-ego, diversity of, 231; is part of inner sense (*antaḥ-karāṇa*), 197; reason is beyond, 202; transcendental, 213
- ego-involvement, 205; impurity means, 204
- egoity, transformed into non-egoity, 37
- egotism, 143; individualizing center of (*manas*), 97
- Egypt, 263
- Egyptians, 252
- eighteenth century: national outlook in, 59; revolutions of, 22
- Eightfold Path, *see* Noble
- element(s): *bhūtāni*, 43; *dharmā*, *see* *dharma*; *dhātu*, eighteen, 72; five, 108; —, of matter, 150; —, subtle (*tan-mātras*), 49; flow of evanescent, 78; four, 44; gross, 49; *guṇas*, 138; mental and physical in *santāna*, 75
- emancipation: gateway to (*vimokkha-mukha*), 83; *mokṣa*, *see* *mokṣa*; or *mukti*, *see* *mukti*; spiritual, *see* *mokṣa*; of women, 228
- embodied person, 219
- Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 160
- emissaries, ethical, 285
- emotion(s): cognition, action and, 193–4, 200–1, 311–2, 318; method of sublimating, 166; way of, *see* way of love
- empire, *sāmrajya*, 278
- empirical: self/nature of man, 355, 357; usage, *see* *vyavahāra*; values, 5, 15; *see also* morality; pleasure; material welfare
- empiricism, 6, 63
- energism(-ists), 66, 76
- energy: concept of, in *Vedānta*, *see* *śakti*; latent, 105; world of, 108
- enjoyment, *kāma*, *see* *kāma*
- enlightened person, 242–3
- enlightenment: basis of, 99; Buddha/Gautama attained, 98, 102, 333; in continuation beyond life (*videha-mukti*), 353; “ignorant will identical with,” 106; *mokṣa*, *see* *mokṣa*; about nature of self, 342; perfect (*Bodhi*), 90, 108, 111, 115, 153, 179; potential of, 101; spiritual, 224, 355; in this life (*jīvan-mukti*), 305, 353
- ens, 112, 113; realistic (*sat*) is common-sense faith, 112; school, Ideal of is realism, 114
- entertainment, 381
- epic/Epic(s), 231, 371; literature, 364; period, 5, 295, 364
- Epicureans(-ism), 45, 69
- epistemological: approach of modern Western philosophy, 52; papers, *vi*; theories, 118ff
- epistemology, 14, 174, 280, 290; integral quality of Indian, 26; integralist, 29, 30; lack of integralist, 24
- equality: of men, 385; of opportunity, 367, 390; among races, 361; of rights and duties, 272; of sexes, 370; social, 360, 392; of women, 361
- equanimity, emotional, is aesthetic pleasure, 205
- error: is appearance of unreal as real, 130; theories of, 130
- Essays in East-West Philosophy*, *v*
- eternal, spiritual principle, 69
- eternalism(-ists) 66, 69; *śāsvata-vāda*, 326; *sassata-vādīno*, 68
- eternity: of *prakṛti*, 89; of voice, theory of, 88, 89
- ether, 48, 185; is correlate of sound, 187
- ethics, 14–5, 61–3, 156, 199, 231; Cārvāka, 199; disciplines of personal, 240; Hindu, 235f; Jewish, 199; metaphysics of, 56; Mīmāṃsā, 199; real foundation of, 229; social, 63, 208, 240–1; true, 192; of will, 200
- ethical: action, *see* action; *dharma*; codes, *see* *Dharma-śāstras*; discipline, *see* discipline; laws, 222, 225; philosophy, papers in, *vi*; values in *Bhagavad-gītā*, 200
- “ethicism,” 6
- Euclid, geometrical proof of, 127
- evil, 235, 353; and good, 240, 350; ignorance is cause of, 195; problem of, 195, 337
- evolution: concept of, 60; prius of, 158; of soul, 243; two stages in men's, 222
- exercises, *sādhana*, 309
- exertion, 226
- existence: absolute, knowledge and bliss, 169, 231, 233, 242; analysis of man's conscious, 193; *Bhava*, 92, 94; Buddha's view of human, 90; conditional, 97; dependent, *see* *paṭicca-samuppāda*; “depending upon series of causes,” 96; phenomenal, in its entirety, *see* *Samsāra*; —, is pain, 339; a process in time, 176; pure *ātman* is only, 195–6; series/waves of, *see* rebirth; single moment of, 77; totality of all, 100; ultimate unreality of material, 238; *see also* *sat*
- existent: effect, theory of, *see* effect; *sat*, *see* *sat*
- existential: concepts of man's inward being, 214; consciousness, *ātman*, 198; *diaspora*, 198; nature of *Logos*, 213; self-analysis, 193
- Existentialism(-ists), 177, 197, 213, 322–3
- experience(s): *bhoga*, 302; direct (*pratīti* or *anubhava*), 132; immediate, 167; plenary, 169; rational investigation of nature of, 180; two orders of, 145
- experts, “outside,” *vii*
- extended substance, *see* substance

- extension, elementary quality of (*paṭhavi*), 70
 external world, relation with human being, 71
 extinction: antithesis of falling, 103; *nirvāṇa* is, 115; non-conditioned *Nirvāṇa*, 114
 Fa-hsien, 291
 fairs, village, 381
 faith: existential, 181; man's need for, 194; reasoned, 177; religious, 176; *śraddhā*, 150
 fallacies: fifty-four, 89; thirty-three, 89
 family (-ies), 164, 256, 369, 376-8, 381; aggregate of several/joint/kula, 256, 367, 376; institution of, 165; life, 238; system, disintegration of, 389; ties of, 382
 farmers, 368
 Fascists, 249
 fasting, 69
 fatalism, 226, 324
 fate (*niyati*), 43
 father: king and, 258; manager (*karṭṛ*) of property, 376
 fear, 175; *bhī*, 150
 fearlessness, *abhaya*, 264
 federalism, 261
 feeling(s), 46, 198; aggregate of, *see* aggregate; or "receptions" (*vedanā*), 70; *see also* emotions
 Feer, L., ed. *Saṃyutta-nikāya*, 338
 festivals, 381
 feudalism, 261
 Fichte, J. G., 61
 fideists, 66
 fields, doctrine of, *see āyatana*s
 fire, 48, 108, 185; blown out, 107; is correlate of sight, 187; god of, *see* Agni; *Logos* identified with, 214
 fish, doctrine of, *matsya-nyāya*, 253, 275
 five: fields of senses/objects, 47; organs of action, 49; sense-organs, 49; -step method, *see pañcāvayava-nyāya*; subtle elements, 49; *yogī* virtues, *see yogī*
 flamelessness, 102
 flux, *see saṁtāna*
 forbearance, 240; *upekṣā*, 270
 force, 66; *see also śakti*
 foreign: domination/rule, 216, 228-9, 236; —, liberation from, 286; invaders, 217, 251, 381; policy, Nehru's, 274; relations, 281f
 forest, 238
 forest-dweller, *see* ascetic
 forest-hermitages, 165
 formalists, 108
 formalistic, view of Buddha, 110
 foundational, consciousness/self, 330
 four: elementary qualities of matter, 70; -fold division of society, *see* castes; groups of mental elements, 70; human ends, *see puruṣārthas*; ideals or values, 239; positive categories, 50; stages in life, *see* stages
 Four Noble Truths (*ārya-satyas*), 46, 116
 free: action (*kiriya-vādī*), 80; will, *see* will
 freedom(s), 209, 321, 323-4, 338; of action, 302; is detachment from Nature, 315; duty and, 241; from care, 83; in human nature, 160; *ikṣtiyār*, 385; immanent, 325; Indian philosophical theories of, 36; lies in being without will, 80; "of one or of all," 332; perfect, *Nirvāṇa*, *see nirvāṇa*; spiritual, *see mokṣa*; state of, 328; temporal, 386; true spiritual basis of individual,

- 229; of West, 391; of will, *see* will
 French, 382; Revolution, 228, 249
 friendliness, *maitrī*, 270
 Friends, Society of, 285
 friendship, with good (*kalyāṇamitatā*), 81
 Fuller, Professor B. A. G., 11; *A History of Philosophy*, 17
 gaṇa, people's state, 278
 Gāndhārī, queen mother of Duryodhana, 346
 Gandhi, Mahatma, 38, 39, 161, 203, 225, 237, 272-4, 277, 285-6, 294-5, 349, 360, 391; ideal of, 392; his method of *Satyāgraha*, non-violent non-cooperation, 281; *An Autobiography*, 296; *Bhūdāna-Yajña*, 296; *Young India*, 172, 296; *see also* Bose, N. K.
 gārhastha, *see* householder
 Gauḍapāda, 171; non-dualist, 222
 Gautama, Prince of Kapilavastu, the Buddha, 43, 126, 127, 131ff, 153, 163, 285, 333; followers of, 131; systematized Nyāya, 44, 119; *Nyāya-sūtra*, trans. S. C. Vidyābhūṣaṇa, Sacred Books of the Hindus, 64, 131, 132, 135, 290, (*see also* Vātsyāyana, commentator)
 "Gāyatrī-mantra," 344
 generality, 50
 generation-move, 90
 Genesis, Book of, 182
 Genghis Khan, 382
 German idealists (-ism), 61, 63
 Ghosh, Aurobindo, 39, 55, 360
 Ghoshal, U. N., *Agrarian System in Ancient India*, 296; *History of Hindu Public Life*, 296
 Gītā, *see* Bhagavad-gītā
 goal/end/aim of man, 160; final/highest/ultimate/supreme, 44-5, 166, 324, 332, 342, 350, 387; —, is liberation (*mokṣa*), 235, 242 (*see also mokṣa*); —, is realization of *ātman*, 197; —, Śaivist and Vaiṣṇavist conception of, 324; —, Sāṃkhya conception of, 158; —, is self-realization, 381; —, is ultimate truth, 351; *puruṣārtha*, *see puruṣārtha*; social, 372; spiritual, 12, 371-2; of — evolution is transcendence of *guṇas*, 162; is — perfection and freedom, 166
 God, 48-53, 66, 88, 140-7, 176-7, 182, 188, 190, 199-207, 229-30, 241, 270-1, 306-12, 322, 324, 327; or Absolute, 308-9, 335; becomes man, 151; -consciousness, 326; happiness is participation in, 158; with infinite attributes, *see* *Saguna*; of Israel, 185; *Īśvara*, oneness with, 147, 184, 333-4; love for/of, 143-4, 167, 201, 234, 353; as only reality, 229; —, denied, 77; personal, 140, 143, 145-6, 232, 322; -realization, 136, 166, 193, 205, 234, 285, 332; *śakti*, power/potentiality of, 48, 52, 53; and soul/selves, 147, 167, 269, 307; *Śruti*, 379; transcendent (*sadaiva Īśvaraḥ*), 144, 326, 337; and universe, oneness with, 147, 222; worship of, *karma-sādhana*, 142, 310
 god(s) deities, 187, 219, 221; *devas*, *see deva*; of fire and speech, *see* Agni; jealous custodians of social welfare, 218; mental projections/creations, 181, 186, 188; *Ṛta* is above, 286; of village, *grāma-devatā*, 381;

- see also under names of individual gods
- Golden Mean, 114, 271, 272, 277, 282, 284; doctrine of, 68; of Manu and Arjuna, 294
- good: evil and, 240, 350; highest, *śreyas*, 154, 342, 345; men (*sadācāra*), 254; twofold idea of, 342
- goodness, *dharma*, see *dharma*
- gopīs*, cowherd girls, 363
- Gorgias, 68
- Gośāla, M., see Maskarin Gośāla
- Gough, A. E., see Mādhava Ācārya
- government, executive branch of, 379
- grace, 335; descent of, *śakti-pāta*, 324; doctrine of, 201
- grāma*, see village
- grammar, 230-1
- Grammarians/philosophy of Grammar, 300, 304, 318
- grasping, 46, 81-4; gives life its nutrition (*āhāra*), 82; personality/individuality a process of, 75, 77; (*upādāna*), 71
- Great Epic, see *Mahābhārata*
- Great Recluse, doctrine of, 70
- Great Vows, 332
- Greece, 56, 174, 263, 285; *Polis* of, 378; see also Greeks; Parmenidean school; Plato
- greed, *lobha*, 257
- Greek(s), 161, 199, 203, 213, 286-7; Bactrian, 162; philosophy, 211, 214; writers, 255
- grhastha*, householder, see householder
- Grimm, J. and W., *The Doctrine of the Buddha*, 338
- guide, inner, *antar-yāmin*, 151
- guild, 381
- guṇa(s)*: ability, 362; character, 162; elements, 138; goal of spiritual evolution is transcendence of, 162; *-karma*, 278; quality, 272; three fundamental types/constituents/attributes of nature, 49, 162, 355
- Guptas, 363
- guru*, 377; spiritual preceptor and guide, 324
- Haas, Dr. W. S., 208; *The Destiny of Mind: East and West*, 215
- Hamlet, 182
- happiness: domestic, 155; everlasting, *mokṣa*, 154-5 (see also *mokṣa*); infinite, 166; and unhappiness, total of, remains constant, 240
- Harivarman, Nihilistic school of, 112
- harmony, *samatā*, 205
- Hartmann, E. von, "Essences" of, 32
- health, 220
- hearing, *śravaṇa*, 181
- heaven: and hell, theory of, 350; life in, 200
- Hebrews, 252
- hedonism, 277
- Hegel, Georg W. F./Hegelian(s), 56, 210, 336-7; Absolute, 32, 313; absolute idealism of, 68; "Civil Society" of, 377; metaphysics of, 22; objective mind of, 381; social philosophies of, 377; state, 380; synthesis, 336; *Lectures on the Philosophy of History*, trans. J. Sibree, 174, 182
- Heidegger, M., 175
- henotheism, 186
- Heraclitus, 203, 214
- Herbart, J. F., psychological determinism of, 79
- heredity, 377
- hermitages, 165
- hierarchy: ideas of, 365; social, 377
- Himalayas, 161
- Hinayāna (Theravāda):
- Buddhism, 349; ideal of *arhat* (Buddhist perfected man), 323; schools, 47
- Hindu(s)/-ism, *passim*, 6, 13, 35, 109, 216, 347; ethics, 235f; marriage, 347; philosophy, orthodox schools of, 5, 44; philosophy (-ers), theory of, 26, 30, 136, 150; polity, 382; psychologists, 150; scheme of life, 218; scriptures/texts, 223, 225, 323; Six Systems of, 7, 13, 88; social structure, 369; society/state, 161, 228, 240, 381; —, four classes in, see caste; spiritual truths, 217; tradition, vi
- Hiriyanna, Professor Mysore, 4, 8; *Outlines of Indian Philosophy*, 17
- historicism, 210
- Hobbes, Thomas, 69, 181; *Leviathan*, 182, 253
- Hocking, W. E., 185, 209
- Holy Roman Empire, 250
- home, 220
- Hooker, R., *Ecclesiastical Polity*, 253
- Hoskyns, Edwyn C., see Barth, K.
- hospitality, 220
- householder(s), *gṛhastha*/*grhastha* (second stage in life), 164-6, 220, 222-3, 234, 236, 238-9, 251, 264, 271, 347, 368, 375; duties of, 225, 227; see also marriage; stages in life
- Hsüan-tsang, 89, 283
- Hui-k'o, 89
- Hull, R. F. C., see Misch, G.
- human nature, see nature
- humanism: Confucian, 63; Islamic, 63; Sino-European kingship in, 63
- humility, 148
- Huns, 162
- hunters, 363
- Husserl, E., 32
- Huxley, T. H., 64
- hymns: Rg-vedic, 325; Vedic (*mantras*), 219, 222, 251, 334, 344, 365
- hyphenation, vii
- "I," 71, 73, 324, 329, 356; *aham*, 196; identified with action, 74; misconceived, 84
- I-consciousness, 138, 241; *ahamdhī*, 196
- I-feeling, 303, 310
- ibādāt*, spiritual duties, 384
- Ibn Hanbal, 392
- idea(s), 198; or "perceptions" (*saññā*), 70
- ideal(s): -body, 110; four, 239, (see also *dharma*; *artha*; *kāma*; *mokṣa*); Indian, 224; —, are renunciation and service, 230; spiritual, 44
- idealism, 6, 15, 63, 114; absolute, of Hegel, 68; in Buddhist philosophy, 46-7; of Mahāyāna schools, 47; metaphysical, of Śaṅkara, 121; objective, 68-9; pluralistic, 47; practical, 8; and realism, distinctions between, 53; —, synthesis of, 15, 231, 243; speculations of, 69; subjective, 68, 231; —, of Yogācāra Buddhists, 121; of Vijñaptimātra school, 102
- idealists, 51, 66, 108; German, 61, 63
- ideation: all things have their origin in, 101; chain of causation by, 98-9; theory, Buddhist, 97; world of, 108
- ideation-store, see *ālaya-vijñāna*
- identification: reciprocal/mutual, 106; wrong, 328-9
- identity: of essence (*tādātmya*), 126; -in-difference, 307-8;

- mysticism of, 88; or non-difference, doctrine of, 168; is ultimate truth, 273; with all beings, 330; with the Lord (*Īśvara-bhāvāpatti*), 333; with Supreme Spirit (*Brahman*), 189
- ignorance, 46, 272, 327–8, 338; destroyed by insight, 82; doctrine of original, 195; human suffering rooted in, 118; life begotten of, 82; metaphysical (*avidyā/ajñāna*), *see* *avijñā*; —, (*māyā*), *see* *māyā*; removal of, 324; is root of all imperfections/evil, 167, 195; world of, 111
- ignorant will “identical with perfect enlightenment,” 106
- illiteracy of India, 216
- illiterate, 230, 371
- illumined: person(s), 148–51; soul, 149; teacher, 147
- illusion of name and form, 148
- illusionism, 232
- Imām(s), religious leaders, 383
- immeasurable meditations, four, 89
- immeasurability, meditative doctrine of (*apramāṇa*), 90
- immediacy, 28; as basic character of Absolute Consciousness, 123; (being), and mediacy (concepts), correlation of, 60; of knowledge, 26, 28; spiritual, 57
- immortality, 234; of *ātman*, 86, 89; concept of, 350; *mokṣa*, 242; of soul, 149, 243; spiritual, 354
- Imperium of Rome, 378
- impermanence/transiency/*anitya/anicca*, 79, 82, 102; Buddha proposed, 88; theory of, 103; world is, 175
- imprisonment, 291
- Impurity means ego-involvement, 204
- inaction, Advaita doctrine of, 309f
- inactivism, *akriyā-vāda*, 326; *see also* non-activism
- inactivity, *see* *tamas*
- Inada, Professor Kenneth K., ix
- incarnation(s), 268; theories, 110
- inclusion, possible (*sambhava*), 119
- indetermination: principle of, 104; —, of matter and mind, 106; in world of differentiation, 105
- indeterminism (*śūnya-vāda*), 121
- Indian: languages, 4; mind, cultural tradition of, 38; —, intellectual curiosity of, 5; philosophy(-ies), *see* philosophy; political and economic backwardness, 32; thought, 4ff, —, status of individual in, vi; tradition, religious aspects of, 4; *see also* Hindus
- individual(s), 269f, 374ff; is “body-mind-I” complex, 329; and *Brahman*, difference between, 52; Buddhist term for, is *santāna* (stream), 75; empirical, 334; freed from finitude, 167; in Indian metaphysics, 299ff; *jīva*, *see* *jīva*; *jīvātma*, 374; liberty of, 378; perfection (*saṃsiddhi*), 375; plurality of, 303ff; self is essence of, 304–5; selves, relation between God and, 307; and society/state, relation between, 270, 347f, 380f; (ultimate) status of, vi, 304
- individualism, 360, 368; atomistic, 359; in Buddhism, 364; dynamic, 367; spiritual, 270
- individuality: not mere plurality, 331; a process of grasping, 75, 77
- Indo-Aryan(s), 223; philosophers, 150; spiritual culture of, 237; of Vedic times, 221; *see also* Aryans
- Indra, 221, 325–6, 380; god of clouds and thunderbolt, 185; the rewarder, 257
- induction, 123
- industrial classes, 377
- industrialism, 368
- inference, 28–9, 119, 133; *anumāna*, 125f; demonstrative form of, 126; psychological process of, 126; theory of, 131
- infinite: presence of, 176; “sense for,” 13
- inherence, 48, 76; (*samavāya*), 50, 308
- initiation, *upanayana*, 361
- injunction, *vidhi*, 193
- inner: nature of man, 38; self, transformation of, 15, 38
- innovation, *ijtihād*, 385
- inseparability, *apṛthak-siddhi*, 307
- insight: of adaptation (*anuloma-nāna*), 82; fullness of, 115, 117; higher, 115; ignorance destroyed by, 82; intuitive, 179; —, is knowledge that liberates, 167; into nature of things, 328; of non-self, 84; into the real, 331; into reality of the spiritual, 355; religious, 178; supreme (*paññā*), 76, 83
- instincts, 198; and propensities, 46
- integral(-ist): epistemology, 24–30; and unitary character of knowledge and action, 36; view/outlook, 271–2; vision (*darśana*), 26
- integrated individual, 29
- integration: of consciousness, 115; of personality, 331; of values, 59
- intellect, 90, 141, 150, 329, 331; (*buddhi*), 138, 345
- intellection-move, 90
- intelligence, 138; *dhi*, 150; *mahat* or *buddhi*, 49
- introspection, 129, 132, 140
- introspective, 234; life, 240
- intuition, 34; of *Brahman*, 167; of Indian philosophical tradition, 10; validity of, 13; in Western philosophy, 11
- intuitionism, 6; dualistic meditative, 89; schools of, 116
- intuitive: insight, *see* insight; vision of reality, 181
- invalidity, 120
- invasion/invasers, *see* foreign
- inward: being of man, *see* man; realization, 210, 211
- inwardness, 190, 209–10; of categories, 57; man’s true, 208; reality of, 207; strength of, 215; Upaniṣadic teaching of, 192–4; of Western man, 215
- Iran, 382
- irrationalism, 6
- Īśa-upaniṣad, 28, 40, 244, 272–3, 294
- Islam, 228; humanism of, 63; a universal religion, 185
- Islamic: canonical laws, 383; conception that matter is habit of Allah, 65; law, political principles of, 384; religion and culture, 382
- isolation, *see* *kaivalya*
- Israel, God of, *see* Jehovah
- Īśvara: God, 184, 337; —, oneness with, 333
- Īśvarakṛṣṇa, *Sāṃkhya-kārikā*, ed. and trans. Suryanarayana Sastri, 135
- Jābāla, Satyakāma, 163, 363, 372
- Jagadananda, Swami, ed. Śaṃkara’s works, 212
- Jagannātha, 205
- Jaigīśavya, *Yoga-sūtra-bhāṣya*, 339
- Jaimini, 50, 186, 254; systematized Mīmāṃsā, 44; Mīmāṃsakas, followers of, 46; *Mīmāṃsā-sūtra*, 65, 182; —, commentary by Śabara, 128; —, ed. and trans.

- M. L. Sandal, Sacred Books of the Hindus, 135
- Jaina(s), 42, 121, 123, 263, 267, 270, 274, 327; followers of Mahāvīra, 44, 325; (naïve) realists, 6, 45; records, 255; self-mortification of, 323; theories/teaching of, 26, 45, 74, 285
- Jaini, J. L., *see* Umāsvāti
- Jainism, 13, 30, 183, 185, 191–2, 198, 214, 300, 313, 318, 321, 324, 329, 334, 337, 348–9; concept of salvation, 55; ethical discipline in, 201; monastic order of religion, 341; originated in atmosphere of Vedic thought, 184; rise and spread of, 207; *see also kevalin*
- jana, people, 256
- Janaka, King/Emperor, 154, 224, 234, 288
- Japan, vi, 11, 173, 185; *samurai* of, 215
- Japanese traditions and cultures, 367
- jāti(s), 302; castes, 365, 376–7 (*see also* caste)
- jāti-dharma, law/rule of caste, 378, 380
- jealousy, 143
- Jehovah, 150, 185
- Jew(s), 137; baiting of, 263
- Jewish ethics, 199
- jhāna/dhyāna: (method of) meditation, 116, 143; trances/musings, 83
- jijñāsā, desire to know, 132
- jīva(s), 198, 324; associated with ātman (spirit), 374; one individual, 51–2, 318; as individual, and Nature, 51; infinity of self, 123; living beings, 268; is psycho-ethical individual, 212; soul, 202, 212, 322, 329; “that which breathes,” 374
- jīvan-mukta(s), 306, 312; Advaita conception of, 313; person endowed with knowledge of Brahman, 148
- jīvan-mukti, liberation/enlightenment in one’s lifetime, 305, 353
- jīvātman, individual, 374
- jñāna, 312; cognition in general, 118; knowledge, 167, 194; is — for salvation, 203; —, way to liberation, *see* way of knowledge; *see also vidyā*
- Jñāna-kāṇḍa, 89
- jñāna-mārga, way of knowledge, *see* way of knowledge
- jñāna-sādhana, cognitive exercises, 309
- jñāna-yoga, path of philosophical discrimination, 136; way of self-knowledge, 166–7
- Joy, *see* bliss
- Judaism, a particularist religion, 185
- judge(s): chief, 280; “men of dharma,” 289
- judicial process, *see vyavahāra*
- judiciary: organization of, 379f; village, 381
- jurists, 392; Muslim, 384; *ulamā*, 384
- justice: administration of, 289f; called *nyāya* (logic), 290; cosmic, 268; court(s) of, 289–90; dispensation of, 379f; economic, 293f; *see also* Varuṇa, god of justice
- Kabīr, 228
- kaivalya: aloneness, 158; isolation, 141
- kāla (time), 43
- kalī, iron age, 241
- Kālī, 150
- Kālidāsa, 154, 258, 366, 373, 375, 380; *Raghuvamśa*, 171, 258, 392
- kalpa: aeon or world-duration, 240; world process sustained by rotation of, 241
- kāma: desire, 150, 257, 259; enjoyment of sense pleasures, 239, 276–7, 375; pleasure, 153–5
- kāma-śāstra, science of living and enjoyment, 308
- Kamalaśīla, pluralistic idealism of, 47
- Kāmandaka, 262
- kamma(-ā), *see* karma
- kāmya, mind, 35
- Kaṇāda, 43, 119; systematized Vaiśeṣika, 44, 119; *Vaiśeṣika-sūtra*, Hans Nandalal Sinha, Sacred Books of the Hindus, 64–5
- Kane, P. V., *History of Dharma-śāstra*, 295–7, 372–3, 392
- Kaniṣka, King, 111
- Kant, Immanuel, 35, 44, 157, 205, 213, 325; Categorical Imperative, 315; “holy will” of, 350; Ideas of Reason, 305; moral maxim of, 269; Second Critique, 316; “Transcendental Ego” of, 32; *Critique of Judgment*, 213; *Critique of Practical Reason*, 213, 315; *Critique of Pure Reason*, 213; *Perpetual Peace*, 315; *Religion Within the Limits of Reason*, 315
- Kantians, 210
- Kapila, 43; systematized Sāṃkhya, 44
- Kapilavastu, 98–9; *see also* Gautama
- karma/kamma(-ā), viii, 13, 17, 50–1, 74–80, 292, 302, 312; action, 45, 50, 79, 95, 186, 268, 272; —, way of, *see* way of action; birth and death are “corollary of action,” 104; blindness is crystallization of, 95; (Indian) doctrine of, 34, 195, 299; kind of work, 162; Law of, 80, 159–60, 226, 233, 300, 302–3, 322, 324, 364–5; lower/egoistic (action), 191; means actions or vocations, 362; means “deed” and “result of deed,” 159; Mīmāṃsā a philosophy of, 186; Moral Law, 325–6; potency of, 195; renunciation of, 195; as sacrifice, 50; salvation lies in weeding out, 198, 202; special force of (*patti/prāpti*), 76; theory of, 345, 350, 355; unitary eternal, 188; universal, single, unitary activity, 187; wheel of, 385
- Karma-kāṇḍa, action, 89
- karma-mārga, way of self-realization through action, *see* way of action
- karma-phala, result of free act, 325
- karma-sādhana, worship of God, 310
- karma-santati, continuity of impulse, 80
- karma-yoga: action as spiritual discipline, 241; doctrine of selfless action, 200; path of selfless work, 166; *śāstra*, 200
- karmic matter, 329
- karṭṛ, manager of property, 376
- karuṇā: compassion, 206; kindness, 270
- Kashmir, school of Śaivism, 54, 318
- Kaṭha-upaniṣad, 18, 40, 154, 157, 160, 171, 212–4, 239, 244–6, 296, 357
- Kātyāyana, 289–91
- Kauṭilya, 6, 254, 257, 259–62, 277, 279–80, 283, 290, 347; realism of, 294; *Artha-śāstra* (*Treatise on Political Economy*), 131, 218,

- 224, 226, 245, 267, 274, 295, 297, 365, 373, 379; —, trans. R. Shamasastri, 244, 291, 357; —, ed. Trivandrum, 265
Kaveri River, 382
Kaye, J. William, ed. *Selections from Papers of Lord Metcalfe*, 265
Keith, A. B., *Indian Logic and Atomism*, 64
Kēraḷa-ācāras, 251
kevalin, has attained isolation from material adjuncts in Jainism, 323
khana(-ā), point-instants: bare point-instants, 77; law of co-ordination between, 78; reality consists of, 77
khandha(-ā), 72, 85; -complex, a living being is, 79; five aggregates or groups, 71; grasping of "aggregates," 81; is *nāma* and *rūpa*, 79
kindness, *karuṇā*, 270
kinetic consciousness (*pravṛtti-vijñāna*), 47
king(s)/monarch, 254, 256–64, 275, 278, 280–4, 286, 289, 293, 342–3, 363; bearer of *daṇḍa*, power to punish, 254, 379; court of justice of, 290, 379; divine origin of, 257, 276, 380; duties of, 225, 380; and father, 258; ideal, 276; knowledge of logic of, 290; makes the age, 250; *rājan/rājās*, 255, 258, 378f; is shadow of God, *zill-e-allāh*, 385; welfare of, 221
kingship, 380; became hereditary, 257; mainly hereditary, sometimes elective, 262; origin of, 256; philosophy of, 280
Kipling, Rudyard, 391
knower-consciousness, 196
knowledge, 118ff, 139–40; categories of, 153; *cit*, see *cit*; discipline of, 234; great (*mahā-sammata*), 257; immediate/immediacy of, 26, 119, 124; instinctive, intuitive (*pratibhā*), 119; integralist theory of, 26, 28, 36; *jñāna*, see *jñāna*; leads to *bhakti* (love, devotion), 194; mediate, 27, 119, 167; metaphysical, 176; object of, 121f; path/way of, see way; philosophical, see philosophy; *prajñā*, see *prajñā*; rational, 25, 27, (see also reason); scientific, 19, 20, 23, 27; secular and sacred/lower and higher/*avidyā* and *vidyā*, 165, 203, 272, 375, (see also *avijjā*; *jñāna*); by/from similarity (*upamāna*), 119, 127–8; source of valid (*pramāṇa*), 118, 290; theological, 20, 23, 27; theory of, 118; three steps of search for, 16; two/three/four/six sources of, 89; unitary theory of, 27, 36; see also cognition
krōśa (2,250 yards), 262
Kṛṣṇa, 150, 155, 170, 191, 224, 343–4, 354, 360; *līla* (play), 363; lord of *yoga*, 225
krta-yuga, golden age, 256
kṣatriya(s), warrior-kings/political and military leaders/men of action: second class/caste of society; 161–3; 225, 236, 272, 282–3, 292, 294, 347, 362, 376–8, 381; duty of, 354; is guardian of society, 163; or *rājanya* class, origin of, 256
kula, see family
kula-dharma, family law, 380
Kullūka, 297
Kumārila, 50, 199, 211
Kunti, son of, 345
Kuṣāṇas, 162
labor, division of, 278
laborer(s), 272; *śūdras*, see *śūdras*
landlordism, 368
language(s), 386; Arabic, 383; Indian, 4; Persian, 383; science of, 214
latitudinarians, 383
law(s): *ācāras*, see *ācāras*; *daṇḍa*, doctrine of, 253, 275; *dharma*, see *dharma*; formal statute, vii; inviolable, eternal, 268; natural, sustains Nature, 184; positive, *vyavahāra*, 254; sources of Indian, 287f
Law-body, 103
lawbooks, 252
lawgiver(s), 280; Indian, 251; not the king but right usage, 252; *smṛti-kāras*, 262
league of nations, 250
learning, Buddha teaches threefold, 115
legal: rights, 293; theory, 374ff
Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm, 177, 207; psychological determinism of, 79
levels of man's inward being, 202
liberality, 219
liberation, 151, 219, 224, 285, 306, 309, 310, 313; attainment of (*niḥśreyasa*), 153; Buddhist method of, 50; Chinese view of, 316; cognitive, 316; into cosmic and transcendent consciousness, 179; desire for, 201; final, 243; highest goal or, 222; of individuals/souls, 333; *mokṣa*, see *mokṣa*; *mukti*, 302, 311; in one's lifetime, *jīvan-mukti*, 305; of self, 68, 238; of spirit, 217; of two phases of, 353; is ultimate goal of life, 235; from wrong perspective, 342
liberty of individual, 378
Licchavi, Mallas, 278
licchavis, lower-classed dynasty, 363
life, 79–84; conceptualized, 59; (and death), ocean of/waves of/ wheel of, see *samsāra*; *samsāra-cakra*; is drama between visible and invisible, 176; lust for, 81; —, *nibbāna* is annihilation of, 84; and man, unitary vision of, 37; and matter, relationship between, 60; is product (*vipāka*) of *kamma*, 79; is probability and power, 38; reconciliation of authority, logic and, 181; sheath of, 157; span of, *āyuh*, 302; stages in individual's, see stages in life
life-culture, 117; see also way-of-life-culture
life-flux, 90; see also *samsāra*
life-ideal, realization of, 115–7
life-principle, 102; search for, 101
life-view, application of, to practical life, 115
Likhita, 346
linguistics, 214
literature, 239, 386
lobha, greed, 257
Locke, John, 122
logic, 73, 152, 213, 230–1, 289; *ānvikṣikī*, 280; of manifold truth, 274; reconciliation of authority, life and, 181; of *sundopa-sunda* (two destructive demons), 253; systematization of, 89; terms of, 290; see also Nyāya
logical positivism, 39
Logos, 203, 205, 213–4; existential nature of, 213; identified with fire, 214; is rational, ethical, aesthetic, 206; as Reason, 191; World Reason, 191
loka-saṃgraha: common good, 367; welfare of world, 375
longevity, 220
Lotus school, phenomenological, 103

- "Lotus Store," 100
 love, 241; *bhakti*, 194, 201; is continuity of knowledge without effort, 201; for God, 167; man's need for, 194; *śṛṅgāra*, is highest form of aesthetic pleasure, 206; way of, *bhakti-mārga*, see way of love
 loyalty, highest, 346
 lust, *rāga*, 257
- Macaulay, T. B., 390; *Minute on Education*, 393
 Macdonell, Sir John, Introduction to Berolzheimer, *The World's Legal Philosophies*, 297
 macrocosm, 208
 Mādhava Ācārya, *Sarvadarśana-saṁgraha*, trans. E. B. Cowell and A. E. Gough, 64, 213
 Madhusūdana Sarasvatī, *Advaita-siddhi*, 314
 Madhva(s), 51–3, 306; doctrine of predestination, 339; philosophical dualism of, 52, 314
 Mādhyamika(s), 47, 102, 329; indeterminism of, 121; Nāgārjuna's philosophy of "middle view," 113–4; *Śūnya* (Void) of, 184, synonymous with "Highest Truth," 114; system/school, 17, 89
 Mādhyamika-kārikā, 64–5; ed. Louis de la Vallée-Poussin, 339
 Madras, 388
 Magadha, king of, 255
 Mahā-nibbāna-suttanta, 255
 Mahā-nirvāṇa-tantra, 226–7
 Mahā-puruṣa, (Great Person), 88
 mahā-sammata, great knowledge, 257
 Mahā-upaniṣad, 180
 Mahā-vagga of Vinaya-piṭaka, 33, 339
- Mahābhārata, Great Epic, 5, 56, 131, 154, 163–4, 171–2, 217, 224–5, 245–6, 252–3, 255–6, 258–60, 265–7, 269, 272, 275–7, 280, 282–3, 288, 291, 296–7, 342–5, 347, 354, 357, 362–4, 366, 373, 375, 392; ed. P. Tararatna, 295–6; see also *Sānti-parva*
 Mahadevan, T. M. P., *The Upaniṣads*, 171
 Mahānārāyaṇa-upaniṣad, 295
 Mahān-Ātmā, 213–4; (Cosmic) Reason, 191, 212
 Mahārāja, great king, 380
 mahat, 213–4; or *buddhi* (intelligence), 49, 191; Cosmic Reason, 212; "the great," 49; Great, Reason, *Mahān Ātmā*, 191
 mahātmā (noble soul), 230
 Mahātman (Great Self), 86, 88
 Mahāvīra, 43, 185, 192, 295; see also *Jaina*
 Mahāyāna, 99, 106, 202, 324, 326, 334; Buddhism, 44, 320, 324, 330, 332, 349; inclined to synthetic identification, 106; literature, 201; schools, 44, 55, 102–3, 107, 274; —, forerunners of, 107; —, idealistic and monistic, 47
 Maine, Sir Henry, 297
 Maitreyī, 154, 239, 353
 maitrī, friendliness, 270
 Majjhima-nikāya, 17, 40, 85; for translation, see Chalmers, *Further Dialogues of the Buddha*
 Majumdar, R. C., ed. *History and Culture of the Indian People*, 392
 Makkhali Gosāla, see Gosala, Makkhali, 80
 Malabar, 264
 Malalasekera, Dr. G. P., vi, ix
- Malcolm, Sir John, 365; *Memoir of Central India*, 373
 man: is Being and non-being, 176; —, temporal and spiritual, 382; being of, made in image of God, 178; biological/social/political/individual, 178; conceptualized, 59, 61; conscious being, essential nature of, 196; —, inwardness of, 184; emotional, 194, 234; ideal, 201–2; inner transformation of, 38; —, true nature of, 31, 38; integral whole, 25; inward being of, 202, 210, 214; and life, unitary vision of, 37; master of his destiny, 188; as member of society/social unit, 44, 56, 62–3; as moral agent, 61, 356; nature of, in relation to universe, 43; rational, 33, 35; his reason, part of Cosmic Reason, 203; spiritual, 356; ultimate concern of, *parama-puruṣārtha*, 44, 320–1; vehicle of subjective poles of deities, 187
 manana, reflective thinking, 168, 181
 manas: or *antaḥ-karāṇa*, see *antaḥ-karāṇa*; individualizing center of egotism, 97; mind, 47, 49, 122, 150, 193, 202, 204, 269
 maṇḍala (circle), 101, 295; circle of states, 261; power zone, 282
 Māṇḍūkya-kārikā, 172
 manes, 219, 223
 manipulation, free, 315
 mantra(s), see hymns
 Manu, 56, 162, 165, 252–3, 256–8, 268, 271, 275–7, 279, 282–4, 287–90, 292–3, 362, 365, 368, 380, 385; golden mean of, 294; orthodox *smṛti* writer, 366; *Dharma-śāstra*, see *Manu-smṛti*; *Karma-yoga-śāstra* (science of the way of action), 200
- Manu-saṁhitā, 131, 244, 254, 344, 357
 Manu-smṛti, *Code of Manu*, *Dharma-śāstra*, 171–2, 217, 224–6, 244–5, 250, 257, 267, 295–7, 364, 372–3
 manual laborers, see *śūdras*
 Marcel, Gabriel, 197, 212; *Journal Métaphysique*, trans. Bernard Wall, *Metaphysical Journal*, 212
 mārgas, three, see way of action/knowledge/love
 mārgayāmin, wayfarer, 183
 Mārhattā, saints, 228
 Maritain, Jacques, 391; *Scholasticism and Politics*, ed. Mortimer J. Adler, 393
 Mārkaṇḍeya-purāṇa, 258–9
 marriage(s), 155, 165, 219, 226, 376; civil, 389; dissolution of, see divorce; early, of women, 251, 366; —, abolition of, 288, 389; form of, *sarva-sva-dānam*, 251; Hindu laws of, 289, 347; intercaste, 347, 365, 389f; monogamous, 389; new laws of, 389; second (householder) stage in life, 238, 347 (see also householder; stages in life); of widows, see widows
 Maskarin Gośāla, 43
 material: happiness, 222; welfare, 5, 15
 materialism, 177, 309; Cārvāka, see Cārvāka; scientific, 76; undiluted, 184
 materialist(s), 67, 69, 267; Ājīvakas, 324; economists, 277
 materialistic, self-indulgence, 69
 mathematician, world of, 78
 mathematics, 230; leads us nearer to God, 191, 214
 Matrix of Thus-come, 98–9, 103; "Thusness" is (*Tathāgata-garbhā*), 104; see also "Thusness"

Matrix of Thus Cone, 99, 103; *see also* "Thusness"
matsya-nyāya, doctrine of fish, 253, 275
 matter, 69, 185, 198; concept of, 59, 61; denied reality, 77; eternal pervasive, 74; five elements of, 150, 185; four elementary qualities of, 70; is habit of Allah, 65; and life, relationship between, 60; *rūpa*, 70-1; sheath of, 157; three elements of, *guṇas*, 138; unsubstantiality of, 76
 Maurer, Professor Walter H., *ix*
 Mauryan Empire, 255, 261, 278
 Mauryas, 363
māyā, 53, 147, 336-7; cosmic/metaphysical ignorance/nescience, 145, 242, 312 (*see also avijjā*); an element of Absolute, 55; God's creative power called, 229; or illusion, 67; as incomprehensible power of *Brahman*, 54; is indeterminable, 169; projecting power of, 145; reality and unreality of, 52; is that which is not, 169; theory of, 32-3; universe called, 232; veiling power of, 32, 145
māyā-śakti, 53-4
māyā-vāda, illusionism, 232
 Mayne, Sir Henry, *Treatise on Hindu Law and Usage*, 392
 McCrindle, J. W., *Ancient India as Described by Megasthenes and Arrian*, 372
 Mead, Margaret, 58
 Mean: golden, *see* golden; true, 103
 mechanism, concept of, 60
 mediacy, correlation of, and im-mediacy (being), 60
 mediate, theories of knowledge, 27-8
 medical science, 342

medicine, 230; *āyur-veda*, 308
 medieval/Medieval: ages, 263; Churchmen in Europe, 249; Europe, *Regnum* of, 378; law literature, 289; Period in India, 365, 368; saints, 360; theorists, 250; times, social order of, 367; undemocratic social practices, 370; *see also* Middle Ages
 meditation(s), 136ff, 196, 227, 230; Buddhist Yogācāra, 89; eight methods of restraint in, 89; four immeasurable, 89; higher, 115; method of (*dhyāna*), 116, 143; *nididhyāsana*, 181; on one's self, 186, 312; *see also samādhi*
 meditative doctrine of immeasurability (*apramāṇa*), 90
 Megasthenes, 283, 291, 361
 memory, 119; a source of knowledge, 120
 mental: elements, four groups of, 70; elements in *santāna*, 75; faculties (*sāṅkhārā*), 75; states, 138, 143, 177; —, destroyed, 147
 merit, *punya*, 345
 Merz, J. T., *History of European Thought in the Nineteenth Century*, 64
 metallurgy, 230
 metaphysic(s)/-al, 14, 22, 41ff, 174, 181; activist system of, 50; basis for Indian theory of values, 168; of Buddhist schools, 47; define concepts of Nature, man, reality, 382; of ethics, 56; of Hegel, 22; Indian, 59, 299ff; —, value of, 56; Indo-European kinship in, 63; Jaina, 45; knowledge, 176; papers, *vi*; spiritual, 162; transcendental, 6
 Metcalfe, Lord, 255-6; *Selections from Papers of Lord Metcalfe*, ed. J. William Kaye, 265

metempsychosis, 159ff
 methodology, 14
 microcosm, 208
 middle: class, 387f; doctrine, 102; Path, 103, 114, 144
 Middle Ages, 250, 371; *see also* medieval
 Middle-Path-Ideal of Nāgārjuna, 113
 "middle view," *see* *Mādhyamika*
 Middle Way (*majjhima-paṭipadā*), 68-9
 Mill, John Stuart, 69
 Milton, John, 382
 Mīmāṃsā(-akas), 7, 50, 88, 120, 122, 128-9, 156, 181, 193, 208, 213, 300, 306, 325; atheistic, 327; Bhāṭṭa school of, *see* Bhāṭṭa; concept of *dharma*, 199-200; early, 184, 192, 194, 201; —, denied reality of God, 187; ethics, 199; a philosophy of *karma*, 186; a pluralistic philosophy, 187; Prabhākara school of, *see* Prabhākara; Pūrva/Prior, 50; religion, 187ff, 206-7; —, a system of ethical activism, 186; school of (Ritualistic) Realism, 50, 89; systematized by Jaimini, 44, 46, 186; theory of action, 200; uphold religion of *Brāhmaṇism*, 186
Mīmāṃsā-sūtra, *see* Jaimini
 mind, 48, 137-41; body and, *see* body; conceptualized, 59; Eastern, *see* Eastern; eight faculties of, 97; function, not entity, 76; Indian, is individualistic, 164; is inner organ, 150; *kāmya*, 35; as knower (*ātman* or *puruṣa*), 122; liberation from disorder of, 108; *manas*, *see* *manas*; *nāma*, *see* *nāma*; *nāma-rūpa*; *niṣkāma*, 35; purification of, 190, 201, 240; sheath of, 157; subconscious,

Viññāṇa, 92-3; unconscious, 60; Western, *see* Western
 mind-action, 105
 mind-stuff, 159
 ministers, 261, 279-80
 Misch, Georg, *The Dawn of Philosophy*, trans. R. F. C. Hull, 214
 missionary(-ies), Christian, 228, 387
Mitākṣara, 363, 373; commentary on *Yājñavalkya-smṛti*, 251
 modernism, 367-8
moha, confusion, 257
mokṣa, 160, 328, 331, 371-2; attainment of, 31, 239; enlightenment, 242; everlasting happiness, 155 (*see also* happiness); immortality, 242; perfection, 153, 242; release, 158-9, 167; salvation, 187; (spiritual) emancipation/freedom/liberation, 6-7, 12, 15-6, 30, 153, 158, 164, 187, 189-90, 270, 275-6, 349, 375, 386; —, goal of, 31; ultimate/supreme goal, 165, 242f
Mokṣa-śāstra(s), treatise showing way to liberation, 14, 224
 momentary, everything is, 47
 monad(s), 207
 monarch, *see* king
 monastery(-ies), 242
 Mongols, 161
 Mongolia, 185
 monism/-ists/-istic, 6, 13, 51, 66, 184, 186; in Buddhist philosophy, 46; illusionistic, 8; *Ma-hāyāna* schools, 47; religion of impersonal *Brahman*, 186; of Sāṅkara school of Advaita Vedānta, 119, 273, 318, 369; scriptural texts, 306; tendencies, social, 369; of Upaniṣads, 7, 51, 74; in Veda(s), 5; Vedāntic (and non-Vedāntic), 41, 48; —, school of idealistic, pantheistic,

- 89; view of universe, 68; *see also* non-dualism
 monks, 235; *bhikkhus*, 349; duties of, 225; genuine, 239; modern, of Advaita school, 313; non-dualistic, 234; of Ramakrishna Mission, 230; *sannyāsin*, in fourth stage in life, *see sannyāsin*
 monogamy, 361
 monotheism, 186; in Vedas, 5
 Montague, W. P., *The Ways of Knowing*, 26, 40
 Mookerji, Dr. Radha Kumud, 261–2; *Hindu Civilisation*, 265; *Local Government in Ancient India*, 266
 Moore, Charles A., ed. *Essays in East-West Philosophy*, 17; ed. *The Essentials of Buddhist Philosophy*, *see* Takakusu, J.; ed. *Philosophy—East and West*, 17; ed. *A Source Book in Indian Philosophy*, *see* *Source Book*; (—, with Radhakrishnan), 17, 40, 244–5, 295–6
 Moore, G. E., 210
 moral: actions, *see* action; conduct, importance of, 223; conquest, *dharma-vijaya*, 285; discipline, *see* discipline; law, 165 (*see also karma*); order, 156; principles behind universe, 219; purification, 16; values, 216
 morality, 5, 15, 60, 157, 159, 202, 219, 260; conflict with, *dharmā-viruddha*, 276; *dharma*, *see dharma*; discord between Nature and, 44; is foundation of spiritual life, 142; implies conflict, 350; laws of, 277; social, 80
 Morris, Charles, 24; *The Open Self*, 40
 mortification, 142
 Motive to Live, *see saṅkhārā*
 movement, principle of, *see dharma*
 Mughals, 382
 Muirhead, J. H., 188; *Elements of Ethics*, 211
 mukti, 371; cognitive, 312; or emancipation, 17; liberation, 302, 311; *see also* enlightenment; *mokṣa*
 Mulla, D. F., *Principles of Hindu Law*, 392–3
 Müller, Max, 185
 multiplicity, 232; perception of, 146
 Mumford, Lewis, 38; *The Transformation of Man*, 40
 Muṇḍaka-upaniṣad, 218, 234, 244, 246, 358
 Murti, T. R. V., *The Central Philosophy of Buddhism*, 339; “The Two Definitions of Brahman in the Advaita,” 340
 Musalmans, Indian, 383
 music, 205, 239, 380, 386; philosophy of, 205; in temples, 206
 Muslim(s), 227–8, 359, 382–5
 mystery: science leads to acceptance of, 179; ultimate, 179
 mystic, 27; experience, 167; states, *samādhi*, *see samādhi*
 mysticism, 6, 196, 210; Christian, 63; of Hindus, 383; of identity, 88
 Naciketas, 160, 218, 239
 Nāda-Brahman, Word, 214
 Nāga, tribe of south India, 111
 Nāgārjuna, 89, 111–4, 328; his philosophy of “middle view”/“Middle-Path-Ideal” (*mādhyaṃika*), 113
 Nāgeśa, 358
 Nairuktas, 189
 Naiyāyikas, 48, 189
 nāma, or mind, mental elements, 70–1
 nāma-rūpa: (mind-form), 72; (mind and matter), 46, 79; (name-form/mind-body), 92–3
 Nambudris, 251
 Name-form, *see nāma-rūpa*
 Nandas, 363
 Nārada, 153, 230–1, 253–4, 363–4; *Bhakti-sūtra*, 167
 Naradeva, god among men, 258
 Nārāyaṇa, Viṣṇu is, 327
 national consciousness, rise/growth of, 161, 386
 nationalization of industries, 368
 naturalism, 63; *sva-bhāva-vāda*, 325
 naturalists, Ājīvakas, 324
 “naturalistic fallacy,” 210
 nature/Nature, 49–52, 160, 178, 300, 323; communion with, 165; -gods/religions/worshippers, 42, 185; includes spirit, mind, and matter, 185; man’s true/own, 140–1, 146, 156; mastery over, 139; moral conception of, 268, 287; and morality, discord between, 44; objective, 38; plurality of, 67; *prakṛti*, 49, 271, 355; of Principle, 103; theory of state of, 253; of things (*sva-bhāva*), 43, 272; has three attributes/types/constituents (*guṇas*), 49, 162, 355; world of individuals (*jīvas*), and, 51
 Nazi revolution, 249
 necessity, concept of, 178
 necromancy, 210
 negation/Negation, 48; Eightfold, 113–4; three items of, 87
 negativism, school of, 102, 106
 Nehru, Jawaharlal, 360, 391–3; his foreign policy of *Pañca-śīla*, 274
 Neo-Platonism, 180; Oriental influence on, 63
 nescience: *ajñāna*, 305; cosmic, *see māyā*; removal of, 32; *see also avijjā*; ignorance
neti, neti (not this, not this), 168, 336
 neurosis, attainment of freedom from, 32
 New Testament, *see* Romans
 Newton, Sir Isaac, 137
 nibbāna, *see* *nirvāṇa*
 nididhyāsana, meditation, 181
 nihilism(-istic), 6; Buddhist, *see* Buddhist; is Ideal of *non-ens* school, 114; school of Harivarman, 112; school, Satya-siddhi (completion of truth), 102; *uccheda-vāda*, 325
 niḥśreyas-siddhi, attainment of highest, 371
 Nikhilananda, Swami, ed. *The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda*, 245; *Hinduism: Its Meaning for the Liberation of the Spirit*, 246; *The Upanishads*, 246
 Nimbārka (-ists), 51, 53, 307–8
 nineteenth century, 22
 Nineveh, 263
 nirguṇa/Nirguṇa: Brahman (Absolute without qualities/attributes), 168, 336; qualityless form of supreme reality, 180
 nirodha, Final cessation (of suffering), 73, 81; *see also* *nibbāna*, *nirvāṇa*
 nirvāṇa/Nirvāṇa/nibbāna, viii, 72–3, 81–7, 107–16, 171, 328, 332–5; of Buddha, 108, 112; is extinction/total negation, 114–5; —, of fire of passions/lust for life, 84, 158; *nirodha*, *see* *nirodha*; -of-No-Abode, 91, 109–10; is Perfect Enlightenment (*Bodhi*), 115; is Perfect freedom/emancipation, 86, 91, 102–4, 107f, 115–6, 321; is Perfect Quiescence, 110; as permanency/bliss/self/purity, 87, 109; release/deliverance, 84,

- 158; as salvation, 46, 73; is *Sam-sāra*, 109; state of pure non-disturbance, 196, 198; *Sūnya*, 47, 55; Ultimate as, 326; with/without residue/*upādhi* remnant, 81, 109–10; is world, 55
- niṣkāma*, mind, 35
- niṣkāma-citta*, 35
- niṣkāma-karma*(s), disinterested/desireless action, law of, 34–6, 191, 310–11
- Nīti-śāstras, science of statecraft, 267; *see also under individual authors, e.g., Sukra-nīti-sāra*
- Niyama: control/restraint, 141–2, (*see also yama*); practice of moral virtues, 339
- niyati-vāda*, determinism, 324
- Noble/ārya Eightfold Path, 81, 111, 116, 201
- nominalists, 67
- non-activism, 325; *see also inactivism*
- non-Aryan(s), 262; became *śūdras* (laborers), 256
- non-attachment, 35–6, 139, 224, 234–5, 239–40; to material objects, 141; progressive, 238; to sense objects, 144, 149; *see also detachment*
- non-being, *see asat*
- non-cognition, 119, 129f
- non-covetousness, 391
- non-dual, *Brahman*, *see Brahman*
- non-dualism/-ist(s)/-istic, 216, 229, 241; affirmative ethical discipline, 241–2; in India, followers of, 222; monks, 234; traditions of Upaniṣads, 229; Vedānta philosophy, *see Advaita*; *see also monism*
- non-duality, 232; of soul, 149, 243
- non-ens*, 112–4; nihilistic (*a-sat*), is higher-sense truth, 112; school, nihilism is ideal of, 114; *see also asat*
- non-existence, 129–30
- non-injury/hurt, *see ahimsā*
- non-resistance, 227; to evil, 225
- non-soul (*aḥiva*) of four kinds, 45
- non-stealing, *asteya*, 270
- non-violence, *see ahimsā*
- Northrop, F. S. C., 26, 56, 391; *The Meeting of East and West*, 26, 40, 296, 393
- noumenal reality, *dharmatā*, 330
- noumenon, 100, 103; and phenomenon, inseparable, 116; in phenomenon, 107
- novelty, 119
- number, 50
- nutrition (*āhāra*), grasping gives to life, 82
- nyāya*, logic, 290
- Nyāya (School of Logic), 7, 52–3, 121–31, 153, 204, 289, 300, 318; incorporated into Vedāntā, 53; Logical Realism, 89; method, 131; pluralism of, 43, 53, 90; systematized by Gautama, 44, 119; theory, of error, 131; —, of inference, 125; —, of salvation, 55
- Nyāya-sūtra*, *see Gautama*
- Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika system(s)/school(s), 31, 48–9, 122, 158, 195, 198, 306–8; categories of, 50; and external validity, 120; are pluralistic, 48; realists, 119
- object(s): of cognitive faculties (*viśaya*), 71; *prakṛti*, *see prakṛti*; of sense, *see sense-objects*; true nature of, 143
- objectivity: reason confers, 210; Western mind diffused in, 208
- obscurantism, 181
- observances, sacred or ritual, 252
- observation (*bhūyo-darsāna*), 125

- Occam's razor, 133
- occasionalists, 67
- occult knowledge, 139
- ocean: cosmic process resembles surface of, 240; of life, *see sarī-sāra*
- Old Testament, *see Genesis*
- Om, symbol of God, 140
- omnipotence, 147
- omniscience (-t), 147, 149
- One: and many, 145; problem of the, 5
- oneness, 242; of existence, *see existence*; of God, soul, and universe, 147, 222; of reality, 31
- one-pointed (-ness): attention, 147; of mind, 141, 143
- Ontic Being, 336
- ontology (-ists), 66, 174
- opposites, pairs of, 144, 148, 232
- optimism, "ultimate," 16
- organ(s): of action, five, 49; internal, 187; sense-, *see sense-organs*; transformations of the deities, 187
- organicism, social, 270
- organism, concept of, 60
- Oriental despotism, 381
- original: ignorance, doctrine of, 195; sin, doctrine of, 195
- origination, doctrine of dependent (*paṭicca-samuppāda*), 78, 80
- orphanages, 377
- outwardness, 190, 208; transition from, to inwardness, 194
- ownership: seven righteous sources of, 293–4; *svatva*, *svāmītvā*, 293
- pain: all/everything is, 46–7; and death, doctrine of freedom from, 31; and pleasure rotate like a wheel, 240
- painful, *asukha*, world is, 175
- painting, 205, 380
- Pakistan, 278
- Pal, R. B., *The Hindu Philosophy of Law*, 297; *The History of Hindu Law*, 297
- Pali/Pāli, vii, ix; terms, viii, 66
- Pan-Islamic society, 385
- Pāñcarātra system, 54; Āgamas (sacred works), 54, 57
- Pañca-śīla*, five rules of mutual good conduct, 274
- pañcāvayava-nyāya*, five-step method of discovery and proof/five-membered argument, 126, 132
- pañcāyat*(s), 389; committees of representatives, 379; instrument of village administration, 381
- Pandey, K. C., *Indian Aesthetics*, 214
- pañḍit*, *brāhmaṇa* scholar, *see brāhmaṇa*
- Pāṇḍu brothers, 345
- Panjab village, 388
- paññā*: (insight), 76; supreme, 83
- pansophism, Buddhism is, 115
- pantheism, 54, 299–300
- pāpa*, demerit, 345
- paradise, world identified with, 106
- parama-dharma*, supreme virtue, 270
- parama-paruṣārtha*, man's ultimate concern, 320–1
- paramārtha*: Absolute, 168, 274; final end, 170; spiritual truth, 213
- paramārthika*: absolute reality, 231; ultimate, point of view, 308
- Paraśurāma, 251
- pariṇāma*: transformation of God's *śakti*, 53; —, of *prakṛti*, 49
- paripālana*m, all-round protection, 259
- Parmenidean, school of greater Greece, 68
- Parsees, 263
- parsimony, law of, 306

Parthians, 162
 particular category, 48
 particularists, 274
parva, viii
 Pascal, Blaise, 176
 passion(s), 155; extinction of human, 107–9; *nirvāṇa* is extinction of fire of, see *nirvāṇa*
 Pāsupata system, 54, 57
 Patañjali, 43, 137–40, 142, 194, 211; founded/systematized Yoga/*rāja-yoga*, 31, 44, 123, 136; *Yoga-sūtra*, 246; —, ed. and trans. Ram Prasad, Sacred Books of the Hindus, 134; —, trans. J. H. Woods, *The Yoga System of Patañjali*, 65
 Path(s): Eightfold, see Eightfold; three, see way
pañicca-samuppāda, doctrine of dependent origination/existence, 78, 80
 Patriarch of Antioch, 264
patti/prāpti, special force of *kamma*, 76
 Paul, Saint, 327
 pax, 287
 peace/Peace: *sāman*, 282; *Sānti-parva*, 225 (see also *Sānti-parva*); sentiment of, *Sānti*, 206
 Pearce, D. F., ed. *The Nature of Metaphysics*, 40
 peasant(s), 259, 388
 people, *jana*, 256
 perception, 28–9, 119; (*pratyakṣa*), 122f; requires relation of sense to objects, 130; two organs of, 150; validity of, 44; *Vedanā*, 92, 94
 perfection(s), 151, 166, 224, 241; of knowledge and wisdom, 111; *mokṣa*, see *mokṣa*; paths to, 166; of personality, 116; *samsiddhi*, of individual, 375; six/sixfold, 111, 115; of soul, 29; unattain-

able, without inner peace, 218
 Persia(-ns), 161, 174, 263
 person, see *puruṣa*
 personality, 46–7; dissolution of, in Absolute, 68; essential nature of, 67; free, egoless, 333, 338; “I” or (*sakkāya*), analysis of, 47, 71; perfection of, 116; process/object of grasping, 75, 82; *puṭgala*, consists of five aggregates, 46; relation of knowledge to, 35
 pessimism, “initial,” 16
 phenomenologists, 67
 phenomenon: noumenon and, inseparable, 116; —, in, 107
 philosophy/-ies/-er(s): *Abhidharmaka*, 112; ancient Indo-Aryan, 150; goal of, 11; Hindu, see Hindu; of history, 174; Indian, aim of, 157; —, major schools/periods of, 4, 171; —, of suffering, 36; —, theories of, vi, 1ff, 19–65 (see also under *individual schools*); methods of, 131f; political, see political; of religion, see religion; social, vi, 5, 14, 267ff; Vedic and anti-Vedic systems of, 267; Western, see Western
 philosophic knowledge/wisdom/*prajñā*, 20ff, 33, 36, 328, 354; is synonym of *buddhi/bodhi*, 201; ultimate purpose of, 132
Philosophy and Culture—East and West, v
Philosophy—East and West, v, ix, 40, 211
 physicists, modern, 106, 108
 physical science(s), 229; Uncertainty Principle of, 106
 Plato, 203, 210; his “world of ideas,” 32; Oriental influence on, 63; philosophy of, 56; *Republic*, 56
 pleasing, *preyas*, 154

pleasure(s), 5, 15, 260; aesthetic, see aesthetic; *kāma*, 153–5, 239; material, 218; pain and, rotate like a wheel, 240; of physical world, 219; worldly, 226
 pluralism/-ists/-istic, 6–7, 51, 274; of Buddha, radical, 74; in Buddhist philosophy, 46; dualism, and monism, distinctions between, 53; —, and *śūnya* synthesized, 54; Hīnayāna (Theravāda) schools, 47; idealism of Sāntarākṣita and Kamalaśīla, 47; of Nyāya, 43, 48, 53; realistic, 8; universe, 169; Vaiśeṣika, 43, 48; —, school of atomic, 89; Vedāntic schools, 41; see also Buddhists; Jains
 plurality, 170, 318; of causes, doctrine of, 160; is expression of God's energy (*śakti*), 48; individuality not mere, 331; of individuals, 303ff; of Nature, 67; of selves, 300; of souls, 74; as ultimate truth, 304; world of, 169
 poem, epic, *Rāmāyaṇa*, see *Rāmāyaṇa*
 point-instants (*khaṇa*), 77–8
 police, 381
Polis, 378
 politics, 100, 217
 political: evolution of India, 256; freedom, India's loss of, 227; — of India, struggle for, 217, 225; philosophies of India, 6, 14; speculation, 263; theory/thought, 208, 374ff
 politicians, 23
 polytheism, 186–7; transformation of outward, into inward monism, 186; in Vedas, 5
 poor, 230
 population, 388
 Portuguese, 382
 positivism, logical, 39
 positivists, 67
 possession, *bhoga*, 293
 Posterior Mīmāṃsā, see Vedānta
 postulation, 119, 133; *arthāpatti*, 129
 posture, *āsana*, 142
 potential, actualization of, 49
 poverty: of India, 216; no virtue in, 154
 power: knowledge is, 139; supernatural, 139
 Prabhākara, 50, 121, 199, 211; school of Mīmāṃsā, 119, 130
 pragmatic, *vyāvahārika*, 308
 pragmatism(-ists), 188, 212, 391; theory of, 41
 Prahlāda, 363
prajñā, see philosophical knowledge
Prajñā-pāramitā, 44
Prajñākaramatī, 213
prakṛti, 158; eternity of, 89; Nature, 49, 271, 355; and *puruṣa*, contact of, 49; —, dualism of, 53; —, identification of, 54, 329; is *śakti* (power) of *Brahman*, 53; transformation of, 49
pramā, valid cognition, 118
pramāṇa, source of valid knowledge, 118, 290
prāṇāyama, control of breath, 142
prapatti, self-surrender, 213
prāpti, see *patti*
 Prasad, Dr. Beni, 260–1; *The State in Ancient India*, 266
 Prasad, Ram, ed. and trans. Sacred Books of the Hindus, 134–5
pratyāhāra, 142
pravṛtti, animal propensities, 269
 prayer, 69, 223
prayoga, age-long usages, 252
 Preceptors in ancient India, 165
 predestination, doctrine of, 339
 Present: Five Effects of, 92, 94; Three causes of, 92, 94–5

- preservation of man, 377
preyas, pleasing, 154
 Price, H. H., *The Hibbert Journal*, 213
 priest, royal, 280, 289-90
 priest-teacher, *brāhmaṇas*, 161
 Primeval Being, 161
 Principle, Nature of, 103
 principle- or law-element (*Dharma-dhātu*), 103
 Pringle-Pattison, A. Seth, *The Idea of Immortality*, 172
 Prior Mīmāṃsā, *see* Mīmāṃsā
Proceedings of the Indian Philosophical Congress, 1932, 339
 process: cosmic/world, 176-7, 324;
 —, resembles surface of ocean, 240; —, sustained by rotation of *kalpas*, 241
 procreation, 219, 225
 progress: modern idea of, 240; world, 240
 progression, different modes of spiritual, 331
 progressivists, 67
 projections, 190; deities are mental, 181
 propagation of species, 377
 property, 388; father is manager of, 376; *māmatva*, doctrine of, 253
 prophets, 216
 prosperity, 221
 protection: *paripālana*, all-round, 259; of subjects, 260
 Protestants, 263
 proverb, Sanskrit, 142
 Prthu, 258
 psychoanalysis (-analyst), 32, 80
 psychological discipline, system of, 31
 psychologists, Hindu, 138, 150
 psychology, 14-5; modern, 25; Western, 137, 197
 punish, king is wielder of power to (*daṇḍadhara*), 254
 punisher, *see* Yama
 punishment: capital, 291; *daṇḍa*, 275, 282; forms of 290f; objectives of, 292; of wicked, 260
punya, merit, 345
Purāṇas, 224, 234, 250, 271, 288, 363, 371; eighteen, 267; *see also* under individual texts, e.g., *Bhāgavata-purāṇa*
 pure: in heart, 33; *sāttvika*, 205
 purification, 73, 328; discipline of inner, 221; internal and external, 141; lies in *nibbāna*, 73; of mind, *see* mind; path of, *viśuddhi-mārga*, 326
 purity: *Nirvāṇa* as, 109; *sattva*, *see* *sattva*
purohita, leader of community, 163
puruṣa, 35; or *ātman*, mind as knower, 122; person, 43; —, supreme, 271; and *prakṛti*, *see* *prakṛti*; spirit, 49
 "Puruṣa-sūkta," (in *Rg-veda*), 161
puruṣārtha(s), four objectives/goals of man's existence, 132, 153, 156-7, 257, 260, 375; *see also* *artha*; *dharma*; *kama*; *mokṣa*
Pūrva Mīmāṃsā, *see* Mīmāṃsā
 Pythagoras, 191, 203
 Pythagoreans, 214

 quality (-ies), 48, 50, 60; *guṇa*, 272; substance cannot exist without, 62
 quest, philosophic, 29
 quiescence, perfect, 110

 race(s), 194; conflicts of, 263; equality among, 361; problems of, 161
 Radha Kumud Mookerji, 256
 Radhakrishnan, Professor Sarvepalli, 6, 13, 39, 51, 55, 158, 160, 209; ed. and trans. *Dhamma-*
pada, 296; *Eastern Religion and Western Thought*, 59; "Gautama the Buddha," 171; *The Heart of Hindusthan*, 17; *The Hindu View of Life*, 171-2; *Indian Philosophy*, 17, 65, 213, 246; *Religion and Society*, 373; ed. A Source Book in Indian Philosophy/Source Book (with C. A. Moore), 17, 40, 244-5, 295-6
rāga: attachment, 301; lust, 257
rāgas, tunes, 205
 Raghavachariar, N. R., *Hindu Law: Precedents and Principles*, 392
 Raghavendrachar, H. N., *The Dvaita Philosophy and Its Place in the Vedānta*, 65
 Raghu, 258; ancestor of Rāma, 375
rāja-dharma, law of state, 380
rāja-yoga, "kingly yoga," 136ff, 150; consists of eight "limbs," 141f
rājan/rājās: prince/king, *see* king; concrete government, 378
rājanya, *kṣatriya* class, *see* *kṣatriya*
rajas: active element, 138; virility, 162
rājās, *see* *rājan*
rājasika, movements, 301
rājñām ājñā, command of ruler, 254
 Raju, P. T., "Activism in Indian Thought," 211-3; "The Concept of the Spiritual in Indian Thought," 211; *Idealistic Thought of India*, 213; "India's Culture and Her Problems," 211; "The Nature of Mind and its Activities," 213; "The Western and the Indian Philosophical Traditions," 65
rājya, kingdom/monarchy/state, 278, 378
 Rāma, 360, 375; divine incarnation, 363
 Ramakrishna, 39, 230, 360
 Ramakrishna Mission, 229; monks of, 230
 Rāmānuja, 51-3, 172, 189-90, 194-7, 199, 201, 212, 228, 238, 307-8; teaching of, 251; Vedāntic philosophy of, 206; (Vedāntin) theory of error, 130; *Śrī-bhāṣya*, 212; *see also* Rāṅgāchārya, M.
 Rāmānujists, 306-7
Rāmāyaṇa, 5, 217, 223-5, 245, 342, 363, 372; India's earliest epic poem, 224
 Rāṅgāchārya, M., and Varadarāja Aiyangār, trans. *The Vedānta-sūtras with the Śrī-Bhāṣya of Rāmānujāchārya*, 212
rasa: aesthesis, 204; bliss, 204
rāṣṭra: country, 256; territorial state, 278
 ratiocinative and inferential knowledge, 29
 rational: knowledge, 25 (*see also* reason); and mediate theories of knowledge, 27; skepticism, 26
 rationalism, 63, 67; negative, 87
 realism(-ists), 32, 63; atomic, 7; Buddhist, 46, 87; critical, of Sautrāntikas, 121; direct, of Vaiśiṣṭikas, 121; of Hīnayāna (Theravāda) schools, 47; Ideal of *ens* school, 114; and idealism, distinctions between, 53; —, synthesis of, 15, 231, 243; Jaina, 6, 45; of Kauṭilya and Sukra, 294; logical, 7; Mīmāṃsā school of ritualistic, 50, 89; naïve, 45-6; Nyāya school of logical, 89
 Realistic school (Sarvāstivāda), 102, 112
 reality/Reality, 30-1, 84, 102, 119, 124, 139-45; categories of, 89; empirical (*sammuti-sacca*), 78; —, /practical/relative, *see* *vyāvahārika*; -in-itself/qualityless

is *Nirguṇa Brahman*, 168, 180; -in-relation-to-the-world, 168; intuitive vision of, 181, 390; knowledge of, 140, 144, 175, 244; nominal, 75; ultimate/highest/supreme/transcendental/absolute, 41-3, 139, 189, 221, 230-1; —, *Brahman* is, 42-3, 51, 145-6, 169, 273; —, *dharmma* is, 72; —, differs from scientific, 136; —, includes subject and object, 57; —, *māyā* is *śakti* of, 54; —, nature of, 177-8; —, *paramārthika*, 231; —, is plural/ground of pluralistic universe, 67, 168; —, pure, *paramattha-sacca*, 77; —, two forms of, *nirguṇa* and *saguṇa*, 180; —, *yoga* is union with, 136, 166

realization; *ātman*-, 210; direct (*sākṣāt-kāra*), of truth, 124; inward, 210-11; process of (*sādhana*), 124; spiritual, 201

reason/Reason, 24-5, 29, 134, 210; belongs to man's inward being, 206; *buddhi*, 191, 193, 196, 202-6, 212-3, 269; Cosmic, *Mahat*, *Mahān Ātmā*, 191, 203, 212-3; higher than/transcends ego, 191, 202, 206; *Logos* as world, 191; Pure/Practical/Aesthetic, *sāttvika-buddhi*, 35, 213, 288, 315; religious propositions grounded in, 177; sheath of, 157; supremacy of, 228; universality of, 203

reasoning: circular, 133; science of, 131

rebirth (successive/future/repeated births/existencies), 98, 194; belief in, 13; in Buddhism, 79-81; cycle/round/chain/waves/series of, 69, 108, 159, 318; —, and death, 322, 338, 385; —, and death, cessation of, 81; —, suffering and death, 83; doctrine/theory of, 17, 79, 232-3; escape from, 243; *see also* reincarnation; *samsāra*

receptacle-consciousness (*ālaya-vijñāna*), 47

Reciprocal Identification, principle/theory of, 106, 114

reconciliation, 274; *samanvaya*, 179f

red, 162

reflection, 189; *manana*, 181; philosophical, 21-3, 31; right, (*yoniso-manasikāra*), 81

reformation, moral, 124

reforms, 369-70

regress, infinite, 133

Reichel, Oswald J., *see* Zeller

reincarnation, doctrine of, 194; *see also* rebirth

relativism, 6, 67; realistic, 274

release: from wheel of life and death, 158; longing for, 168; state of, 158; *see also* *mokṣa*; *nirvāṇa*

religion(s), 100, 173-82, 183-215; called *dharma*, 184; conflict between science and, 185; Indian, approach to problem of, 173ff; —, is natural, 185; meant for spiritual realization, 192; organized, 180; particularist, 185-6; philosophy of, 179; — is theory of, 37; reflective nature of Indian, 185, 202; is response of whole man, 178; revealed, 185; role of, as reason with spiritual orientation, 62; sacrificial, 68; tribal, 185; universal, 185-6

religious: beliefs, Indian, 37; experiences, genuine, 137; insights, 178; institutions, 37; philosophy, 73; thought, Indian, 320ff

Renaissance, philosophical ideas of, 22

renunciation, 83, 200, 217, 236; of ascetics, 226; of attachment to world, 234; life of *sannyāsa*, fourth stage of life, *see sannyāsa*; national ideal of India, 230; self-, 83

republics: federal, 278; in India, 255; kingless, 278

repulsion: clutches of attachment and, 311; *dveṣa*, 301

residues, method of (*pāriśeṣya*), 133

responsibility, idea of, 80

rest, principle of (*adharma*), 45

restlessness, inner, 239

restraints(s), 15; *yama*, 141, 339

revelation, 335

reverence, 240

revolution(s), political and social, 22

Rg-veda, 17, 42, 64, 156, 172, 231, 244-6, 256, 268, 271, 287, 295

Rg-vedic: Aryans, 42; hymns, 325

Rhys, Ernest, *see* Shelley, P. B.

riddle of universe and self, 150

right(s): *adhikāra*, *see* *adhikāra*; economic, 293f; human/of individual, 369-71; legal, 293

righteousness, 257-9; *see also* *dharma*

'rita', *see* *Rta*

rites: and ceremonies, 383; Hindu, 383; of Islam, 383

ritual, vedic, 45

ṛṇas, debts, 219

Roman(s), 286-7; writers, 255

Roman Catholics, 263

Roman Empire, 250

Roman Natural Law (*ius naturale*), 287

Romans, Epistle to, 176, 182; *see also* Karl Barth

Rome, 174, 263

round of work, enjoyment, and desire, 166; *see also* cycle

Roy, Raja Ram-Mohan/Rāmmohan, 360; founder of Brahmo Samāj, 228

royalists, 276

ṛṣi, 372

Rta/'rita': cosmic, 288; Order and Truth of universe, 325; *phūsis* derived from, 287; principle of cosmic law and harmony, 286; *ratio* derived from, 287; (*Vedic*) concept of, 268, 286-7

Rudra, 326; called Śiva, 327

Ruggiero, G. de, 59; *Modern Philosophy*, 65

rūpa or matter, physical elements, 70-1; *see also* *nāma-rūpa*

rural communes, 256; *see also* village-community

Ruskin, John, 285

Russell, Bertrand, 20-1, 75; *A History of Western Philosophy*, 20, 40; *Our Knowledge of the External World*, 21, 40

Śabara, commentator on Jaimini's *Mīmāṃsā-sūtra*, 128

Śabda, testimony, 128f

sabhā: council, 255; court, 289

Śabri, 363

sacca, *see* *satya*

saccidānanda, *see* bliss

sacredness, 209

sacrifice(s), 190, 236, 361; of animals, 88, 259; —, prohibition of, 90; Brāhmanism is religion of, 186; *karma* as, 50; *Mīmāṃsā* doctrine of, 188; performance of, 50; —, is duty, 192; —, by king, 259; for propitiation of manes, 219, 231; religion of, 68, 189; ritualistic activities of, 186; with substance-oblations, *dravya-yajña*, 325; Vedic, 45, 50, 229; *yajña*, four kinds of, 218f

sadācārā, good men, 254

- Saddharma-puṇḍarīka, phenomenological school, 103
śaḍguṇas, strategies, 282
sādhana: esoteric exercises, 309; process of realization, 124; series of means, 271; *see also* discipline
sādhana-catustaya, 33
sādhāraṇa-dharmas, universal duties, 272
sādhya, self's ultimate end, 271
sage(s), 31, 272, 360
saguna/Saguna: Brahman, endowed with attributes, 150; —, God with infinite attributes, 168; qualified form of supreme reality, 180
saint(s), 216, 360; *arhant/arhat*, 81, 84, 109; Buddhist perfected man, Hīnayāna ideal of, 323; liberated, 123; Mārhattā, 228; *sthitadhī*, 350; Teṅkalai, 251
sainthood: *arhant*-ship, 81; called *nibbāna* with residue, 81
Śaiva(s), 318, 347; philosophy, 303; sect, 54, 300; thinkers, 305
Śaivism, 183, 194, 309; Kashmir school of, 54, 318; theistic school of, 324
Śakas, 162
Śākaymuni, 110
sakkāya, "I" or "personality," 71
śākṣāt-kāra, 26; direct realization of truth, 124
Saksena, Professor S. K., ix, 13, 17
Śakṣi-puruṣa, witness-self, 305
śakṣin: -hood of selves, 318; witness, 305
Śākta system, 54, 300
śakti: energy/force, 50; —, concept of, in Vedānta, 53; —, of God, 48, 52–3; plurality is expression of, 48; *prakṛti* is, of *Brahman*, 53; transformation (*pariṇāma*) of God's, 53; of ultimate reality, *māyā* is, 54
śakti-pāta, descent of grace, 324
Śaktism, 183, 194, 309, 318
Śākyas, 255
Saletore, Dr. B. A., 250
salvation, 48, 194, 198, 200–3, 262, 323–4, 326, 332; ethical discipline is geared to, 198; is highest aim of life, 189; of individual, 216; *jñāna* is knowledge for, 203; lies in weeding out *karma*, 198, 202; *mokṣa*, 187; *nirvāṇa/nibbāna*, *see nirvāṇa*; path to, 84; philosophies of, 207; is return to original unconscious state of *ātman*, 48; of Semitic religions, 328; in Vedāntic systems, 55
Sāma-veda, 231
samādhi, 143; communion with *Brahman*, 243; deep meditation, 234; mystical states, 354; power of concentration/collected consciousness, 75–6, 200, 331; stilling of thought, 83; total absorption, 139
samāja, social system, 377, 381
sāman, peaceful interstate relations, 285
samanwaya, reconciliation, 179f
sāmānya-dharma, duties of all people, 364
samavāya, inherence, 50, 308
Śaṅkara/Śaṅkarācārya, 8, 10, 51–5, 155, 157, 180, 184, 190, 194, 196–7, 200–1, 222, 231–2, 234, 236, 242–3, 246, 310, 314, 328, 336, 347; *Brahman* of, 184, 336; metaphysical idealism of, 121; *Brahma-sūtra-bhāṣya*, 339; Commentary on *Brahma-sūtra*, 333; *Bṛhadāraṇyaka-upaniṣad*, 246; Commentary on *Bhagavad-gītā*, 225, 244, 246; *Upadeśa-sāhasrī*, 151; *Vākyavṛtti*, 212;
Vivekacūḍāmaṇi, 212–3; *see also* Advaita
Sāṃkhya, 43–57, 90, 119, 121, 153, 196, 198, 204–5, 212–4, 270, 280, 300, 302, 305, 308, 314, 327, 329–30, 352; believe self to be really infinite, 123; conception of final goal, 158; dualism of, 43, 53, 74; non-theistic, 7; school of, 89, 191; systematized by Kapila, 44; teaching/doctrine of, 74, 318; theory of error, 130; —, of *guṇas*, 162
Sāṃkhya-kārikā, *see* Sharma
Sāṃkhya-Yoga, 215, 353; system of, 31; theoretical philosophy of, 31
saṃnyāsa, *see saṃnyāsa*
sāṃsāra/saṃsāra, wheel/ocean of waves/rise and fall/flowing cycle/round, of life (and death)/transmigration of souls, 36, 70, 80, 92–3, 95–6, 99, 104–5, 108–9, 158–60, 233; *mokṣa* is release from, 158; *nibbāna* is cessation from, 73; and *Nirvāṇa* identical, 109; phenomenal existence in its entirety, 322; universe is, 87; is void, 114; *see also* rebirth
Sāṃsāra-cakra, wheel of life and death, 36
saṃśaya (doubt), 119, 132
samsiddhi, perfection of individual, 375
saṃskāra(s), 198; passions and their defilement, 326; residual impressions, 159
samuccaya-vāda, synthetic view/theory, 311–2
samurai of Japan, 215
saṃvṛti, appearance, 274
Samyutta-nikāya, 68, 85; ed. L. Feer, 338; *see also* Woodward, *Kindred Sayings*
Sanatkumāra, 153, 231
Sandal, M. L., ed. and trans.
Sacred Books of the Hindus, 135
saṅgha: "community of one caste," 90; of the noble (*āryas*), 90
Saṅjaya, 43
Saṅkha, 346
saṅkhārā, 85; an assemblage of mental faculties, 75; complexes, 70–1; motive to live (will), 92; pre-natal forces, 80
saṅkhata (conditioned) and *asaṅkhata* (unconditioned), 72
Sāṅkhya-tattva-kaumudī, trans. Ganganatha Jha, 65
saṃnyāsa/saṃnyāsa, monk's life of renunciation, fourth stage in life, 164–6, 200, 238–9, 271, 375; *see also* stages in life
saṃnyāsin/saṃnyāsin, viii, 35, 239, 243; is free man of spirit, 165; monk, 238; one who has renounced world, 165
Sanskrit, vii, ix; proverb, 142; terms, viii, 66
santāna: flux/mere flux, 73, 80; (stream), Buddhist term for individual, 75
Sāntarākṣita, pluralistic idealism of, 47
śānti, sentiment of peace, 206
Śāntideva, *see* Śikṣā-samuccaya
Śānti-parva of *Mahābhārata*, 225, 245, 250, 253, 255, 258–9, 265–6, 269, 275–7, 282–3, 296–7, 357, 364, 366, 373, 392
sarva means "all," 364
sarva-dharma, duties for all men, 364
sarva-dharma-anātmātā, no substance, 87
sarva-saṃskāra-anityatā, no duration, 87
sarvaṃ duḥkham, no bliss, 87

Sarvāstī-vāda, Realistic school, 102, 112
śāsanas, edicts of kings, 289
śāśata-vādīno, see eternalism
 Śāstras, or scriptures, see *Dharma-śāstras*
śāstra-yonityāt, third *sūtra*, 178
 Sastry, R. Halasyanatha, ed.
 Āpastamba's *Dharma-sūtra*, 266
śāvata-vāda, see eternalism
sat: being, 42, 88–9, 169; existence/existent, 49, 88; existence, *ātman* is, 196–7; -move, 90; see also being; *ens*
sat-kārya-vāda, theory of existent effect, 49
 “*Sat-Yuga*,” period of truth, justice, and freedom, 366
Satapatha-brāhmaṇa, 364
satī, suicide of widows, 361, 366
sattva, 138, 213–4, 302; purity, 162; transparency, 49
Sāttvika, 214; actions, 302; pure, 205; movements, 301
Sāttvika-buddhi, pure reason, 288
satya/sacca: fact/existent, 67, 75; golden age, 241; Truth of universe, 325; truth, *yogi* virtue, 391; truthfulness, 270
satya-dharma, 325
Satyāgraha, non-violent non-cooperation, 281
satya-kāma, truth, 337
Satyakāma, 163, 347
Satya-siddhi, Nihilistic school, 102
Sautrāntikas, critical realism of, 121
 scepter, 280, 290; *daṇḍa*, 275f; forces of, 279; principles of, *daṇḍa-nīti*, 276
 Schelling, F. von, 63
 Schlegel, F. and C., 63
 scholar, 342
 Scholastic period, 7
 school, 369
 Schopenhauer, A., 63

Schrader, F. O., *Introduction to the Pāñcharātra*, 65
 Schweitzer, Dr. Albert, *Out of My Life and Thought*, trans. C. T. Campion, 177, 182
 science(s), 112, 217; discoveries of, 152; growth of, 240; leads to acceptance of mystery, 179; modern, 160; physical, 231; positive, 230; and religion, conflict between, 185; of soul, 231
 scientific: “fallacy,” 211; knowledge, 19–20, 23, 27; materialism, 76; philosophy, 29
 scientism, 210
 scientist(s), 23; object of his inquiry is external, 168
 scriptural study, 219
 scriptures: Śāstras, 179; secondary, of Hindus, 223; Supreme is source of, 178
 Scythians, 161
 Seal, Dr. B. N., 126–7; *The Positive Sciences of the Ancient Hindus*, 135
 seed(s), 97–8, 104
 “seedless,” mind becomes, 140
 seers, 179, 219, 224, 231; Vedic, 242
 self/Self, 52, 73; = Absolute, 311; *Ātman/attā*, individual, 42, 75, 112, 136, 304 (see also *ātman*); —, belief in, 184; and body, identified with/fusion of, 167, 329; is *Brahman*, universal, 42, 112, 136, 146–7; is comprehended from within, 178; consummation of free, 376; five sheaths of, 157, 351; foundational, 330; infinity of (*jīva*), 123; inner, *antar-ātman*, 288; knowledge of, 153, 218, 231; liberation of thinking, 68; nature of, 50, 242, 357; *Nirvāṇa* as, 109; is primarily unconscious,

122 (see also 50, 52); *puruṣa*, see *puruṣa*; reality an escape from, 84; riddle of, 150; and selflessness, synthesis of, 104; is sublimated, 69; is supreme spirit, 164; ultimate end of (*sādhya*), 271; is ultimate reality, 41; see also individual
 self-analysis, 46
 self-control/restraint, 146, 150, 192, 236, 323, 327–8; cultivate (*dāmyata*), 157; *dama*, 269; disciplines of, 221; spiritual, 56; virtue of, 222
 self-correction of philosophical view, 170
 self-creation, 94–6, 104, 116; Buddha's system of, 90, 115; continuity of, 105; of universe, 104; world of, 109
 self-cultivation, principle of, 105
 self-culture, 116
 self-denial, 69, 106, 117
 self-dependence, 133
 self-development, 38
 self-discipline, 38, 115, 391; see also discipline
 self-discovery, 38
 self-education, 323
 self-effort, 226
 self-forgiveness, cause of suffering, 146
 self-hypnosis, 210
 self-indulgence, 69
 self-knowledge, 146, 354; *jñāna-yoga* is way of, 166–7
 self-mortification: addiction to, 68; of Jaina, 323
 self-natured body, 110
 self-negation, 101; mutual identification by, 106
 self-preservation, 350
 self-realization, 166, 169, 192–5, 351, 356; is *dharma* and *vidhi* (injunction), 193; is meditation

on one's self, 186; renunciation of, 195; is supreme god of life, 381; Vedāntic religion of, 186; vows of, 230; Way of, through action, *karma-mārga*, 194
 self-regeneration, 327
 self-renunciation, 83
 self-restraint, see self-control
 self-rule, *svārājya*, 278
 self-surrender: to God, 201; *prapatti*, 213
 self-validity, theory of, 120
 selfishness, 241
 selfless work/action, 191, 193, 233; doctrine of, *karma-yoga*, 166, 200
 selflessness/no self/*an-ātman*, 13, 75, 88, 102; Buddha proposed, 88; theory of, 117
 Semitic: religions, 322, 325; —, salvation of, 328
 Sen, P. N., *Hindu Jurisprudence*, 297
 sense(s), 46; cannot exist without activity, 79; -contact, 46; five (external), 122, 198; five fields of, 47; internal, 122; mind as internal, 122; related to non-existence, 130
 sense-objects/objects of sense, 77, 155, 166; five, 198; non-attachment to, 144, 149
 sense-organs, 136, 138–9, 218, 233, 239, 329; detachment of mind from, 142; five, 49; friction between sense-objects and, 77; six, *āyatana*, 92, 94, 96
 sense-perception, 124
 sentiment, *bhāva*, 214
 separability, *prthak-siddhi*, 307
 serenity, 239; inner, 144
 Sermon on the Mount, 285
 service, 236; life of, 239; national ideal of India, 230

- Shakespeare, William, 382;
Hamlet, 182
- Shamasastri, R., trans. Kauṭilya's *Arthaśāstra*, 244, 297, 357
- sharīat*: and *dharma-śāstra*, 385-6;
law of Islam, 383-6
- Sharma, Har Dutt, ed. and trans.
Sāṃkhya-kārikā, 214
- sheaths, doctrine of five, of self,
157, 351
- Sheldon, Professor W. H., 13;
"Main Contrasts Between
Eastern and Western Philoso-
phy," 17
- Shelley, Percy Bysshe: *Lyrics and
Shorter Poems*, ed. Ernest Rhys,
182; "Queen Mab," 182
- shī'ahs*, minor sect of Muslims in
India, 383
- Sibree, J., trans. Hegel's *Lectures
on the Philosophy of History*,
174
- Siddhānta-leśa-saṃgraha*, 65
- Sikhism, 183
- "Sikṣā Valli," 251
- Sikṣā-samuccaya*, compiled by
Śāntideva, ed. Cecil Bendell,
339, 358
- śīla/sīla*: discipline of body and
mind, 83; practice of moral
virtues, 331
- similarity (*sādrśya*), 50
- sin: -consciousness, 337; doctrine
of original, 195
- Sircar, D. C., ed. *Inscriptions of
Aśoka*, 296
- Śiṣṭas, disciplined persons, 254
- Siva, 150; Rudra called, 327;
śakti of, 54
- Six: -fold Perfection, 111, 115;
systems of Hinduism, 7, 13, 88
- skandhas*, see aggregate(s)
- skepticism, 6, 28; about philosophi-
cal knowledge, 23f; rational, 26
- slave states, 263
- slavery, 361, 365-6
- sleep, 132
- Smith, Huston, 31; "Accents of the
World's Philosophies," 40
- Smṛti(s)*, 267, 271, 287-8, 347,
363, 379, 386; law books, 376;
literature based on Vedas, 344;
secondary scriptures of Hindus,
223; traditional texts, 342;
writers, 377; see also under
individual titles
- smṛti-kāras*, languages, 262
- social: classes represent groupings
of *guṇas*, 162 (see also caste);
conscience, 367; customs, 228;
duties, 217, 243; ethics, 63,
240-1; institutions, 209; monistic
tendencies, 369; obligations,
232-3, 238; —, fulfillment of,
216; order, 378; organization,
Hindu, 376; —, problems of,
199, 209; philosophy, 267ff; —,
papers in, *vi*, reform, 229; re-
lationships, study of, 199, 209;
system, Hindu, 383; —, new,
390; —, *samāja*, 377; values,
justification of, 231f; —, in
modern India, 228; —, in Vedas,
219f; welfare, 12, 236; —, gods
are jealous custodians of, 218;
worker, 230
- socialism, 368
- society(-ies): becoming industrial-
ized, 173; classes of, 155, 160ff;
conceptualized, 59; divisions in,
237 (see also castes); ethical,
372; Hindu, see Hindu; *kṣatriya*
is guardian of, 163; man and,
see man; relation between in-
dividual and, 270f, 347f; state
and, 277; in Upaniṣadic times,
223
- Socrates, 56, 132
- Söderblom, I., *The Living God*, 339
- Somadeva, 259; *Nīti-vākyāmṛta*,
ed. P. P. Soni, 266
- Soni, P. P., see Somadeva
- Sophists, 68
- sorcery, 7
- Sorley, W. R., *Recent Tendencies
in Ethics*, 64
- sorrowlessness, attainment of, 153
- soul(s), 45, 48, 66, 158-9; ab-
sorbed into *Brahman*, 52, 149;
belief in, 7, 13; Buddhism denies
existence of, 96, 98; denied
reality, 77; direct experience of
God, 167; divinity of, 229;
doctrine of existence of, 17;
essence of consciousness, 141;
evolution of, 243; fettered to/
isolated from body, 123, 234;
-finding, 212; free, 148-9, 158,
334; God, and universe, oneness
of, 147; illuminated, 145, 149;
immortality of, 149, 243; inher-
ent perfection of, 232; *jīva*, in-
dividual, 45, 202, 212, 322, 329;
-making, 212; non-duality of,
149; perfection of, 29; plurality
of, 74; tragedy of, 175; see also
ātman
- soullessness, 82; see also *anattā*
- Sound *Brahman*, 205
- Source Book, see Moore, C. A., and
Radhakrishnan, S., *A Source
Book in Indian Philosophy*
- space, 48; (*ākāśa*), 45; is curved,
108; is one of five elements, 108;
and time, 108; -time world, 108
- Sparta, 361
- Spartacists, 249
- specialization, temptation of, 23
- spirit/Spirit: Absolute, is non-dual,
167; body is eternal enemy of,
69; conceptualized, 59; Great,
58; is knowledge (*vidyā*), 375;
puruṣa, 49; realm of, 58
- spiritual: attainment, 331; culture
of race, 163; goal, see goal; ideal,
44
- spiritualism, 63
- spirituality, 330; dominant in
India, 9; Indian, 10; —, in-
corporates intellectual values,
203; inward, is essential meaning
of religion, 185
- śravaṇa*: hearing, 181; study, 168
- śreyas*, (spiritual) good, 154, 342,
345
- Sri Aurobindo, 11; *Essays on the
Gītā*, 296; *Īśa-upaniṣad*, 296;
The Renaissance in India, 17
- Śrīkaṇṭha, 51
- Śrīmadbhāgavatam*, ed. P. Tar-
karatna, 295
- Śrīnivāsācārya/Srinivasachari/
P. N. Srinivasacari, 213; *The
Philosophy of the Beautiful*, 214;
The Philosophy of Bhedābheda,
65
- Śrīpati, 51
- śṛṅgāra*, love, 206
- Śruti: God, 379; or Vedas, 224
- stage(s) in life, four (*āśramas*),
164-6, 199, 200, 347, 367, 369,
375; and castes, law/duty of,
varṇāśrama-dharma, 155, 164,
238, 243, 277, 380; discipline of,
238, 271; duties of, *āśrama-
dharma*, 163, 278-9, 375; fourth,
of meditation on philosophical
truths, 342 (see also *sannyāsa*);
third, of retirement and study,
342 (see also ascetic); see also
householder; student
- standard of living, 9
- state(s): activities of, 260; ad-
ministration of, 259; ancient
Hindu, 261; good, 274; ideal,
275; and individual, see in-
dividual; origin of, 275; *rājya*,
378; seven constituent elements

- of, 260, 279f; and society, 277f;
three values of, 276f
Status of the Individual in East and West, v
Stcherbatsky, Thi, *The Central Conception of Buddhism*, 85
Stede, W., *see* Davids, T. W. Rhys
step(s): Buddhist method of five, (*pañca-kāraṇī*), 125; five-, method of discovery and proof, *see* *pañcāvayava-nyāya*
sthita-prajñā, ideal man is, 201
Stoics, 287
storing center of ideation, *see* ideation-store
stream, *santāna*, *see* *santāna*
student(ship), *brahmacarya*, first stage in life, 164–6, 238, 271, 375; *see also* stages in life
study (*śravaṇa*), 168
subconscious mind (*viññāṇa*), 92–3
subject-object polarization, 196
subjectivists, 121
subjectivity: absorption in, 207; Eastern mind engrossed in, 208
sublimating emotions, 166
submission, 316
substance, 48, 50, 66, 89; cannot exist without qualities, 62; categories of, 76; denial of, 76; (*dravya*), 45; extended (*astikāya*), 45; of nine kinds, 48; non-extended (*an-astikāya*), 45
substratum, theory of pure change without, 102
“Suchness,” *see* “Thusness”
Śuddhodana, father of Buddha, 99
śūdra(s), manual laborers/artisans, lowest/fourth class/caste of society, 161, 163–4, 236, 262, 292, 362, 376, 378; non-Aryans became, 256
suffering, 82, 97, 115–6, 239–40, 323, 328, 337, 353; cessation of, *nirodha/nibbāna*, 81; *dukkha/dukkha*, 70, 81, 88, 322; *dukkha-satya*, truth of, 116, 322, engenders reflection, 321; experience of, 30; extinction of, 116; human, rooted in ignorance, 118; Indian philosophical theories of, 36; originates from mind-action/ideation, 98; is result of conflict in us, 176; round of birth, death and, 83 (*see also* rebirth); self-forgetfulness, cause of, 146; threefold, 89; unreality of, 82
śūfi(s), 27, 383
suicide: spiritual, 323; of widows, *satī*, 361, 366
Śuka, 51–2
sukha, bliss of well-being, 83
Śukra, 250, 254, 258, 278–9, 282–3, 291–3, 296; realism of, 294; works of, 257; *Śukra-nīti*, 254, 265; *Śukra-nīti-sāra*, 254–5, 258, 265–6, 267, 275, 297; —, ed. Jivānanda Vidyāsāgara, 295
sun, rays of, 53
Sunnīs, sects of Muslims, 383
śūnya, void, 47, 54, 184, 193, 198; Buddhist doctrine, synthesized with pluralism and dualism, 54; or *Nirvāṇa*, 47, 55
śūnya-vāda, indeterminism, 121
Śūnyatā (Void), 330, 337; absolute, 114
supernatural power(s), 139, 146
supposition, 133
Supreme: *Ātman*, 187; Being, 182, 194; is Cosmic Lord, 180; Deity, 187; direct relationship with, 179; *puruṣa*, 271; realization of, 264; is source of scriptures, 178; Spirit (*Brahman*), 189, 199, 203, 212; —, is *Ātman*
within our *ātman*s, 197; —, like father and mother, 194
surgery, 230
Suta, narrator of *Bhāgavata-purāṇa*, 362
Sūtra(s), 8, 267; period, 7; *see also* under individual texts, e.g., *Brahma-sūtra Suttas* (Discourses) attributed to Buddha, 67
sva-bhāva, nature of things, 43, 272
sva-bhāva-vāda, naturalism, 325
sva-dharma: each man's *dharma*, 156; sphere of duty, 272
śvapaca, lowest class, 362
svatva/svāmitva, ownership, 293
Śvetaketu, father of, 364
Śvetāśvatara-upaniṣad, 43, 172
syllogism, three/five-membered, 89
synoptic: outlook, 29; vision, 170; —, need of, 23
synthesis, 274; in depth, 336; problem of, 170; theory of, *see* *samuccaya*
synthetic: interpretation, 14; unity, 77, 106; view, *samuccaya-vāda*, 311
tādātmya, identity of essence, 126
Tagore, Rabindranath, 38–9, 55, 268, 272, 360
Taine, Henri, 248–9
Taittirīya Aranyaka, ed. N. R. Acarya and R. L. Mitra, 295
Taittirīya-upaniṣad, 18, 156–7, 172, 177, 223, 245–6, 251, 358
Takakusu, Dr. Junjirō, v, vi, viii, ix, 86ff; *The Essentials of Buddhist Philosophy*, ed. Wing-tsit Chan and Charles A. Moore, 86
tamas, 302; darkening element, 138; dullness, 162; inactivity, 49
Tāmasika, 214; movements, 301
Tamil: language, 251; maxim, 154
taṇhā, desire, *see* desire
Tantra(s), 251; treatises, 224
Tāntrika, mysticism, 227
Tao, or vivifying principle, 104
Taoism, 104
tarka, 133; indirect hypothetical/postulational argument, 125, 132, 290
Tathāgata, 68, 70; one who has realized thatness, 336; *see also* Buddha
Tathāgata-garbha, *see also* Thusness
Tathātā (*Tathāgata-garbha*), *see* Thusness
Tattva, “Thatness,” 86
Tattvopaplavasiṃha, 44–5
tax(es), 256, 258, 260, 279; of one sixth, 380
taxation, 263, 276, 388
Tāyumanavar, Hymn to Pārvatī, 264
teacher, illumined, 147
technology, 217, 323; growth of, 240
temples, music in, 206
Teṅkalai saints, 251
testimony: a means of true knowledge, 28; *śabda*, 128f
That One (*tad ekam*), 334
“That thou art,” 147, 222
“Thatness” (*Tattva*), 86; theory of, 88
theism, 7, 306; in Indian spiritual tradition, 10
theists, 66, 270
theistic: literature, 271; schools of Saivism and Vaiṣṇavism, *see* Saivism; Vaiṣṇavism; systems/religions, 335, 352–3, 357; Vedānta, non-Advaita schools of, 273
theologians, 23; Christian, 177

theological knowledge, 20, 23, 27
 theology, Christian, 63
 theoretical philosophy of
 Sāṃkhya, 31
 theory, full-fledged (*vāda*), 132
 Theravāda/Hinayāna schools, 47,
 102, 106
 thinking, reflective (*manana*), 168
 Thomas, E. J.: *History of Buddhist
 Thought*, 64; *The Life of
 Buddha*, 64
 Thoreau, Henry David, 285
 thought: *cit*, 88; consciousness is,
 76; higher, 115
 "threefold learning," 111
 "Thus-come," 101; a designation of
 Buddha, 98; Matrix of, *see*
 Matrix
 "Thus gone," 99, 101; Matrix of,
 see Matrix
 "Thusness": causation by, 98-9; is
 Dharma-dhātu (principle- or
 law-element), 104; is "Matrix
 of Thus-come," 104; principle of,
 103; *Tathātā/Tathāgata-garbha*,
 87, 98-9, 101, 103, 112, 114,
 116, 330, 336; ultimate state of
 Nirvāṇa, 109; universe is dy-
 namic manifestation of, 99-100
 Tibet, 185
 Tilak, B. G., 273, 285
 Tillich, Professor Paul, 334; "The
 Religious Symbol," 182
 time, 48, 54; a circle, 93; (*kāla*),
 43; space and, 108
 time-process, 159
 Timur, 382
 Tirtha, Ravi, trans. Udayana,
 Kusumāñjali, 135
 tolerance, India's attitude of, 14-5
 Tolstoi, Count Leo, 285
 totalism: principle of (*Dharma-
 dhātu*), 104; school of,
 Avatamsaka, 103
 totalitarian philosophies, 207
 totality: of all existence, 100;
 principle of, 101
 town life, 262
 Toynbee, A. J., *A Study of History*,
 211
 trade, 164; growth of, 388
 trader-craftsmen, *see vaiśyas*
 tradition(s): Asian, *vi*; Hindu, *vi*;
 philosophical and cultural, of
 East and West, *vi*; *taqlid*, 385;
 unbroken (*itiha*), 119
 traditionalists, 66
 trances, *jhāna*, 83
 tranquillity, 144
 transcendence, metaphysical, 307
 transcendent, unconditioned, 182
 transcendental ego, 213
 transformation: inward of man,
 207-8; (*pariṇāma*), of God's
 śakti, 53; —, of *prakṛti*, 49
 transformists, 67
 transience, *see* impermanence;
 doctrine of (*anicca*), 79
 transmigration of soul, *see saṃsāra*
 transparency, *see sattva*
 Travancore, 264; Cera kings of,
 263; Mahārāja of, 250, 264
tretā, silver age, 241
 tribes, assimilation of backward,
 by Aryans, 224
 Trivandrum, ed. Kauṭilya's
 Artha-śāstra, 265
tri-varga, 260; threefold human
 value, 276
 true doctrine of, 204
 truth(s)/Truth: achieved by whole
 man, 13; all-transcending, 114;
 classification of, 47; common-
 sense (*saṃvṛti-satya*), 111-3;
 criteria of, 133; direct realiza-
 tion (*sākṣāt-kāra*) of, 124;
 empirical, 189; Four Noble, 46,
 116; God is, 203; higher-sense
 (*paramārtha-satya*), 111-3;
 highest, 114; —, is Brahman,

203; knowledge of ultimate, 356;
satya-kāma, 337; sacrifice, 137;
 of suffering, 116; theory of
 double, 111f; ultimate, 99; of
 world, *Nirvāṇa* is, 47
 truthfulness, 235, 343; *satya*, 270
 tunes, *rāgas*, 205
 Turks, 382
 Turkish sultans, 384
 twelve-linked chain/twelve states
 of causation, *see* causation
 Tyāgarāja, 205
 tyrant, 276, 281

uccheda-vāda, nihilism, 325-6
Udāna, 85, 182
 Udayana, 134; *Kusumāñjali*, ed.
 and trans. E. B. Cowell, 135; —,
 trans. Ravi Tirtha, 135
ulamā, learned jurists, 384
 ultimacy, principle of, 335
 Ultimate: as *Nirvāṇa*, 326; reality,
 see reality
 Umāsvāti, *Tattvārthādhigamasūtra*,
 trans. J. L. Jaini, Sacred Books of
 the Jainas, 64
 Uncertainty Principle of physical
 science, 106
 unconscious mind, 60
 "undifferentiated aesthetic con-
 tinuum," 273
 uniformity, non-causal, 126
 unitary: and integral character of
 knowledge, 36; theory of knowl-
 edge, 27; vision of man and life,
 37
 United Nations Organization, 264
 unity: close, *apṛthak-siddhi*, 308;
 in diversity, 273; of existence,
 145; —, realization of, 147;
 illuminated soul experiences, 145;
 of India, 386; of man and his
 being, 23; synthetic, 77
 universal: attainment of, 330;

category, 48, 50; theories about,
 56
 Universal Principle (*Dharma-
 dhātu*), causation by, 99
 universality, 192; of reason, 202-3
 universe: *Brahman* is ground of,
 168; a co-creation, 91; a conflux
 of life-waves (*saṃsāra*), 87;
 Dharma-dhātu (principle-
 element), 108; is dynamic
 manifestation of Thusness,
 99-100; evolution of, 153; four
 states of, 100; God, soul, and,
 oneness of, 147; infinite number
 of discrete elements, 77; *māyā*,
 232; "One and True," 100;
 phenomenal, 145, 232; a plenum
 of fixed existents, 68; pluralistic,
 168-9; riddle of, 150; a seamless
 garment, 218; self-creation of,
 104; Supreme is guide of, 180;
 visible, 230
 University of Hawaii, *v*
 unselfishness, practice of, 238
 untouchability (-ables), 237-8, 366,
 377, 390
upādāna: cleaving, 92, 94; grasp-
 ing, 71
upādāna-skandhas, five, 339
upādhi(s), 109-10; hidden essen-
 tial conditions, 125; material and
 immaterial condition of being,
 109
upamāna, 127-8; knowledge by/
 from similarity, 119, 127-8
upanayana, ceremony of initiation,
 361
 Upaniṣad(s)/-ic, 5, 10, 27, 31, 34,
 41-5, 53-5, 86, 149, 152, 156-7,
 160, 162, 175-6, 181, 186, 193,
 202-3, 212, 217, 234, 267, 273,
 287, 304, 334, 336, 351-3, 355;
 Brahman of, 54, 168; Buddhism
 is offshoot of, 44; earliest phil-
 osophical treatises of India, 131;

- influence of, on German idealism and Christian theology, 63; monistic, absolutist, non-dualist trends of, 7, 51, 74, 229; social values in, 221; teaching, 16, 272; tradition, 42, 52; ultimate goal of, 232; Vedānta is name/philosophy of, 51, 314; *A Compilation of 120 Upaniṣads*, 295; *see also under individual Upaniṣads*
- upāyas*, expedients, 282
- upekṣā*, forbearance, 270
- urbanization, 388
- Uttara Kurus, 255, 278
- Uttara Madras, 278
- Uttara Mīmāṃsā, *see* Vedānta
- vāda*, full-fledged theory, 132
- Vaiśāṅka*(s), 112; direct realism of, 121; *see also Sarvāsti-vāda*
- vairāgya*, detachment, 300–1, 303; *see also non-attachment*
- vairājya*, kingless/good state, 278
- Vaiśālī, 255
- Vaiśeṣika(s), 7, 52, 122–3, 128, 193, 204, 300, 314, 318; concept of salvation in, 55; and Nyāya systems, *see* Nyāya; pluralism of, 43, 90; school of Atomic Pluralism, 89; systematized by Kaṇāda, 44, 119
- Vaiṣṇava(s): Bengal, 312, 318–9; saint, 166; sect, 54, 347
- Vaiṣṇavism, 183, 194, 309; theistic school of, 324
- vaiśya*(s), trader-craftsmen/wealth producers and distributors: third class/caste of society, 161–4, 272, 292, 294, 362, 376, 378; expert in economics, 164; men of feeling/desires, 162, 236; professions of, 164; or viś, clan, 256
- Vajjian republic, 255
- validity, 119ff; external, 120; self-, 120; of a theory, 133; *see also* cognition; invalidity
- Vallabha, 51, 53
- value(s): *Brahman* is supreme, 169; distinction between spiritual and secular, 183; ethical/intellectual/aesthetic, 183, 207; four, 239; —fold traditional (*catur-varga*), 276; Indian, scheme of, 160; —, spirituality incorporates intellectual, 203; integration of, 59; metaphysical basis for Indian theory of, 168; moral, 157; philosophy of, 152ff; spiritual, 183ff; three, of state, 276; —fold human (*tri-varga*), 276
- vānaprastha*, *see* ascetic
- Varadarāja Aiyāṅgār, *see* Rāṅgāchārya, M.
- varṇa*: class/caste, *see* caste; color, 161; — of one's character, 162
- Varuṇa, god of justice, 218, 268, 280, 286, 290, 325–6, 380
- vāsanās*, 198
- Vasiṣṭha, Vasiṣṭha, 254, 290
- Vāsudeva, Viṣṇu is, 327
- Vasugupta, 54
- Vātsyāyana, 132; Commentary on Gautama's *Nyāya-sūtra*, 131, 134–5
- Vedas, viii, 5, 7, 10, 42, 51, 54–5, 118, 175, 184, 187, 208, 217, 228, 240, 257, 259, 273, 280, 287–8, 326, 360; activist philosophy and religion of, 207; authority of, 43, 88; books of knowledge, 157; Eternal Word, 327; four/contain four parts, 186, 267; injunctions of (three), 233, 284; sacrifices, 50, 221; scriptures, 344; three, 289; *see also names of individual Vedas*
- Vedic
- Vedanā*, perception, 92, 94
- Vedānta/Vedāntic, 7–8, 13, 16, 31–2, 53–5, 68, 120–1, 146–8, 157–8, 166, 168, 202–5, 207, 212–4, 280, 320, 324, 330; absolutist trend of, 52; Bādarāyaṇa systematized, 44, 46; ethical discipline in, 200f; goal of, 146, 148; interpreters of Buddhism, 158; inward realization of, 211; monistic systems, 48, 89, 187 (*see also* Advaita); —, and pluralistic, 41; non-Advaita schools, 273, 300; non-dualistic/Advaita, *see* Advaita; philosophy of, 189ff, 334; is —, of Upaniṣads, 51; religions of, 183, 186, 189ff; Śaṅkara's monistic school of, *see* Advaita; Śaṅkara; systems/schools, 51–2, 57, 306; or Uttara/Posterior Mīmāṃsā, 51; *see also* Rāmānuja
- Vedānta-paribhāṣā*, 135
- Vedānta-sūtras*, *see* Rāṅgāchārya, M.
- Vedic: concept of *Rta*, *see* *Rta*; days/period/times, 4, 261, 293, 295, 363–4, 371, 377; hymns, *see* hymns; injunction(s)/prohibitions, 50, 291; religion, 185, 338; sacrifices, 45, 50, 229; seers/philosophers, 218, 221, 242, 326, 337; systems of philosophy, 267; teaching, purpose of, 46; texts/literature, 252, 267, 278, 304, 364 (*see also under individual texts*); thought, two primary opposites in, 211; tradition, 43, 224; *see also* Veda
- vegetarianism, 202
- Vena, King, 276
- vibration, (*vāyo*), 70
- videha-mukti*, *see* enlightenment
- Videhas, 255
- vidhi*, injunction, 193
- vidyā*(s): knowledge, 375; — of transcendent *Brahman*, 272; sciences and arts, 308; *see also jñāna*
- Vidyābhūṣaṇa, S. C., ed. and trans. Sacred Books of the Hindus, Vol. VIII, 134
- Vidyāsāgara, 349
- Vijayanagara: dynasty, 250; empire, 250
- viñāna*, consciousness, 47–8, 193, 214; knowledge about science and arts, 203; synonym for *buddhi*, 203
- Vijñānabhikṣu, 43; Commentary on the *Brahma-sūtra*, 53, 65
- Vijñānavādins, 47, 184, 189, 196, 212
- viñāpti-mātratā*, consciousness only, 330
- Vijñaptimātra, school of idealism, 102
- village(s), 279, 382; aggregate of several families, 25–6; -community, 164, 255–6, 388; deities, *grāma-devatā*, 381; *grāma*, 256, 381; *pañcāyat*, *see* *pañcāyat*; Panjab, 388; ultimate unit of (ancient Hindu) society, 261–2
- vinaya*: discipline, 280; humility, 280
- Vinaya-piṭaka*, 333, 339
- viñāna*: conception, 80, 92; sub-conscious mind, 92–3
- Vinoba, 273–4, 294
- Virajas, 257
- violence, dutiful, *sādhu-himsā*, 284
- Virāt, Cosmic Person, 191
- virility, *rajas*, *see* *rajas*
- virtue(s), 270; cultivation of cardinal, 157, 168; *dharma*s, *see* *dharma*; four, 200; practice of moral (*śīla*), 331; of self-control,

- charity, compassion, 222; six main, 202; supreme, *parama-dharma*, 270
- viś*, clan, 256; *see also vaiśyas*
- Viṣṇu, 150, 257, 326; abode of, 363; God manifest as people, 280; lotus feet of, 362; is Nārāyaṇa or Vasudeva, 327; *śakti* of, 54
- Visuddhi-magga, 348, 358
- viśva-darśana*, cosmic/world philosophy, 170
- viśva-rūpa*, cosmic form, 170
- Viśvāmitra, 347
- vivarta-kāraṇa, 54
- Vivekananda, Swami, 229–30, 285; founded Ramakrishna Mission, 229; *The Complete Works*, ed. S. Nikhilananda, 245; *Karma-Yoga*, 245
- voice, theory of eternity of, 89
- void: or principle of Thusness, 103; or *sūnya*, *see sūnya*; *Sūnyatā*, 114, 330
- vr̥ṣala/vr̥ṣla, 259, 266
- vr̥ttis, conscious processes, 197
- vyāghāta, contradiction, 125
- Vyāsa, Commentary on *Yoga-sūtra*, 318, 358
- vyatireka, method of agreement in absence, 125
- vyavahāra: application, 290; empirical usage, 168; judicial process, 289–90; positive law, 254
- vyāvahārika: empirical plane, 299; pragmatic, 308; relative reality, 231, 273; *-sattva*, empirical reality, 222
- Wach, Joachim, *Sociology of Religion*, 215
- Wall, Bernard, *see* Marcel, G.
- war, 226, 344, 346; righteous, 225; —(*dharma-yuddha*), 282f; unrighteous (*kūṭa-yuddha*), 283f
- warrior, *see kṣatriyas*
- water, 48, 108, 185; is correlate of taste, 187
- wave(s): of existence/life and death, *see saṃsāra*; state of being is causal, 113
- way, *mārga*, 193
- way/path of action, (*karma-mārga*), 194, 200, 311, 317–9, 331; *see also dharma*
- way/path of knowledge, *jñāna-mārga*, 193–4, 201, 311, 317–9, 331
- way-of-life-culture (*bhāvana-mārga*), 110, 115–6; *see also* life-culture
- way-of-life-ideal (*aśaikṣa-mārga*), 110
- way-of-life-view (*darśana-mārga*), 110–11, 116
- way/path of love/devotion/respect/emotion (*bhakti-mārga*), 194, 201, 311, 317–9, 331
- wayfarer, 193–4; (*mārgayāmin*), 183; man as, 193
- wealth, 165, 227, 284; *artha*, 153–5, 239, 259, 276–7; —, gaining of, 375; Lord of, 380; right to, 293; production and distribution of, 164
- welfare: social or human, *mu'āmalat*, 384; or world (*loka-saṃgraha*), 375
- Wells, H. G., *The Outline of History*, 297
- Werkmeister, Dr., 210
- West/Western, 240, 313; adventures, 382; concept of conscience, 345; contact with, 237; conventional laws in, 288; culture, 229; dark age of, 8; democracy, 286; East and, *see* East; -educated Indians, 314; education, *see* education; freedoms of, 391; jurists, 253; learning and science, 249;
- legal literature, 287; man, inwardness of, 215; mind, 9, 208–9, 314; Orientalists, 228; philosophy(-ies), *vi*, 3, 10–11, 26, 38, 48–9, 52, 56–8, 104, 118, 134, 197; —, history of, 30, 44; psychology, 137, 197; religion, 185; scholars, 156, 216, 273
- wheel: of creation, 218; of *karma*, 385; of law, 288f; of life and death, *see saṃsāra*; — *-cakra*; pain and pleasure rotate like, 240; *see also* causation, twelve-linked chain of
- white, 162
- Whitehead, A. H., 179, 209
- widows: remarriage of, 361, 389; —, restrictions on, 366
- will, 321; “alone is *kamma*,” 80; *apūrva*, 193; has cosmic significance, 213; free/freedom of, 80–1, 299, 315, 322; freedom lies in being without, 80; “holy,” 350; ignorant, identical with perfect enlightenment, 106; moral, 350; *sāṅkhāra*, motive to live, 92–3 (*see also sāṅkhārā*); is self directed toward action, 188; universal, within, 61–2
- Wing-tsit Chan, ed. *The Essentials of Buddhist Philosophy*, *see* Takakusu, J.
- wisdom, 169; of ancestors, 379; philosophical/*prajñā*, *see* philosophic knowledge
- witchcraft, 210
- witness: *sākṣin*, 305; -self, *sākṣi-puruṣa*, 305
- Wolf, Professor H. de, 181; *The Religious Revolt Against Reason*, 182
- womb (*yonī*), 43
- women: among great Upaniṣadic philosophers, 361; captured in war, 361; crimes against, 292; education of, 361; emancipation of, 228; equality of, 361; punishment of, 291; sacred, 364; status of in society, 389; *see also* widows
- Woodroffe, J. G., *The Serpent Power*, 65
- Woods, J. H., *see* Patañjali
- Woodward, F. L., trans. *Kindred Sayings (Saṃyutta-nikāya)*, with Introduction by Mrs. Rhys Davids, 85; trans. *Gradual Sayings (Aṅguttara-nikāya)*, 85; trans. *The Minor Anthologies of the Pali Canon*, 85, 182
- Word, *Nāda-Brahman*, 214
- work: enjoyment and desire, round of, 166; is worship, 230
- world, 47–52; is *anitya*, transitory, 175; is *asukha*, painful, 175, 195; is *Brahman*, 54–5, 243; identified with paradise, 106; is *Nirvāṇa*, 47, 55; of one-all, 104; “One and True,” 103; philosophy (*viśva-darśana*), 59, 170; -principle, search for, 101; process, *see* process; relative, 241; is vale of soul-making/soul-finding, 193; welfare of, *loka-saṃgraha*, 375
- world-system, *kalpa*, 90
- World War I, 60
- worship: work is, 230; primitive forms of, 184
- “wreath,” 100; school, 102
- Yahveh, originally a local divinity, 185
- yajña, *see* sacrifice
- Yājñavalkya, 56, 87, 154, 223, 239, 253–4, 287, 290, 292
- Yājñavalkya-smṛti, 251, 297
- Yajur-veda, 231, 244–5, 373
- Yajur-veda-saṃhitā, 247

- yama*, control/restraint, 141, 339
 Yama, 160, 380; god of death, 218;
 the punisher, 257
 Yamakami, Sōger, 47–8; *Systems of
 Buddhist Thought*, 64
 Yaudheyas, 278
 yoga/Yoga, 16, 26, 31–2, 48, 52,
 89–90, 123, 136ff, 198, 204–5,
 280, 300, 305, 318; aim of, 139;
bhakti-, see *bhakti-yoga*; cognate
 with *yoke*, 166; concept of sal-
 vation in, 55; discipline(s) of,
 139, 233, 339; dualism of, 43;
jñāna-, see *jñāna-yoga*; Kṛṣṇa,
 lord of, 225; Patañjali systema-
 tized, 31, 44, 123; practice of,
 235; *rāja*-, see *rāja-yoga*; is skill-
 fulness in action (*yogaḥ karmasu
 kausālam*), 211; or spiritual cul-
 ture, 225; that which unites,
 184; theistic, 7; as union with
 ultimate reality, 136, 166
Yoga-bhāṣya, 277
Yoga-darśana, 357–8
Yoga-sūtra, 40, 339; Vyāsa's Com-
 mentary on, 318
 Yogācāra Buddhists: meditation,
 Buddhist, 89; subjective idealism
 of, 121
yogī virtues, five old, 391; see also
ahimsā; *aparigraha*; *asteya*;
brahmacarya; *satya*
yogī(s), viii, 29, 137, 139ff, 227,
 354, 383
yogin, viii, 29, 35, 39
 Yudhiṣṭhira, King, 163, 256, 259,
 294, 345, 347
 Zeller, Edward, 287; *Philosophie
 der Griechen*, trans. Oswald J.
 Reichel, 297
 Zen: Buddhism, 215; discipline,
 179; foundation of, 89

